

University of Windsor
Scholarship at UWindsor

Chemistry and Biochemistry Publications

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry

2009

Cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes and the Rearranged Abietane Framework of Microstegiol via Nicholas Reaction Chemistry

Rafiq A. Taj

Anusha Abhayawardhana

James R. Green

University of Windsor

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/chemistrybiochemistrypub>



Part of the Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

Taj, Rafiq A.; Abhayawardhana, Anusha; and Green, James R.. (2009). Cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes and the Rearranged Abietane Framework of Microstegiol via Nicholas Reaction Chemistry. *Synlett* (2), 292-296.

<https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/chemistrybiochemistrypub/11>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry and Biochemistry Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact scholarship@uwindsor.ca.

Cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes and the Rearranged Abietane Framework of Microstegiol via Nicholas Reaction Chemistry

Rafiq A. Taj, Anusha Abhayawardhana, James R. Green*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada, N9B 3P4

Fax: 519-973-7098

E-mail: jgreen@uwindsor.ca

Received: The date will be inserted once the manuscript is accepted.

Abstract: Nicholas reactions on 2,7-dioxygenated naphthalenes give C-1 monosubstitution and C-1/C-8 disubstitution in most cases. From γ - carbonyl cation monocondensation product **3b** or alkyne- unsubstituted dicondensation product **4a**, cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes bearing no substituents, gem- dimethyl substituents, and a ketone function, and the rearranged abietane framework of microstegiol may be prepared.

Key words: Carbocations, alkyne complexes, transition metals, electrophilic aromatic substitution, ring closure, tautomerism.

We have a long standing interest in the synthesis of seven membered ring systems, particularly involving the chemistry of alkyne dicobalt complexes and propargyldicobalt cations.^{1,2} In particular, we have developed chemistry to incorporate nucleophiles, including electron rich arenes, γ - to electron withdrawing groups,³ in an umpolung fashion,⁴⁻⁶ and have used this chemistry to get access to seven membered ring systems.^{1a}

In this context we were drawn to cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes, featured in the rearranged abietanes such as microstegiol⁷ and salvibretol,⁸ and their oxygenated analogues (Figure 1).^{8,9} These compounds are isolated from a number of plants of the *salvia* species that have long seen use as folk remedies and whose crude extracts have demonstrated antibacterial and anti-cancer activities;¹⁰ microstegiol itself is known to possess antileukemic activity^{7,f} and modest antibacterial activity.^{10b} Surprisingly, these compounds have not received synthetic attention. Therefore we considered it worthy goal to investigate our Nicholas reaction- and γ -carbonyl cation chemistry towards the construction of the cyclohepta[de]naphthalene nucleus, with a particular view to the tautomerized 2-naphthol framework of microstegiol.





Figure 1 Rearranged abietanes containing cyclohepta[de]naphthalene nucleus.

As a starting point to test reactivity, 2,7-dimethoxynaphthalene (**1**) was chosen, by virtue of its ready availability, its ability to direct monosubstitution to C-1 over C-3 in most cases¹² and disubstitution to C-1/C-8 over C-1/C-6 in many cases, and the potential for one alkoxy to serve as the masked version of the ketone function in microstegiol or salvibretol. Compound **1** showed sufficient nucleophilicity to react with propargyldicobalt cations; propargyl alcohol complex **2a** underwent $\text{BF}_3\text{-OEt}_2$ mediated reaction to give **3a** in excellent yield (93%) (Table 1). Use of γ - carbonyl cation precursor **2b** ($\text{R}_1 = \text{CO}_2\text{Me}$) gave good yields of condensation product **3b** (76%), while substitution on the remote alkyne carbon with methyl (**2c**) and trimethylsilyl (**2d**) functions also allowed formation of condensation products (**3c-d**) successfully (**3c**, 84%; **3d**, 71%).¹³ Polyalkylation of the naphthalene nucleus did not appear to be a significant problem.¹⁴

Table 1 Monocondensation reactions of **1**




Complex	R_1	Product	Yield (%)
2a	H	3a	93
2b	CO_2Me^a	3b	76
2c	Me	3c	84
2d	SiMe_3^b	3d	71

^a Compound **2b** was employed as the methyl ether

^b Reaction conducted at 0 °C – RT.

Disubstitution reactions on **1** could also be accomplished, by increasing the amount of propargyldicobalt cation precursors (**2**) to 2.2 equiv. For these reactions, the predominant 1,8-disubstitution pattern (**4**) was observed only for the unsubstituted ($\text{R}_1 = \text{H}$) and electron withdrawing group substituted ($\text{R}_1 = \text{CO}_2\text{Me}$) propargyl cation complex precursors **2a** and **2b** (Table 2).¹⁵ In the case of other R_1 substituents ($\text{R}_1 = \text{Me}, \text{Me}_3\text{Si}$), the second substitution occurred at C-6, giving **5c** and **5d**. In the case of **2d** ($\text{R}_1 = \text{Me}_3\text{Si}$), the second condensation was somewhat sluggish, and substantial amounts of **3d** were isolated in addition to **5d** despite allowing the reaction to warm to room temperature.

Table 2 Dicondensation reactions of **1**




Complex	R_1	Product	Yield (4,5) (%)
2a	H	4a, 5a	69, 9
2b	CO_2Me^a	4b	86, 0
2c	Me	5c	0, 86
2d	SiMe_3	3d, 5d	0, 40 ^b

^a Compound **2b** was employed as the methyl ether

^b Reaction conducted at 0 °C – RT. In addition, 59 % of **3d** was isolated.


Given a basic understanding of the reactivity of 2,7-dioxygenated naphthalenes to propargylic cobalt cations, we considered γ -carbonyl cation adduct **3b** and 1,8-dicondensa-tion product **4a** viable intermediates in the synthesis of cyclohepta[de]naphthalenes, and this possibility was explored. The unsubstituted cyclohepta[de]naphthalene nucleus was approached beginning with **4a** (Scheme 1). Decomplexation of the alkyne functions could be effected by $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Ce}(\text{NO}_3)_6$, affording diyne **6** in 57% yield (64% based on recovered starting **4a**). Hydrogenation of the alkynes using the Lindlar catalyst gave the diallylated naphthalene **7** (90% yield), and subsequent ring closing metathesis using $(\text{Cy}_3\text{P})_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru=CHPh}$ (Grubbs 1 catalyst, 5 mol%) afforded **8** in 77% yield.¹⁶ After the viability of this approach had been demonstrated, it was reported that the corresponding diacetoxyl compound also has been prepared by ring closing metathesis chemistry.¹⁷



Scheme 1 Dihydrocyclohepta[de]naphthalene preparation from **4a** via ring closing metathesis.


As opposed to **8**, other cyclohepta[de]naphthalene model systems were best approached from **3b**. For dimethyl substituted cyclohepta[de]naphthalene **9**, **3b** was readily decomplexed by I_2 in THF to give **10** in excellent yield

(98%) (Scheme 2). Catalytic hydrogenation of the alkyne function was sluggish over Pd/C , but proceeded well over Rh/C to afford **11** (99% yield). Subsequent addition of excess MeLi to the ester gave tertiary alcohol **13** (61% yield) along with an amount of methyl ketone **12** (25% yield). Finally, treatment of tertiary alcohol **13** with H_2SO_4 (1 drop) gave cyclohepta[de]naphthalene **9** (70% yield), contaminated with a small amount (10%) of elimination product **14**.¹⁸



Scheme 2 Conversion of **3b** to 7,7-dimethyltetrahydrocyclohepta[de]naphthalene.


Similarly, cyclohepta[de]naphthalenone **15** was best approached via a monocondensation route employing γ -carbonyl cation precursor **2b**. In this case, unsymmetrically substituted **16**, bearing a more readily deprotected acetate function, was chosen as the starting point (Scheme 3). Lewis acid mediated (either $\text{BF}_3\text{-OEt}_2$ (88%) or Bu_2BOTf (90%)) reaction of **16** with propargyl methyl ether complex **2b** gave monocondensation product **17** in excellent yield. After removal of the hexacarbonylcobalt unit (I_2 , THF, 93%) to give **18**, catalytic hydrogenation (H_2 , Pd/C) of the alkyne function afforded **19** (94%). Hydrolysis of both the methyl ester and acetate functions was rather sluggish, but in $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{MeOH}$ at reflux, **19** gradually was converted to phenolic acid **20** (76%). Subjecting **20** to polyphosphoric acid (CH_2Cl_2 , reflux, 12 h) then resulted in closure of the seven-membered ring to give **15** in good yield (80%).¹⁹ The phenolic ring of compound **15** existed entirely in the enolic/phenolic tautomer, as expected based on the existence of 1-hydroxy-7,12-pleiadenedione in its phenolic form.²⁰



Scheme 3 Preparation of tetrahydrocyclohepta[de]naphthalene-7-one.

Finally, we deemed it important to determine whether the combination of the seven-membered ring system and latent carbonyl function would be sufficient to drive the naphthol ring tautomer to the keto form. To this end, decomplexed and reduced adduct **19** was subjected to reaction with MeLi, affording tertiary alcohol **21** in 70%

yield (Scheme 4). Exposure of **21** to a single drop of H₂SO₄ in CH₂Cl₂ promoted rapid cyclization at 0 °C, giving **22** in 70% yield. Compound **22** existed entirely in the dehydro-β-tetralone tautomeric structure present in microstegiol; no evidence of the enol tautomer could be observed spectroscopically.²¹ To our knowledge, this constitutes the first preparation of this ring system.



Scheme 4 Preparation of rearranged abietane framework of microstegiol.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the viability of Nicholas reaction chemistry in the assembly of cyclohepta[de]naphthalene rings, and in the construction of the rearranged abietane framework of microstegiol. Future work, which involves installation of the γ-carbonyl cation equivalent ortho- to a less donating (methyl) substituent, and introduction of a hydroxyl function α- to the keto function, is in progress and will be reported in due course.

Experimental Section

To a solution of 2,7-dimethoxynaphthalene **1** in CH₂Cl₂ (0.05 M) at 0 °C was added propargyl alcohol complex **2** (1.1 equiv for monocondensations, 2.2 equiv for dicondensations) and BF₃-OEt₂ (3 equiv). After 3 h of stirring at 0 °C, NH₄Cl_(aq) was added, and the mixture subjected to a conventional extractive workup. Purification by flash chromatography afforded **3** (monocondensation) or **4/5** (dicondensation).

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to NSERC (Canada), the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) and Ontario Innovation Trust (OIT) for support of this research.

References

- (1) a) Green, J. R. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1751; b) Patel, M. M.; Green, J. R. *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 509; c) Lu, Y., Green, J. R. *Synlett*, **2001**, 243; d) Green, J. R. *Synlett*, **2001**, 353; e) Ding, Y., Green, J. R. *Synlett*, **2005**, 271; f) Djurdjevic, S., Green, J. R. *Org. Lett.*, **2007**, 9, 5505.
- (2) For recent reviews on the Nicholas reaction, see: (a) Diaz, D. D.; Betancourt, J. M.; Martin, V. S. *Synlett* **2007**, 343; (b) Teobald, B. J. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, 58, 4133; (c) Green, J. R. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 5, 809.
- (3) Vizniowski, C. S.; Green, J. R.; Breen, T. L.; Dalacu, A. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, 60, 7496.
- (4) For γ-carbonyl cation equivalents by way of iron allyl cations, see: a) Green, J. R.; Carroll, M. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 1141; b) Zhou, T.; Green, J. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, 34, 4497; c) Charlton, M. A., Green, J. R. *Can. J. Chem.* **1997**, 75, 965; d) Enders, D.; Jandeleit, B.; Von Berg, S. *Synlett* **1997**, 421, and references therein.
- (5) For γ-carbonyl cation equivalents by way of π-allylpalladium complexes, see: a) Nemoto, T.; Fukuda, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Hitomi, T.; Hamada, Y. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, 347, 1504; b) Nemoto, T.; Fukuda, T.; Hamada, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 4365, and references therein.
- (6) For γ-carbonyl cation equivalents by way of carbonyl substituted cyclopropanes, see: a) Lifchits, O.; Alberico, D.; Zakharian, I.; Charette, A. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 73, 6838, and references therein; b) Harrington, P. A.; Kerr, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 5949; c) Danishefsky, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1979**, 12, 66.
- (7) Ulubelen, A.; Topcu, G.; Tan, N.; Lin, L.-J.; Cordell, G. A. *Phytochemistry* **1992**, 31, 2419.
- (8) Topcu, G.; Ulubelen, A. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1996**, 59, 734.
- (9) Chyu, C.-F.; Lin, H.-C.; Kuo, Y.-H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2005**, 53, 11.
- (10) a) Ulubelen, A.; Topçu, G.; Chai, H.-B.; Pezzuto, J. M. *Pharaceut. Biol.* **1999**, 37, 148; b) Ulubelen, A.; Öksuz, S.; Kolak, U.; Bozok-Johansson, C.; Çelik, C.; Voelter, W. *Planta Med.* **2000**, 66, 458.
- (11) Topcu, G.; Altiner, E. N.; Gozcu, S.; Halfon, B.; Aydogmus, Z.; Pezzuto, J. M.; Zhou, B.-N.; Kingston, D. G. I. *Planta Med.* **2003**, 69, 464.
- (12) a) Richer, J.-C.; Pépin, Y. *Can. J. Chem.* **1965**, 43, 3443; b) Merchant, J. R.; Upasani, R. B. *Indian. J. Chem.* **1981**, 20B, 711; c) Alder, R. W.; Bryce, M. R.; Goode, N. C.; Miller, N.; Owen, J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1981**, 2840; d) Gorelik, A. M.; Reznichenko, A. V.; Andronova,

- N. A.; Luk'yanets, E. A. *Zh. Organisch. Khimii* **1983**, *19*, 199; e) Haddon, R. C.; Hirani, A. M.; Kroloff, N. J.; Marshall, J. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 2115; f) Bell, K. H. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1985**, *38*, 1209; g) Turner, D. E.; O'Malley, R. F.; Sardella, D. J.; Barinelli, L. S.; Kaul, P. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 7335; h) Boas, U.; Christensen, J. B.; Jensen, K. J. *J. Comb. Chem.* **2004**, *6*, 497.
- (13) Selected compounds: **(3a)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3003, 2960, 2090, 2055 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.71 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.70 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.22 (d, $J = 2.4$, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.04 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.4, 1H), 5.95 (s, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 199.6, 158.4, 154.7, 133.9, 130.2, 128.6, 124.6, 120.1, 116.1, 109.7, 101.6, 96.1, 73.5, 55.4, 55.1, 29.5; MS 484 ($M^+ \text{-CO}$), 456 ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$), 428 ($M^+ \text{-3CO}$), 372 ($M^+ \text{-5CO}$); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{14}\text{Co}_2\text{O}_8$ calcd ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$) 455.9454, found 455.9441. **(3b)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3003, 2951, 2097, 2063, 2028, 1708; ^1H NMR δ 7.72 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.68 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.18 (d, $J = 2.3$, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.02 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.3, 1H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.53 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 198.4, 171.7, 158.5, 154.7, 133.9, 130.1, 128.8, 124.4, 119.1, 116.2, 109.3, 101.1, 99.4, 79.3, 55.2, 54.9, 52.4, 29.0; MS m/e 570 (M^+), 542 ($M^+ \text{-1CO}$), 514 ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$), 486 ($M^+ \text{-3CO}$), 458 ($M^+ \text{-4CO}$), 430 ($M^+ \text{-5CO}$), 402 ($M^+ \text{-6CO}$); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{16}\text{Co}_2\text{O}_{10}$ calcd ($M^+ \text{-CO}$) 541.9458, found 541.9455.
- (14) However, substitution at the propargylic site in **2** resulted in condensation reactions at C-3 in preference to C-1.
- (15) Selected compounds: **(4a)**. IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 2917, 2090, 2051, 2021; cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.70 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2H), 5.83 (s, 2H), 5.22 (d, $J = 16.4$, 2H), 4.50 (d, $J = 16.4$, 2H), 3.99 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 199.6, 156.1, 131.9, 130.7, 126.5, 121.3, 110.1, 97.5, 73.8, 55.9, 30.6; MS m/e 808 ($M^+ \text{-1CO}$), 780 ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$), 752 ($M^+ \text{-3CO}$), 724 ($M^+ \text{-4CO}$), 696 ($M^+ \text{-5CO}$), 668 ($M^+ \text{-6CO}$), 640 ($M^+ \text{-7CO}$), 612 ($M^+ \text{-8CO}$); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{16}\text{Co}_4\text{O}_{14}$ calcd. ($M^+ \text{-CO}$) 807.7917, found 807.7904. **(4b)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3004, 2950, 2097, 2067, 1710 cm^{-1} ; 7.71 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 7.10 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 5.19 (d, $J = 16.5$, 2H), 4.69 (d, $J = 16.5$, 2H), 3.40 (s, 6H), 3.46 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 198.2, 170.6, 156.1, 132.1, 131.0, 126.3, 120.3, 109.8, 99.9, 80.3, 55.7, 52.4, 30.9; MS m/e 896 ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$), 840 ($M^+ \text{-4CO}$), 784 ($M^+ \text{-6CO}$), 728 ($M^+ \text{-8CO}$), 700 ($M^+ \text{-9CO}$).
- (16) **(6)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3291, 2932, 2107, 1618 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.72 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 7.18 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 4.31 (d, $J = 2.6$, 4H), 4.03 (s, 6H), 2.16 (t, $J = 2.6$, 2H); ^{13}C NMR 156.7, 133.5, 130.1, 126.2, 117.3, 111.0, 84.7, 69.1, 56.9, 17.3; MS m/e 264 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2$ calcd (M^+) 264.1150, found 264.1153. **(7)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3077, 2934, 1614 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.72 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2H), 7.18 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2H), 6.23 (m, 2H), 5.09 (dd, $J = 10.3$, 1.9, 2H), 4.84 (dd, $J = 17.3$, 1.9, 2H), 3.93 (s, 6H), 3.91 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR 156.7, 139.0, 134.9, 129.4, 126.3, 120.4, 114.5, 111.0, 56.8, 30.9; MS m/e 268 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_2$ calcd (M^+) 268.1463, found 268.1466. **(8)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3033, 2934, 1616 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.59 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 7.11 (d, $J = 9.0$, 2H), 6.19 (m, 2H), 4.02 (d, $J = 5.6$, 4H), 3.92 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 153.9, 134.8, 130.9, 128.3, 126.8, 120.2, 111.9, 57.2, 24.2; MS m/e 240 (M^+), HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2$ calcd (M^+) 240.1150, found 240.1150.
- (17) (a) Kotha, S.; Mandal, K.; Tiwari, A.; Mobin, S. M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 8024. (b) Chattopadhyay, S. K.; Ghosh, D.; Neogi, K. *Synth. Commun.* **2007**, *37*, 1535.
- (18) **(10)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 2956, 2233, 1712, 1628; ^1H NMR δ 7.72 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.69 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.22 (d, $J = 2.4$, 1H), 7.11 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.05 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.4, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 158.4, 154.6, 154.0, 133.7, 129.9, 128.9, 124.3, 116.1, 113.6, 110.1, 101.2, 87.7, 71.9, 56.1, 55.0, 52.2, 14.5; MS m/e 284 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4$ calcd (M^+) 284.1049, found 284.1033. **(11)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 2954, 1740, 1628; ^1H NMR δ 7.69 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.66 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.32 (d, $J = 2.0$, 1H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.03 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.0, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.11 (t, $J = 7.6$, 2H), 2.44 (t, $J = 7.1$, 2H), 2.00 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR 174.0, 158.1, 154.8, 134.1, 129.8, 127.3, 124.5, 121.2, 115.7, 110.2, 101.6, 55.9, 55.1, 51.2, 33.4, 24.2, 24.1; MS m/e 288 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_4$ calcd (M^+) 288.1362, found 288.1360. **(13)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3422 br, 2966, 1627; ^1H NMR δ 7.70 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.66 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.24 (d, $J = 2.3$, 1H), 7.13 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.05 (dd, $J = 8.9$, 2.3, 1H), 3.952 (s, 3H), 3.945 (s, 3H), 3.09 (t, $J = 7.6$, 2H), 1.75 (m, 2H), 1.69 (m, 2H) 1.38 (br s, 1H), 1.23 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 158.0, 154.8, 134.1, 130.0, 127.1, 124.7, 122.6, 115.5, 110.8, 102.0, 70.9, 56.3, 55.1, 43.8, 29.1, 25.4, 24.4; MS m/e 288 (M^+), HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_3$ calcd (M^+) 288.1725, found 288.1713. **(9)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 2929, 1612; ^1H NMR δ 7.54 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.52 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 7.09 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.07 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.00 (br m, 2H), 1.96 (m, 2H), 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.52 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 158.8, 154.5, 137.6, 131.7, 127.3, 126.9, 125.4, 122.9, 112.1, 111.6, 84.6, 57.4, 56.0, 41.1, 39.4, 25.5, 22.1; MS m/e 270 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_2$ calcd (M^+) 270.1620, found 270.1614.
- (19) **(17)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3004, 2952, 2099, 2063, 2029, 1765, 1709; ^1H NMR δ 7.82 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 7.61 (d, $J = 2.2$, 1H), 7.26 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.11 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.2, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 198.0, 170.5, 169.4, 154.7, 149.3, 133.2, 130.0, 128.8, 126.8, 120.0, 118.6, 114.1, 111.8, 98.5, 93.9, 55.2, 52.5, 28.2, 20.8; MS m/e 542 ($M^+ \text{-2CO}$), 514 ($M^+ \text{-3CO}$), 486 ($M^+ \text{-4CO}$), 458 ($M^+ \text{-5CO}$), 430 ($M^+ \text{-6CO}$); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{16}\text{Co}_2\text{O}_{11}$ calcd ($M^+ \text{-3CO}$) 513.9509, found 513.9511. **(18)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 2917, 2234, 1761, 1712; ^1H NMR δ 7.81 (d, $J = 8.9$, 2H), 7.62 (d, $J = 2.1$, 1H), 7.26 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.15 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.1, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 169.4, 154.7, 154.0, 149.4, 133.1, 129.9, 129.2, 126.9, 118.8, 114.8, 113.7, 112.7, 87.4, 72.0, 56.3, 52.3, 21.0, 14.6; MS m/e 312 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_5$ calcd (M^+) 312.0998, found 312.0991. **(19)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3067, 2950, 1759, 1734; ^1H NMR δ 7.75 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 7.67 (d, $J = 1.9$, 1H), 7.65 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 1.9, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.08 (t, $J = 17.6$, 2H), 2.39 (t, $J = 7.4$, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.99 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR 173.9, 169.5, 154.9, 149.1, 133.6, 129.9, 127.6, 127.1, 122.3, 118.4, 114.0, 112.7, 56.1, 51.2, 33.5, 24.7, 24.0, 21.1; MS m/e 316 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_5$ calcd (M^+) 316.1311, found 316.1316. **(20)** IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3385 br, 2924, 1703, 1626; ^1H NMR (acetone- d_6) δ 7.63 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 7.62 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 7.28 (br s, 1H), 7.11 (d, $J = 9.0$, 1H), 6.93 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.1, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.99 (t, $J = 7.7$, 2H), 2.35 (m, 2H), 1.85 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (acetone- d_6) 174.5, 156.0, 155.1, 134.8, 130.2, 127.7, 124.5, 120.7, 115.7, 110.3, 104.8, 55.8, 33.3, 24.8, 24.1; MS m/e 260 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4$ calcd (M^+) 260.1049, found 260.1045. **(15)** IR (KBr) λ_{\max} 3009, 2970, 1616 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 12.73 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 7.63 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.8$, 1H), 6.93 (d, $J = 8.9$, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.01 (t, $J = 7.1$, 2H), 2.71 (t, $J = 7.4$, 2H), 2.39 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR 207.4, 162.5, 157.3, 136.2, 135.7, 128.6, 123.2, 122.3, 116.5, 115.2, 110.3, 56.2, 42.3, 29.5, 25.4; MS m/e 242 (M^+); HRMS for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3$ calcd (M^+) 242.0943, found 242.0931.
- (20) a) Rieche, A.; Fruhwald, E. *Chem. Ber.* **1931**, *64B*, 1603.; b) Moghaddam, F. M.; Porkaleh, H.; Zali-Boeini, H. *Lett. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *3*, 123.

(21) **(21)** IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3358, 2967 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 8.10 (br s, 1H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.8, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.9, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 2.2, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.9, 1H), 7.01 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.2, 1 H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.95 (br t, J = 7.0, 2H), 2.60 (br s, 1H), 1.65 (m, 4H), 1.21 (s, 6H); ^{13}C NMR 154.6, 154.5, 134.4, 130.3, 127.3, 124.5, 122.1, 115.6, 110.7, 105.3, 72.0, 56.4, 43.5, 29.1, 25.4, 24.3; MS m/e 274 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3$ calcd 274.1569, found 274.1574. **(22)** IR (KBr) ν_{max} 2934, 1653, 1615 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR δ 7.29 (d, J = 9.7, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.4, 1H), 5.97 (d, J = 9.7, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.63 (s, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.51 (m, 1H), 1.38 (m, 1H), 1.27 (m, 1H), 1.17 (s, 3H), 0.66 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR 203.2, 157.7, 145.5, 140.8, 129.4, 127.9, 124.4, 123.5, 108.9, 58.3, 55.7, 43.2, 37.0, 27.4, 24.2, 21.5, 20.2; MS m/e 256 (M^+); HRMS m/e for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_2$ calcd 256.1463, found 256.1457.

