

University of Windsor

## Scholarship at UWindor

---

OSSA Conference Archive

OSSA 3

---

May 15th, 9:00 AM - May 17th, 5:00 PM

### What are we do about traditional logic?

Jesse Bohl

*William and Mary University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive>



Part of the [Philosophy Commons](#)

---

Bohl, Jesse, "What are we do about traditional logic?" (1999). *OSSA Conference Archive*. 5.  
<https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA3/papersandcommentaries/5>

This Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Conference Proceedings at Scholarship at UWindor. It has been accepted for inclusion in OSSA Conference Archive by an authorized conference organizer of Scholarship at UWindor. For more information, please contact [scholarship@uwindsor.ca](mailto:scholarship@uwindsor.ca).

**Title:** What Are We Going To Do About Traditional Logic?

**Author:** [Jesse Bohl](#)

**Response to this paper by:** [Gilbert Plumer](#)

---

*The following paper was not available. We are instead providing the abstract and the commentary to the paper so that we have as complete a set of Proceedings as possible.*

A commonplace of modern logic is that traditional logic, because it accepted the supposedly mistaken inference from general to particular propositions, perceived as valid a good number of invalid inference patterns. Yet many people find the allegedly invalid inference patterns intuitively valid. Four arguments that might be used to justify modern logic's judgment fail to provide good reason to provide modern logic pride of place. Of the three responses to failure of the arguments for preferring modern to traditional logic considered, the most radical is recommended.