

Summer 7-11-2019

# Identification of misconceptions and troublesome concepts in Microbiology, with development and testing of targeted pedagogical activities

Tanya C. Noel  
Tanya.Noel@uwindsor.ca

Milana Milivojević  
milivojm@uwindsor.ca

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/research-result-summaries>  
Consistent with the TCPS 2 (4.7) this is a research summary provided to participants and should not be considered a formal publication of results.

---

## Recommended Citation

Noel, T. C., & Milivojević, M. (2019). Identification of misconceptions and troublesome concepts in Microbiology, with development and testing of targeted pedagogical activities. Retrieved from <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/research-result-summaries/71>

This Completed Summary is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Result Summaries by an authorized administrator of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact [scholarship@uwindsor.ca](mailto:scholarship@uwindsor.ca).

## **Project Summary - Identification of misconceptions and troublesome concepts in Microbiology, with development and testing of targeted pedagogical activities**

Studies in cognitive science have provided insight into how prior knowledge and misconceptions shape the learning process. Misconceptions have been demonstrated in a large proportion of science students (Coley & Tanner, 2012, 2015; Gregory & Ellis, 2007; Gregory, 2009). To effectively address student misconceptions about microbiology and other foundational science concepts, we wanted to identify key misconceptions, and prepare relevant learning activities to help unseat them. Concept inventories have been useful in identifying common misconceptions in several scientific disciplines (for examples, see Garvin-Doxas et al. 2007; Hestenes et al. 1992; Smith et al. 2008). Concept inventories use machine scorable (multiple choice and true/false) questions to measure student understanding of fundamental concepts in specific disciplines, focusing on those that are frequently subject to misconceptions. Guidelines published by Adams & Wieman (2010) are widely used for creating and validating these tools, and were followed in making the concept inventory in this project: the Introductory Microbiology Concept Inventory (IMCI).

This project involved identifying microbiology misconceptions, developing the IMCI, creating relevant educational resources, using them in class, and evaluating their effectiveness. We created a new interrupted case study, where the instructor prompts students to analyze part of the case study, share responses, and engage in class discussion prior to continuing onto the next portion of the case study (Herreid 2005b). A concept map activity was included to help students make visual connections between terms and concepts. We obtained measures of student learning (via selected IMCI questions administered pre-/post-activity) and student perceptions of this activity using an opinion survey based on work by Bonney 2015 and Herreid et al. 2014.

Microbiology misconceptions were identified through participant interviews involving “think-aloud” questions and getting participant feedback on the pilot concept inventory questions. The concept inventory was used in Fall 2016 with students in introductory microbiology classes, and showed learning gains across a number of concepts, but with some potentially unclear or confusing questions. We continued to refine and validate the IMCI, again using participant interviews/feedback, and the updated IMCI was administered to introductory microbiology students in Fall 2018. Based on Fall 2018 IMCI results, and aligning with selected American Society for Microbiology (ASM) Curriculum Guidelines (Merkel et al. 2012), a new class activity was constructed to address specific misconceptions that had been identified as problematic from the IMCI results. The activity included an interrupted problem-based case study that was constructed based on actual disease case reports from medical literature, and written to be engaging and emotive (based on recommendations in Bonney (2015) and Herreid (2005a, 2006). The case study was used in a Winter 2019 Medical Microbiology course. Pre-/post-case study administration using a subset of the IMCI showed significant increase in learning gains on relevant concepts but no gains were observed for microbiology questions that were not related to the case study. According to the opinion survey, students found the case study interesting, memorable, and helpful.

### **References:**

- Adams, W. K., & Wieman, C. E. (2011). Development and validation of instruments to measure learning of expert-like thinking. *International journal of science education*, 33(9), 1289-1312.
- Bonney, K. M. (2015). Case study teaching method improves student performance and perceptions of learning gains. *Journal of microbiology & biology education*, 16(1), 21.

- Coley, J. D., & Tanner, K. D. (2012). Common origins of diverse misconceptions: Cognitive principles and the development of biology thinking. *CBE—life sciences education*, 11(3), 209–215.
- Coley, J. D., & Tanner, K. D. (2015). Relations between intuitive biological thinking and biological misconceptions in biology majors and nonmajors. *CBE—life sciences education*, 14, ar8.
- Garvin-Doxas, K., Klymkowsky, M., & Elrod, S. (2007). Building, using, and maximizing the impact of concept inventories in the biological sciences: report on a National Science Foundation-sponsored conference on the construction of concept inventories in the biological sciences. *CBE—life sciences education*, 6, 277–282.
- Gregory, T. (2009). Understanding natural selection: Essential concepts and common misconceptions. *BioScience* 2, 156–175.
- Gregory, T., & Ellis, C. (2007). Conceptions of evolution among science graduate students. *BioScience*, 59(9), 792–799.
- Herreid, C. F. (2005a). Because wisdom can't be told: using case studies to teach science. *Peer Review*, 7(2), 30.
- Herreid, C. F. (2005b). The interrupted case method. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 35(2), 4-5.
- Herreid, C. F. (2006). " Clicker" Cases. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 36(2), 43.
- Herreid, C. F., Terry, D. R., Lemons, P., Armstrong, N., Brickman, P., & Ribbens, E. (2014). Emotion, engagement, and case studies. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 44(1), 86-95.
- Hestenes, D., Wells, M., & Swackhamer, G. (1992). Force concept inventory. *The physics teacher*, 30(3), 141-158.
- Merkel, S., & ASM Task Force on Curriculum Guidelines for Undergraduate Microbiology. (2012). The development of curricular guidelines for introductory microbiology that focus on understanding. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education: JMBE*, 13(1), 32.
- Smith, M. K., Wood, W. B., & Knight, J. K. (2008). The genetics concept assessment: a new concept inventory for gauging student understanding of genetics. *CBE—life sciences education*, 7(4), 422-430.