Combining ballast water treatment and ballast water exchange: Reducing colonization pressure and propagule pressure of phytoplankton organisms
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Abstract

The International Maritime Organization (IMO) will enforce a new abundance-based performance standard for ballast water in September, 2017. Strong oxidants, like chlorine, have been proposed as a method for achieving this standard. However chlorine treatment of ballast water can produce hazardous trihalomethanes. We assessed maximum trihalomethane production from one chlorine dose for three types of ballast water (fresh, brackish and marine) and three levels of total organic carbon (TOC) concentration (natural, filtered, enhanced). While the current standard test considers a five day voyage, there is a high possibility of shorter trips and sudden change of plans that will release treated waters in the environment. Water source and TOC significantly affected trihalomethane production, with the highest amounts generated in brackish waters and enhanced TOC concentration. The concentration of brominated trihalomethanes increased from background levels and was highest in brackish water, followed by marine and fresh water.
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Vessels use ballast water to preserve buoyancy and maneuverability (Carlton 1987); by design, ballast tanks hold a volume sufficient to equal the tonnage of dry cargo (IMO 2008a). It is estimated that three to five billion tons of ballast water are transported every year (Globallast 2015). Large vessels like bulk carriers and tankers can discharge between 15,000 and 113,000 m³ of treated ballast water in a single event, thereby posing an invasion risk for recipient ports. New global regulations for ballast water management will be globally implemented beginning September, 2017. These regulations are designed to reduce the movement of non-indigenous species by setting numerical limits for abundance of two planktonic groups and three health-related bacteria of concern (IMO 2016). Different alternatives to achieve these limits exist, including use of strong oxidants such as chlorine (e.g. Werschkun et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2013). Chlorine may be applied to ballast water either directly or indirectly via in situ electro-chlorination of sea water.

Chlorine is the most widely used chemical for disinfection of fresh water, as it eliminates active pathogens. However, chlorine treatment of water is associated with undesirable by-products, some of which have carcinogenic effects (Boorman et al. 1999). Trihalomethanes (THMs), which result when three halogen atoms are substituted for hydrogen atoms in the methane molecule, are the most commonly observed by-product (Budziak et al. 2007).

THMs formation depends on the availability of both chlorine and natural organic matter (NOM). Limiting production of THMs by pre-treatment to reduce NOMs is a common practice in public utilities (Bull et al. 1995). This capability does not extend to ballast water, where large volumes of water are loaded and discharged, and little space exists for on-board pre-treatment. Consequently, ballast water treatment has focused on control of the oxidant dose (Tsolaki et al. 2010; Paolucci et al. 2015). Salinity of ballast water varies according to the geographic location where it is loaded.

Formation of THMs requires dissolved NOM such as humic substances and/or fulvic acid (Madabhushi 1999) and halogens dissolved in water. Both humic and fulvic acids constitute the largest portion of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in waters. In addition to DOC, natural waters...
contain particulate organic carbon (POC) produced by plants and animals. The availability of
NOM and POC in water plays a key role in the quantity of THMs generated; however, there is a
large list of organic compounds that constitute NOM and POC in water, with the compounds
varying with the water source (Liu et al. 2015). The sum of DOC and POC equals total organic
carbon (TOC) and is typically used as a proxy for the potential reactive pool for THMs
generation (Bruchet et al. 1990; Singer 1999).

THMs are continuously produced if NOM is present and the halogen supply is not exhausted
(Stack et al. 2000). The most abundant halogen used in ballast treatment is chlorine because it is
inexpensive and can be readily added from sources such as sodium hypochlorite (NaClO). If the
ballast is fresh water, CHCl_3 may constitute the most abundant THM, based upon utility plant
experience (Ivahnenko and Zogorski 2006). However, THMs abundance and composition
changes in the presence of bromine. High concentrations of bromine result in brominated THMs
even when chlorine is added as the active substance of disinfection (Bull et al. 1995). Ballast
from brackish and marine waters may produce brominated THMs owing to the higher bromine
content of these water sources (Ged and Boyer 2014). Speciation of THMs occurs when bromine
is present in the water, leading to the formation of CHCl_2Br, CHCIBr_2, and CHBr_3, with the sum
of these plus CHCl_3 equaling total THMS (TTHMs; Singer 1999). The ratio of chlorinated to
brominated species can be estimated based on molar ratios and then extrapolated using
probability models when analysis is limited to final concentration of TTHMs and not initial
doses of chlorine and bromine (Chang et al. 2001).

Here we evaluate potential TTHMs production in ballast water treated with chlorine at doses
recommended for use to reduce target organisms in ballast water (see Paolucci et al. 2015),
specifically exploring the effects of both water salinity and NOM. Experiments were performed
using natural water sources representative of freshwater and brackish waters from shipping ports
and marine water derived from a ballast sample. In addition, we augmented samples with humic
acids to explore effects of NOM concentration on THM generation.

Materials and Methods

Water samples were collected from two different ports and one vessel according to their salinity
as a follow-up to a larger ballast water treatment experiment (see Paolucci et al. 2015). Sampled
water included fresh water (0.1 practical salinity units; PSU) from Trois Rivières, Québec and
brackish water (11.3 PSU) from Port Alfred, Québec, and marine water (34.0 PSU) was collected
from a ballast tank of a general cargo vessel whose water was exchanged in the North Atlantic
region (38°08.7’ N, 67°23.1’ W) according to its ballast water management record. All samples
were kept in the dark at 4°C until day of analysis. Water in amber glass bottles at ambient
temperature was used hereafter to mimic light exposure in a ballast tank.

The experimental design was full factorial with two fixed factors: source of water (according to
salinity) and organic matter content. Three conditions for organic content were tested: i) natural
condition (water as it was collected); ii) removal by filtration (POC and other suspended solids
were removed) with a 0.45 μm glass fibre filter; and iii) enrichment with humic acid (HUMICan
100, AgroCare Canada) to increase content of TOC to 25 mg L⁻¹. The resulting 3x3
combinations were prepared in a 500mL sterilized, amber glass bottle with a Teflon lined cap.
Five hundred mL were measured with a volumetric flask and dosed (single pulse) to 10 mg Cl L⁻¹
using commercial pool bleach (sodium hypochlorite 10%, Pool Sanitizer, Cul-Mac Industries,
Inc., Wayne, MI, USA) at 10% weight-to-weight (w/w) solution. All nine treatments (3x3
combinations) were analyzed in triplicate (3 replicates per treatment) across three time intervals
(t1≈1 hour, t2≈5 hours, and t3≈25-26 hours) to assess THMs maximum production. TOC was measured prior to incubation using an in-line TOC analyzer (Shimadzu, model VCSH, Kyoto JP) with a detection limit of 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) and chlorine (estimated detection limit 0.1 μg L\(^{-1}\)) using a Hach Pocket ColorimeterTM II (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA).

At sampling, THMs were extracted from water samples using the method of headspace solid-phase microextraction (SPME) followed by analysis by GC-MSD (Stack et al. 2000; Zhao et al. 2004). A SPME fiber (75μm CAR/PDMS, 24 Ga.; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA.), mounted in a manual holder, was used to transfer the extracted analytes. The fibre was conditioned at 300°C for 5 min before and after each extraction. SPME extraction was performed by transferring 20g of water sample from a given incubation vessel into a precleaned amber VOA vial with screw cap and PTFE/silicone septum (EPA VO vials, Supelco part # 23189), containing 7.2g of NaCl and spiked with a mix of recovery surrogate standard solution containing p-bromofluorobenzene and d8-Toluene [CPL-PS-4X, AccuStandard, New Haven, CT, USA (concentration: 2 μg mL\(^{-1}\); 10 μL)]. The sample was vortexed for 1 min, following which a SPME needle (protecting fibre) was slid through the pierced septum and into the vial. Needle depth was adjusted to keep the fibre above the liquid layer in the headspace environment. The SPME extraction was initiated after exposing the fibre into the headspace and heating the vial indirectly at 45°C ± 1°C with constant stirring at 300 rpm for 20 min. Our methods differed slightly from those of Stack et al. (2000) and Zhao et al. (2004), the former because we used moderate stirring for 20 min as opposed to low stirring for a longer period, and the latter because we increased temperature. Volatiles were absorbed/adsorbed to the fibre and concentrated, followed by retraction of fibre into the needle. Thermal desorption of THMs from the fibre occurred when the needle was directly introduced to the GC inlet, followed by the extension of the fibre from the needle and the introduction of the fibre into the hot GC inlet.

Analytes were identified and quantified using a gas chromatograph with a mass selective detector (GC/MSD) (Hewlett Packard HP 6890/5973, Wilmington, DE, USA) equipped with a capillary column [VF-624ms; 30m x 0.25mm I.D. x 1.4μm film thickness (Agilent J&W, Santa Clara, CA, USA)]. The inlet was set at 250°C in a splitless mode and carrier gas (UHP) at a flow rate of 0.8 mL min\(^{-1}\) with column head pressure 4.8 psi. The MSD operated in EI SIM mode. Oven temperature was set at 40°C for an initial time of 2.0 min and increased at a rate of 7°C min\(^{-1}\) and held at 130°C for 1.0 min. The total analysis time was 15.86 min with equilibration time at 0.5 min. Calibration was carried out with the same procedure replacing the sample water with 20 mL of buffer solution [sodium chloride (360g) in Milli-Q water (1L) fixed at pH 2.0 with ortho-phosphoric acid (85% weight/weight)].

Known concentrations of THMs were loaded into 20 g of water using the THM standard mix (M-501-10X, AccuStandard, New Haven, CT, USA) to generate a calibration curve. Method detection limits (MDLs) for the THMs were based on a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N*5) at low concentration and were between 0.04 to 0.05 μg L\(^{-1}\) for CHCl\(_3\), CHBrCl\(_2\), CHBr\(_2\)Cl and CHBr\(_3\).

The recovery rate for our surrogate was 94.3%. However, it was not used in MDLs determination because it was within the acceptable range for volatiles.

**Table 1.** Mean (SD) total organic carbon (TOC; mg L\(^{-1}\)), pH, salinity (PSU) and TTHMs (mg L\(^{-1}\)) measured before dosing samples with chlorine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Source</th>
<th>Natural</th>
<th>Filtered</th>
<th>Enriched</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Salinity</th>
<th>TTHMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>15.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>7.3 (0.0)</td>
<td>23.9 (3.2)</td>
<td>8.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>11.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>8.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>26.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>7.2 (0.2)</td>
<td>11.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A univariate general linear model was conducted on the production of TTHMs using two fixed factors (water source and TOC content), and a covariate (time after dose). We also tested for an interaction between the fixed factors. Additionally, we performed an independent sample t-test for TOC content between natural and filtered for all sources of water. All statistical analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, OK, USA).

Results and Discussion

Filtered and natural TOC concentrations were low in both natural and filtered water for fresh and brackish waters, and slightly higher in marine waters (Table 1). There were no detectable concentrations of TTHMs in vessels prior to chlorine addition in vessels (Table 1). Total THM production varied significantly by water source and by TOC content at the outset of the experiment, and by an interaction of these parameters (Table 2). Fresh water produced on average less TTHMs than any other source, while marine water produced an intermediate amount of TTHMs and brackish water the highest amount (Table 2, Fig. 1).

Enrichment of TOC increased TTHMs production for fresh and marine waters but not for brackish water (Table 2; Fig. 1). Filtering significantly reduced TOC concentration versus natural conditions (t = 5.17, df = 16, and p < 0.001). Although non-significant, filtered samples yielded the highest production of TTHMs for brackish water (Table 2; Fig. 2).

TTHMs maximum production was achieved very quickly (i.e. within 1 hour) and was sustained over the 24-hour follow-up measure in all TOC concentrations for fresh and marine water (Fig. 1, right and left panels). Brackish water almost doubled TTHMs in the first hours after dose for natural and filtered concentrations, and sustained the same levels in the enriched concentration (Fig. 1, middle panel).

CHCl₃ was the major constituent of TTHMs in fresh water, whereas brackish or marine water treatments had a higher ratio of brominated to chlorinated species of THMs owing to the very low presence of bromine in fresh water (Table 2). Most production in natural or filtered fresh water was by CHBrCl₂ (Table 2). By contrast, marine and brackish water produced more CHBr₃ but under different conditions, with the former being greatest in the enhanced TOC concentration and the latter in natural and filtered concentrations (Table 2).

The World Health Organization (WHO) limits TTHMs to 200μg L⁻¹; with individual conditions for chloroform (CHCl₃) to 200μg L⁻¹, bromoform (CHBr₃) to 100μg L⁻¹, dibromochloromethane...
(CHClBr₂) to 100 μg L⁻¹, and bromodichloromethane (CHCl₂Br) to 60 μg L⁻¹ (Stack et al. 2000). We found concentrations of TTHMs in fresh water ballast for natural and filtered concentrations were well below the 200 μg L⁻¹ limit, and thus compliant with WHO regulations for continental waters (Agus et al. 2009; Werschkun et al. 2012). It is likely that a source of fresh ballast water with a maximum TOC concentration of 16 mg L⁻¹ would produce similar or lower concentrations for TTHMs with doses of Cl⁻ ≤ 10 mg L⁻¹.

Similarly, we expect that marine water will be below permissible limits set by WHO regulations for TTHMs. However, under conditions of enhanced TOC concentration, we anticipate that production of TTHMs would increase greatly (Table 2) and possibly exceed these regulations. Production of TTHMs in enriched marine water was almost 10 times higher than in filtered or natural water (Table 2). It is apparent that the largest limiting factor for THMs production in marine ballast water used in this experiment is NOM in the water.

Brackish water produced less TTHMs under enrichment than under natural or filtered conditions. We propose that an inhibitor may have prevented the oxidation process in water collected at Port Alfred. Further, we propose that some macromolecules may sequester chlorine in the natural condition, because filtering removes suspended particles above 0.45 μm in size. It has been documented that ammonia reduced THMs production during chlorination despite the presence of humic substances (Amy et al. 1984). High production of TTHMs in brackish versus marine or fresh water has been documented in at least five ballast water treatment systems (OceanSaver, CleanBallast, Greenship, TG, OceanGuard) (Werschkun et al. 2012). Similar results were also found when the OceanGuard system was tested on land, with CHBr₃ accounting for almost 90% of the total 670 μg L⁻¹ TTHM produced (Werschkun et al. 2012).

Table 2. Mean (SD) production of THMs (μg L⁻¹) in each combination of fixed factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water source</th>
<th>TOC</th>
<th>CHCl₃</th>
<th>CHBrCl₂</th>
<th>CHBr₂Cl</th>
<th>CHBr₃</th>
<th>Total THMs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>Enriched</td>
<td>0.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>15.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>32.9 (7.0)</td>
<td>545.6 (64.2)</td>
<td>581.1 (71.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.6)</td>
<td>7.7 (10.7)</td>
<td>75.7 (32.7)</td>
<td>86.6 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>57.4 (27.3)</td>
<td>61.6 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>Enriched</td>
<td>2.7 (1.4)</td>
<td>128.1 (5.3)</td>
<td>73.5 (11.9)</td>
<td>341.8 (27.3)</td>
<td>432.2 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
<td>1.6 (0.9)</td>
<td>21.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>34.7 (6.0)</td>
<td>633.4 (163.1)</td>
<td>672.0 (168.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackish</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>14.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>28.1 (7.4)</td>
<td>575.5 (187.5)</td>
<td>605.5 (194.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>Enriched</td>
<td>169.8 (73.9)</td>
<td>113.0 (6.2)</td>
<td>14.9 (20.4)</td>
<td>43.3 (65.5)</td>
<td>240.5 (67.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>Filtered</td>
<td>31.9 (17.5)</td>
<td>97.6 (4.7)</td>
<td>7.4 (2.6)</td>
<td>25.4 (8.7)</td>
<td>75.6 (21.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>40.2 (21.5)</td>
<td>119.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>10.0 (4.0)</td>
<td>33.3 (15.0)</td>
<td>96.8 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experiments with chlorination in marine water, as a means to control biofouling, used doses from 0.5 to 1.5 μg L⁻¹ and resulted in TTHMs concentrations of 2.5 to 18.5 μg L⁻¹ (Boudjellaba et al. 2016). However, the IMO D-2 performance standard targets two planktonic groups that will require a larger dose to achieve lethality in larger organisms (Gregg et al. 2009). Our TTHM production results for marine water were an order of magnitude greater than those of Boudjellaba et al. (2016). Other studies that have evaluated differences in TTHM production using nearshore and deep-ocean waters revealed that TTHM production differed by orders of magnitude, with nearshore water having the highest TTHM production (Fabbricino and Korshin 2005).

Werschkun et al. (2012) reported that three commercial ballast water treatment systems (CleanBallast, TG and OceanGuard), tested with marine water and a lower chlorine dose, experienced TTHMs production just below 200 μg L⁻¹ over a five day cycle (as required by IMO G9; IMO 2008b). OceanSaver produced relatively less TTHMs, yielding results similar to ours.
Cowman and Singer (1996) documented a shift of brominated species in disinfection by-products, where hypochlorous acid continuously integrated bromide into THM formation. The free chloride from the completion of this reaction restarts the process. Marine water produced more TTHMs when enriched compared with fresh water. Symons et al. (1993) found that chlorine (as low as 3 mg L\(^{-1}\)) in the presence of precursors (DOC and bromide) in fresh water, will promote production of brominated species until complete exhaustion of one precursor. In contrast, production in fresh water will stop when hypochlorous acid no longer can react with organic matter.

![TTHMs cumulative average and standard deviation (μg L\(^{-1}\)) for all TOC concentrations and ballast water sources.](image)

**Fig. 2** TTHMs cumulative average and standard deviation (μg L\(^{-1}\)) for all TOC concentrations and ballast water sources.

Chlorination is an effective alternative to ballast water exchange under the new IMO ballast water standard. Bench-scale experiments like ours allow inferences to be made regarding patterns and trends, though care must be taken when extrapolating to the field. Paim et al. (2007) spiked fresh water with humic acids to 23.7 mg L\(^{-1}\) and, using a 5 μg L\(^{-1}\) chlorine dose, reported a maximum production of CHCl\(_3\) of 18 μg L\(^{-1}\). Our experiments with fresh water, conducted under laboratory conditions and 10 μg L\(^{-1}\) Cl\(^{-}\) and 23.9 mg L\(^{-1}\) TOC, revealed much higher production of TTHMs (Fig. 1) during the first hours. This large difference might stem from the higher chlorine dose and its apparent immediate impact on CHCl\(_3\) production. It is apparent that filtering will remove the particulate fraction, yet it had little or a net positive effect on subsequent TTHMs production because the dissolved fraction of organic carbon was involved in reactions that produced TTHMs. Liu et al. (2015) observed that only four species of organic carbon (glycolic, alginic, citric, humic acids and urea) enhanced TTHM production. While it will be difficult for crew and port authorities to analyze what species of organic carbon is in the water, the option exists to adjust the dose. In addition, it is possible to track free chlorine in real time using electronic sensors in the tanks (Zimmer-Faust et al. 2014).

This study assessed the importance of ballast water source and its organic carbon content to production of TTHMs. By IMO convention (IMO 2008b), analyses of TTHMs are only made during final discharge of ballast water; our study reveals patterns of TTHM production and attenuation prior to discharge. We observed clear differences in TTHM production in brackish, fresh and marine water, which has strong implications for where ballast water should be loaded and its likely generation of TTHMs. Ballast water loaded in freshwater ports - even if TOC load is high - may pose less risk of TTHM production than that loaded in brackish or marine water. However, many global ports are brackish or marine (Werschkun et al. 2014), thus by-product
generation of TTHMs may pose a problem, particularly in carbon-enriched marine or filtered brackish water for voyages shorter than five days (see guidelines G8 and G9; IMO 2008a, b). Our results suggest that if treated ballast water is discharged within the first two days there is a risk of releasing sufficient TTHMs to cause environmental harm.
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