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Abstract

Bis-bispidine based tetraazamacrocycles can be used to encapsulate metal ions selectively to form highly stable complexes which may have interesting optical and electronic properties. This thesis discusses an effective synthetic pathway which has been used to obtain the desired bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles (Chapter 2). The synthesis of the macrocycles utilizes $N$-Boc-$N'$-allylbispidinone 4 as the starting material which was subsequently converted to diketal bis(chloroacetamide) 8 and diketal bis(iodoacetamide) 9. Cyclization of diketal bispidine hydrochloride 7 and bis(iodoacetamide) 9 followed by reduction afforded diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 12. Cleavage of the ketal groups afforded a versatile bis-bispidinone substrate 13 which was further functionalized to diester 16 and bis-bispidine 17. The desired bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 17 was also obtained through another synthetic pathway which is discussed in Chapter 2. All the new compounds have been characterized by $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR, IR, mass spectrometry and some by single crystal X-ray diffraction technique.

Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles have been used for coordination studies with metal ions under different reaction conditions and this is discussed in Chapter 3.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Macrocycles

A ‘macrocycle’ is a large cyclic molecule comprising a ring of at least nine atoms that includes a minimum of three potential donor atoms.\textsuperscript{1,2} Figure 1.1 illustrates three different examples of representative macrocycles. The donor atoms of a macrocyclic ligand are oriented in such way that they can coordinate to the electron deficient metal ions by donating pairs of electrons.

![Cyclam, Cryptand, Porphyrin](image)

**Figure 1.1** Example of representative macrocycles.

1.2 Importance of macrocyclic ligands

In the early 1960s, the development of macrocyclic ligands started with the discovery of the nickel-mediated condensation of tris-ethylenediamine nickel(II) perchlorate and acetone by Busch and Curtis.\textsuperscript{3,4} The early impetus in the synthesis of macrocyclic ligands and their complexes was focused on mimicking naturally occurring macrocycles such as metalloproteins, porphyrins, corrins and cobalamines.\textsuperscript{5,6} Simple synthetic macrocycles can be considered as the structural models for biological molecules. For example, the synthesis and study of porphyrins and their derivatives would provide insights of heme proteins which are responsible in dioxygen-activation and electron-transfer processes.\textsuperscript{1}
Therefore, a study of the synthetic model compounds would give a better understanding of the naturally occurring biomolecules.\(^1\) The growing attention in this exciting and interesting field can be attributed to the following aspects in chemistry and biology.

### 1.2.1 Chemical aspects

Metal complexes of macrocyclic ligands are highly stable both thermodynamically and kinetically in comparison to their open chain analogs.\(^{1,7}\) Due to the structural resemblance and high stability, some of these complexes could be used in the construction of models for metalloproteins.\(^8\) For instance, cyclodextrins and their derivatives can bind various molecular substrates which could serve as models for various enzymatic processes.\(^8\)

One of the most important applications of macrocyclic ligands is to extract metal ions selectively. Therefore, conventional ‘pyrometallurgy’ which involves a high temperature process that emits green-house gases, can be replaced by environmentally friendly hydrometallurgy. The latter technique involves the dissolution of metal ions from the ores in an aqueous solution and extraction of the metal ions of interest using macrocycles in an organic solvent. Recovery of metal ions can lead to pure metal ions of interest.\(^{1,9}\)

In addition, macrocyclic ligands and their transition metal complexes have pharmacological properties and are currently being used as therapeutic and diagnostic agents in the biomedical arena. For example, previously lethal metal-overload disorders such as Wilson’s disease and thalassaemia, were identified as copper and iron overload, respectively. A successful treatment of these disorders can be achieved by using macrocyclic agents.\(^{10}\) Due to the chelation property, macrocyclic compounds such as enterobactin and \([15]pyN_5\) can bind iron and copper ions accumulated in tissues,
respectively, and reduce their quantity significantly. In addition, paramagnetic complexes of Gd\textsuperscript{3+}, Tb\textsuperscript{3+}, Eu\textsuperscript{3+} and Mn\textsuperscript{2+} with macrocyclic ligands such as tetrakis(carboxymethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclo-dodecane (DOTA) \textsuperscript{4} and 1,4,10,13-tetraoxa-7,16-diaza-cyclooctadecane-7,16-diacetic acid (ODDA) \textsuperscript{5} are being used widely as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contrast agents (Figure 1.2). \textsuperscript{1,10,13-15}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1}
\caption{Figure 1.2 Macrocycles capable of binding with radioactive and paramagnetic metal ions.\textsuperscript{1,15}}
\end{figure}

Furthermore, macrocyclic ligands can be used in different microelectronic devices and sensors for the selective detection, amplification, and recognition of metal ions.\textsuperscript{1} For example, macrocycles 6 and 7 can be used as sensor materials to detect Co\textsuperscript{2+} ions efficiently whereas macrocycle 8 has been used for the selective detection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} ions in living systems (Figure 1.3). \textsuperscript{16-18}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2}
\caption{Figure 1.3 Example of macrocyclic sensor materials.}
\end{figure}
1.2.2 Biological aspect

Macro cyclic ligands and their complexes are ubiquitous in biological systems. In living systems, electron deficient metal ions, such as iron, magnesium and cobalt, can bind electron-rich bio-molecules to form metallobiomolecules which play an important role in biological systems.\(^\text{19}\) For instance, haemoglobin, also known as the iron metalloprotein, can be considered as the ‘molecular shuttle’ for electron transport, dioxygen transport and storage.\(^\text{1}\) Besides, oxygen-based nonactin ligands are involved in alkali metal transportation as well as in ionic balances within the cell.\(^\text{1}\)

1.3 Types of macrocyclic ligands

A majority of ligands consist of oxygen, nitrogen, phosphorous or sulfur donor atoms. Depending on the nature and type of the donor atoms present, they can be classified into three major categories: oxygen-based macrocycles, nitrogen-based macrocycles, and macrocycles with donor atoms other than nitrogen and oxygen atoms.\(^\text{1}\)

1.3.1 Oxygen-based macrocycles

Cyclic polyethers are oxygen-based macromolecules that are well-known as the ‘crown ethers’. These are a large family of ligands with different ring sizes and varying number of the repeating ethyleneoxy (-CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O-) unit.\(^\text{1}\) Macro cycles \(^\text{9–11}\) are three different crown ethers of different ring sizes (Figure 1.4). Crown ethers are the accidental discovery by Charles Pedersen who was working at DuPont in 1967 and noticed the stronger affinity of crown ethers toward the alkali and alkaline earth metal ions.\(^\text{20,21}\) The complexation properties of crown ethers have been studied extensively and found to have had a large contribution towards the development of supramolecular host-guest chemistry.
Figure 1.4 Crown ethers of different ring sizes.

Crown ethers with different ring sizes provide variable cavity sizes. For instance, the cavity sizes of [12]crown-4, [15]crown-5, and [18]crown-6 are 1.2–1.4 Å, 1.7–2.2 Å and 2.6–3.2 Å, respectively. The different cavity sizes allow the crown ethers to bind metal ions with varying ionic radii to form stable complexes. Crown ethers can be used as scavengers to remove radioactive elements from the environment as well as extraction reagents to remove undesired elements in organic solvents. They also have potential applications in organic synthesis such as phase transfer catalysis and drug delivery.

1.3.2 Nitrogen-based macrocycles

1.3.2.1 Polyamine or imine macrocycles

The nitrogen atoms in a macrocyclic ring system coordinate with metal ions in a similar fashion as their open-chain analogues. In terms of stability, however, they differ significantly. In general, a metal complex from a polydentate ligand is more stable in comparison to that from a monodentate ligand and this phenomenon is known as the chelate effect. Because of the effect, the stability of the complexes vary. Below is a series of copper(II) complexes that have different stability constants $K$ (Figure 1.5).
the affinity to a metal ion can be enhanced several fold.

\[ \text{Cu}^{2+} + \text{L} \rightleftharpoons \text{CuL}^{2+} \]

\[ K = [\text{CuL}^{2+}]/[\text{Cu}^{2+}] \]

\[ \log K = \begin{array}{ccccc} 28.0 & 23.9 & 20.1 & 16.1 & 10.7 \end{array} \]

\[ \text{L} = \]

Figure 1.5 Variation of the stability of copper(II) complexes with different tetramines.

Construction of stable metal-ligand complexes depends on the complementarity between a metal ion and a ligand which includes the matching of their size, geometry and electronic environment.\textsuperscript{26} Furthermore, by introducing topological constraints in a ligand, the affinity to a metal ion can be enhanced several fold.\textsuperscript{26}

Nitrogen-based macrocyclic ligands with a varying number of donor atoms, ring sizes and structural topologies have been synthesized. Among them, tetraazamacrocycles, commonly known as cyclams, are extensively studied.

The synthesis of topologically constrained tetraazamacrocycles can be classified into two synthetic routes: direct organic synthesis and metal-directed template synthesis.\textsuperscript{1} In the direct organic synthetic approach, the tetraazamacroyclic compounds of interest are obtained through organic transformations from smaller organic units. For example, Wainwright et al. synthesized bridged cyclam 12 and cyclen 13 through alkylation of 1 with 1,2-dibromoethane (Scheme 1.1).\textsuperscript{27,28}
Scheme 1.1 Synthesis of bridged cyclam 12 and cyclen 13.

Although macrocyclic compounds can be synthesized by direct organic transformations, the instability of a reactant or the conformational changes required during macrocyclic ring closures can be a potential problem and, in some cases, can lead to undesired products.\textsuperscript{1,24} In order to circumvent these problems, metal-directed template approach is used. In this approach, a metal ion is attached to the coordination sites of a ligand, which can pre-organize and facilitate ring closures (Figure 1.6).\textsuperscript{1}

Figure 1.6 Schematic diagram of metal-directed template synthesis.\textsuperscript{1}

For example, nickel(II)-$N_2S_2$ complex 14, can be easily synthesized by the template method but cannot be prepared using the direct method due to the instability of the metal-free $N_2S_2$ reactant (Scheme 1.2).\textsuperscript{1}
Scheme 1.2 Template synthesis of macrocyclic-nickel(II) complex 14.

Although template synthesis has advantages such as conformational control on the desired products, the removal of metal ions from the highly stable metal complex can be a potential problem.  

1.3.2.2 Porphyrins and phthalocyanines

Porphyrin 15 is a biologically important nitrogen-containing ligand because of its involvement in living systems (Figure 1.7). In terms of structure, phthalocyanine 16 is an analog of porphyrin. Porphyrins have the ability to form complexes with iron(II) which are involved in dioxygen binding, transportation and metabolism. Prophyrin- and phthalocyanine-based compounds are also of interest in dyes and pigments, molecular electronics, solar cells and supramolecular chemistry. 

Figure 1.7 Structure of porphyrin 15 and phthaloxyanine 16.
1.3.2.3 Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles

Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles are new types of macrocycles with four nitrogen donor atoms in the structure (Figure 1.8). These ligands are highly rigid and pre-organized with no configurational flexibility.\textsuperscript{32,33} In addition, the four tertiary amino groups provide increased nucleophilicity in comparison to the secondary amino groups of cyclam.\textsuperscript{32} This, together with the steric hindrance around the cavity, is expected to facilitate metal-ion encapsulation to form highly stable complexes with interesting electronic properties such as charge transfer and redox properties.\textsuperscript{1,8,32,33}

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.3\textwidth]{figure1.8.png}
\end{center}

**Figure 1.8** Example of bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycles.

Although these macrocycles are interesting both in terms of structure and property, they have not been studied extensively because of synthetic challenges.\textsuperscript{32,34,35} Miyahara et al. synthesized the first tetrphenylbis-bispidine 17 (R = Ph) and found them to have very low solubility in organic solvents, which was a potential problem for further study of the compound.\textsuperscript{36} Comba and coworkers prepared tetramethylbis-bispidine 18 (R = Me) and its Cu(II) complex which exhibited interesting optical properties, however, the characterization of the complex was minimal.\textsuperscript{33} To date, this is the only bis-bispidine-metal complex that has been reported. Miyahara et al. also constructed bis-bispidine
tetraazamacrocycle 19 (R = H) which was found to be readily soluble in a wide range of solvents from nonpolar hexane to polar aqueous medium.\textsuperscript{37}

As part of the research interest in our group to make polytetraazamacrocycles, a modular synthetic protocol has been developed to give functionalized bis-bispidine tetraazamacroyclic compounds 20 and 21 (Figure 1.9).\textsuperscript{38}

\[ \text{HO-} \quad \begin{array}{c}
N \\
N
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
N \\
N
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
H
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{OR}
\end{array} \]

\[ 20 (R = H) \]

\[ 21 (R = \text{TBS}) \]

**Figure 1.9** Functionalized bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 20 and 21.

In this synthetic protocol, double Mannich reaction of N-Boc-4-piperidone 22 with paraformaldehyde and allylamine gave N-Boc-N′-allylbispidinone 23 (Scheme 1.3). Reaction of triethyl phosphonoacetate with a strong base followed by the nucleophilic addition of the carbanion to bispidinone 23 yielded bispidine ester 24 under Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons conditions.\textsuperscript{39} Removal of the allyl group on 24 in the presence of 1-chloroethyl chloroformate and the cleavage of the resulting carbamate group and the Boc protective group afforded bispidine hydrochloride 25.

The acetylation reaction of bispidine hydrochloride 25 with chloroacetyl chloride was carried out to obtain bis(chloroacetamide) 26 which can be further transformed into bis(iodoacetamide) 27 upon treatment with sodium iodide. Cyclization of bispidine hydrochloride 25 and bis(iodoacetamide) 27 afforded macrocyclic bisamide 28 at
ambient temperature. DIBALH reduction of bisamide 28 in toluene was achieved to give functionalized bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 20.

Scheme 1.3 Synthesis of dihydroxy bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 20.
In order to make bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 21 with different functional groups on each end of the macrocyclic framework, the functional groups were incorporated before the macrocycle formation. DIBALH reduction of bispidine ester 24 followed by TBS protection of the –OH group afforded silyl ether 29 (Scheme 1.4). Removal of the allyl, Boc and TBS groups in 29 through reaction with 1-chloroethyl chloroformate followed by treatment with HCl provided hydroxybispidine 30. Macrocyclization of bis(iodoacetamide) 27 and hydroxybispidine 30 generated bisamide 31 which upon DIBAL reduction afforded bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 21.

Scheme 1.4 Synthesis of hydroxy bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 21.
The modular approach was a good starting point to obtain functionalized bis-bispidine-based macrocycles, especially for the independent incorporation of different functional groups to each end of the macrocyclic frameworks. However, this protocol was not applicable when identical labile functionalities, such as ester group, were desired on the macrocyclic framework. Therefore, in our pursuit to study the coordination chemistry of this type of compounds, a synthetic approach was needed which would allow the facile and concurrent incorporation of labile functional groups onto the bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocyclic framework.\textsuperscript{34} This is discussed in detail in Chapter 2 of this thesis.

1.3.3 Macrocycles containing donor atoms other than nitrogen and oxygen

Macrocycles containing donor atoms other than nitrogen and oxygen are also known. Macrocyclic ligands \textsuperscript{32}, \textsuperscript{33} and \textsuperscript{34} are examples of sulfur-, phosphorus- and selenium-based macrocycles, respectively (Figure 1.10).\textsuperscript{1} Gellman et al. studied the structure and complexation properties of macrocycles consisting of phosphorous and sulfur.\textsuperscript{40} However, the cumbersome syntheses of these ligands using toxic reagents limited their study in comparison to their nitrogen and oxygen analogues.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure10.png}
\caption{Example of sulfur-, phosphorous- and selenium-containing macrocycles.\textsuperscript{1}}
\end{figure}
1.4 Metal-ligand coordination

1.4.1 Metal ion dependence

Whether a metal ion can form a stable complex depends on criteria such as the ionic radius and charge density of the metal ions of interest. Complexation between a positively charged metal ion and an anionic or neutral ligand is an electrostatic interaction. For a particular ligand, the stability of its metal complexes vary with the charges on the metal cations. For example, when Li\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Y\(^{3+}\) and Th\(^{4+}\) form complexes with OH\(^-\), the stability constant log\(K\) are 0.3, 2.1, 7.0 and 10, respectively.

\[
\text{M}^{n+} + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{M(OH)}^{(n-1)+} \quad \text{M = Li}^+, \text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Y}^{3+}, \text{Th}^{4+}
\]

Surface charge density also affects the stability of a complex. Surface charge density can be defined as “the ratio between the charge on a surface and the surface area.” When the ionic radius of the metal ion increases with a fixed charge on it, the effective attractive force of the metal ion for a ligand decreases. This can lead to a low stability constant of a complex. For instances, when divalent metal cations Be\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ba\(^{2+}\) form complexes with OH\(^-\) in the above equation, the log\(K\) values are 7.0, 2.1, 1.5 and 0.6 respectively.

1.4.2 Hole size of ligands and its consequences

The hole-size or cavity of a macrocyclic ligand can be defined as “the void which is occupied by a metal ion in a complex or is available to be occupied by a metal ion in the case of a free ligand.” The size of a cavity depends on several factors, such as the ring
size of the ligand, type and nature of the donor atoms, number of binding atoms, as well as conformational changes within the ligand. The effective radius of cavity $r(H)$ can be determined from the distance between two diagonal donor atoms using molecular or computer-generated models or solid state X-ray crystallographic data. In simple tetraazamacrocycles and crown ethers, it is calculated by subtracting the Pauling covalent radii of the donor atom $r(D)$ from the half distance between two diagonally located donor atoms, $r$ (Figure 1.11).  

$$ r = d/2 \\ r(H) = r - r(D) $$  

$d = \text{distance between the centre of two donor atoms}$  

$r = \text{distance between the centre of the cavity and the center of the donor atom}$  

$r(H) = \text{effective radius of the cavity}$  

$r(D) = \text{covalent radius of donor atom}$  

**Figure 1.11** Determination of available cavity size.  

The fit between the effective radius of a ligand cavity and the ionic radius of a metal ion determines the formation, stability and shape of the complex. The closer the fit, the more stable is the complex. A perfect matching of the size of metal ion and the ligand cavity can lead to the most stable complex, like a key and lock.  

A slightly larger metal ion compared to the available cavity of the ligand can lead to a 1:1 complex where the metal ion occupies a position slightly above the mean plane of the
cavity. For example, in the pentagonal pyramid complex 35, Na$^+$ ion is larger than the cavity size of the crown ether benzo-15-crown-5 and sits 0.75 Å above the mean plane of the cavity (Figure 1.12).

![Figure 1.12 Structure of [Na(benzo-15-crown-5)(H$_2$O)]I 35.](image)

A cation too large to fit in a cavity can form a 2:1 sandwich complex where the metal ion sits in between the two ligands. For instance, in structure 36, Na$^+$ is coordinated to two molecules of 12-crown-4 (Figure 1.13).

![Figure 1.13 Structure of [Na(12-crown-4)$_2$][(ClO$_4$)] 36.](image)

In contrast, metal ions with an ionic radius smaller than the cavity of a ligand could induce a folding of the ligand. Formation of dinuclear complexes is also possible. An example is structure 37, where the Na$^+$ ion induces the folding of 18-crown-6 (Figure 1.14).
1.4.3 Metal-bis-bispidine complexes

Due to their structural rigidity and the steric hindrance around the cavity, bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles are expected to encapsulate metal ions selectively and form highly stable complexes. Comba et al. synthesized tetramethylbis-bispidine 38 from diazaadamantane derivatives and studied its coordination with copper(II) ions (Figure 1.15). However, no crystal structures of the complex 39 had been obtained.

The UV-Visible spectra of the proposed copper(II) complex 39 showed a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of 390 nm in nitromethane and 430 nm in water, which indicated that 38 had the highest ligand field strength for a tetraamine donor (Figure 1.16). Comba et al. stated that “In comparison with the parent [Cu(cyclam)]$^{2+}$ compound there is a shift of the maximum by 110 nm (5740 cm$^{-1}$, 68 kJmol$^{-1}$) to lower wavelengths. This is the result of the increased nucleophilicity of the amines, a significant shortening of the Cu–N bonds, and the
shielding of the axial coordination sites''. These results suggest that bis-bispidine-based metal complexes may have interesting optical and electronic properties worthy of further investigation.

![Graph showing electronic spectra of 39 in CH$_3$NO$_2$ (a) and in H$_2$O.]

1.5 Scope of this thesis

This thesis illustrates the synthesis and coordination study of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles. Chapter 2 includes the synthesis and characterization of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 40 (Figure 1.17).

![Figure 1.17 Functionalized bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 40.]

In Chapter 3, attempts to obtain the metal complexes of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles are discussed. Chapter 4 provides a summary of the research in this thesis and outlines suggested future work.
Reference


Chapter 2: Synthesis of Bis-bispidine-based Tetraazamacrocycles

2.1 Experimental

2.1.1 Materials and methods

All reagents and anhydrous solvents were used as received from the Aldrich Company unless otherwise indicated. Tetrahydrofuran, toluene, dichloromethane, hexane and diethyl ether were further purified on a MBraun solvent purification system through double column filtration of the anhydrous solvents (99.8%). 1,2-Dichloroethane (ACS grade) was distilled from calcium hydride and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. N-Boc-$N'$-allylbispidinone 4 was synthesized according to the literature procedure.\(^1\) All manipulations of air-sensitive materials were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere either in a MBraun glovebox or by standard Schlenk line techniques. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel (Whatman 250 µm-thick 60 Å F\(_{254}\)) plates with aluminum backing and followed under UV light and/or by staining with phosphomolybdic acid (PMA) solution in ethanol. Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (SiliCycle, 60 Å, 40 – 63 µm, 230 – 400 mesh), reversed-phase silica gel (C18, Carbon 17%, 60 Å, 40 – 63 µm), or alumina (activated, basic, Brockmann I).

\(^1\)H, \(^{13}\)C, COSY and HSQC NMR spectra were recorded on 300 and 500 MHz spectrometers and referenced to residual protonated solvent (\(^1\)H) or deuterated solvent (\(^{13}\)C) unless otherwise specified. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Electrospray Ionization Time of Flight Mass Spectrometer operating in positive mode. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using a Bruker Alpha FT-IR spectrometer with a Platinum ATR module (single reflection diamond crystal). Melting
points were measured using an automated melting point apparatus (OptiMelt, Stanford Research Systems) and are uncorrected. As part of this M. Sc. research, synthesis and characterization of the compounds 7–10, 12–13, 16 and 17 (Method 1) had been published in the literature in 2013\(^2\) and are reprinted below.

2.1.2 Synthesis and characterization

Synthesis of \(N\text{-Boc-}N'\text{-ethoxylcarbonylbispidinone}\) (5)

To a clear solution of \(N\text{-Boc-}N'\text{-allylbispidinone}\) 4 (200 mg, 0.713 mmol) in anhydrous dichloroethane (3.5 mL) under \(N_2\) atmosphere was added DMAP (18.0 mg, 0.143 mmol) followed by ethyl chloroformate (0.10 mL, 1.07 mmol). After stirring overnight at room temperature, the resulting clear reaction mixture was quenched with 15% NaOH (2mL). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 3 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes → 25% EtOAc/hexanes) to give \(N\text{-Boc-}N'\text{-ethoxylcarbonylbispidinone}\) 5 as a colourless solid. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 4.65 (m, 2H), 4.57 (d, \(J = 13.0\) Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, \(J = 12.5\) Hz, 1H), 4.17 (m, 1H), 4.07 (q, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 1H), 3.36 – 3.23 (m, 4H), 2.41 (s, br, 1H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.26 (t, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 3H);
$^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 212.0, 155.4, 154.3, 80.6, 61.8, 50.4, 50.3, 50.2, 49.6, 46.9, 28.1, 14.5.

**Synthesis of 9,9-Diethoxy-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane hydrochloride (7)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{N} \\
7 & \quad \cdot 2\text{HCl}
\end{align*}
\]

To a solution of N-Boc- $N'$-allylbispindone 4 (5.00 g, 17.8 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (40 mL) was added 1-chloroethyl chloroformate (2.91 mL, 26.8 mmol) at ambient temperature under N$_2$. The pale yellow reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 50 $^\circ$C overnight. Upon solvent removal, the crude residue was dissolved in anhydrous ethanol (40 mL). To this solution was added HCl (45.0 mL of a 2.0 M solution in diethyl ether, 90.0 mmol) at ambient temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 $^\circ$C for 1 h. Removal of ethanol under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) at 50 $^\circ$C afforded the title product 7 as a pale yellow solid residue which was brought to the next step without any purification.

**Synthesis of 3,7-Bis(chloroacetyl)-9,9-diethoxy-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (8)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O} & \quad \text{O} \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{N} \\
8 & \quad \text{Cl} \quad \text{Cl}
\end{align*}
\]
To a suspension of bispidine diethyl ketal 7 obtained in the previous step in anhydrous dichloromethane (90.0 mL) at 0 °C under N\textsubscript{2} was added chloroacetyl chloride (3.55 mL, 44.6 mmol) dropwise followed by \textit{N}, \textit{N}-diisopropylethylamine (13.9 mL, 80.0 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C before it was quenched with saturated aqueous NH\textsubscript{4}Cl solution. The dichloromethane layer was separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes → 50% EtOAc/hexanes) to give bis(chloroacetamide) 8 as a white solid (4.18 g, 11.4 mmol, 64% yield from \textit{N}-Boc-\textit{N}'-allylbispidinone, 4). mp: 184–186 °C. \textit{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 4.64 (d, \textit{J} = 13.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.21 (d, \textit{J} = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (d, \textit{J} = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (d, \textit{J} = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (d, \textit{J} = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (q, \textit{J} = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 3.14 (d, \textit{J} = 14.0 Hz, 2H), 2.12 (s, 2H), 1.23 (t, \textit{J} = 7.0 Hz, 6H); \textit{\textsuperscript{13}C} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}): δ 166.3, 97.0, 55.0, 48.1, 43.6, 41.2, 34.8, 15.0; \textit{\textsuperscript{1}H} NMR (500 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}): δ 4.42 (d, \textit{J} = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (d, \textit{J} = 13.0 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (d, \textit{J} = 12.5 Hz, 2H), 3.19 (q, \textit{J} = 13.5 Hz, 4H), 3.00 (m, 4H), 2.69 (d, \textit{J} = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 1.32 (s, br, 2H), 0.95 (t, \textit{J} = 7.0 Hz, 6H); \textit{\textsuperscript{13}C} NMR (125 MHz, C\textsubscript{6}D\textsubscript{6}): δ 166.1, 97.4, 54.7, 47.9, 43.2, 41.8, 34.9, 15.0; IR (solid): 3001, 2975, 2887, 1642, 1443, 1115, 1056, 1027, 789 cm\textsuperscript{-1}; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{24}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}Cl\textsubscript{2}H\textsuperscript{+} [M+H\textsuperscript{+}] 367.1191, found 367.1177. Colourless, plate-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a mixture of ethylacetate and hexanes solution.
Synthesis of 3,7-Bis(iodoacetyl)-9,9-diethoxy-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9)

To a solution of bis(chloroacetamide) 8 (4.62 g, 12.6 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (40 mL) at ambient temperature was added a solution of sodium iodide (5.65 g, 37.7 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (30 mL). After overnight stirring at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered. The filtrate was washed with 30 mL of water, separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes → 50% EtOAc/hexanes) to give bis(iodoacetamide) 9 as a white solid (6.35 g, 11.5 mmol, 92% yield). mp: 185–187 °C.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 4.62 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.90 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.74 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.60 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.55 (dm, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.50 (2q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 4H), 3.09 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.13 (s, br, 2H), 1.24 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 167.3, 96.8, 55.0, 49.2, 43.7, 34.7, 15.0, -3.0; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): δ 4.44 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.76 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.61 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.19 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.12 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.00 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 4H), 2.68 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 1.32 (s, br, 2H), 0.94 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): δ 166.9, 97.3, 54.7, 49.0, 43.4, 34.9, 15.1, -1.7; IR (solid): 3007, 2966, 2878, 1625, 1437, 1053 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{24}$N$_2$O$_4$I$_2$H$^+$ [M+H$^+$] 550.9904, found
Colourless, plate-shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a mixture of ethylacetate and hexanes solution.

**Synthesis of \(9,9,18,18\)-Tetraethoxy-3,6,12,15 tetraazapentacyle-
[13.3.1.1\(^3\).1\(^6\).1\(^8\).1\(^{12}\)]docosane-4,14-dione (10)**

Bispidine diethyl ketal 7 was prepared from \(N\)-Boc-\(N'\)-allylbispidinone 4 (2.29 g, 8.18 mmol) according to the aforementioned procedure. To a suspension of 7 in anhydrous dichloromethane (50 mL) at ambient temperature was added a clear solution of bis(iodoacetamide) 9 (3.00 g, 5.46 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (223 mL) followed by \(N,N\)-diisopropylethylamine (7.55 mL, 43.3 mmol). After overnight stirring, the clear reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO\(_3\) (30 mL) and separated. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 50 mL) and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (basic alumina, hexanes → 50% THF/hexanes) to give bisamide 10 as a pale yellow solid (1.59 g, 3.12 mmol, 57% yield). mp: 241–243 °C. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): δ 4.04 (d, \(J = 13.5\) Hz, 2H), 3.88 (d, \(J = 11.5\) Hz, 2H), 3.55 (dd, \(J = 11.0, 4.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.52–3.39 (m, 8H), 3.35 (d, \(J = 12.5\) Hz, 2H), 3.24 (dd, \(J = 13.5, 4.0\) Hz, 2H), 3.05 (dd, \(J = 10.0, 2.0\) Hz, 2H), 2.89 (d, \(J = 10.5\) Hz, 2H), 2.85 (d, \(J = 10.0\) Hz, 2H), 2.62 (dd, \(J = 10.5, 2.5\) Hz, 2H), 2.53 (dd, \(J = 10.5\) Hz, 2H).
2.54 (d, \( J = 12.5 \) Hz, 2H), 2.27 (s, br, 1H), 2.15 (s, br, 1H), 1.96 (m, br, 1H), 1.91 (m, br, 1H), 1.20 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 6H), 1.18 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta \) 172.0, 97.7, 97.1, 59.5, 56.4, 54.9, 54.2, 54.1, 48.7, 45.1, 37.3, 35.6, 34.3, 33.7, 15.10, 15.07. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \( \delta \) 4.33 (d, \( J = 13.5 \) Hz, 2H), 3.44 (d, \( J = 11.0 \) Hz, 2H), 3.27 – 3.16 (m, 14H), 3.03 (d, \( J = 12.5 \) Hz, 2H), 2.98 (dd, \( J = 10.0 \), 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (dd, \( J = 10.5 \), 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.69 (d, \( J = 12.5 \) Hz, 2H), 2.44 (d, \( J = 9.0 \) Hz, 2H), 1.77 – 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.68 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.084 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 6H), 1.076 (t, \( J = 7.0 \) Hz, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \( \delta \) 171.0, 98.1, 97.9, 59.9, 56.6, 54.9, 54.6, 54.1, 48.7, 45.5, 37.7, 36.0, 34.8, 34.1, 15.32, 15.28; IR (solid): 2970, 2916, 2873, 2812, 1637, 1431, 1115, 1050 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{44}\)N\(_4\)O\(_6\)H\(^+\) [M+H\(^+\)] 509.3339, found 509.3339. Colourless block shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a mixture of dichloromethane and hexanes solution.

**Synthesis of protonated diketal bisamide (11)**

![Image of structure](image)

To a suspension of bispidine diethyl ketal 7, prepared from N-Boc-N'-allylbispidinone 4 (0.77 g, 0.27 mmol) according to the aforementioned procedure, in anhydrous acetonitrile (3 mL) at ambient temperature was added a clear solution of diketal bis(iodoacetamide) 9 (0.100 g, 0.182 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (6 mL) followed by N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.25 mL, 1.45 mmol). After adding all the reagents, the initial clear solution became a white suspension in 15 min which was stirred overnight. Ater
overnight stirring at ambient temperature, the white precipitate was separated, washed with anhydrous acetonitrile (3 x 3 mL) and evaporated to dryness to give protonated bisamide 11 as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 13.04 (br, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.79 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.16 (m, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 4H), 3.65 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 2.5 Hz, 4H), 3.52 – 3.41 (m, 8H), 3.23 (dd, $J = 13.5$, 3.5 Hz, 4H), 3.11 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.83 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (br, 1H), 2.45 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.29 (br, 1H), 2.24 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 1.22 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 1.18 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 165.9, 96.4, 94.8, 57.0, 55.7, 55.5, 55.2, 49.5, 45.5, 34.9, 34.0, 33.8, 33.7, 15.0, 14.9; IR (solid): 3012, 2973, 2925, 2875, 2847, 1658, 1640, 1437, 1253, 1129, 1093, 1068, 1041 cm$^{-1}$.

**Synthesis of 9,9,18,18-Tetraethoxy-3,6,12,15 tetraazapentacyclo[13.3.1.1$^{3,17}$.$1^{6,10}$.$1^{8,12}$]docosane (12)**

To a suspension of bisamide 10 (0.300 g, 0.590 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (11.8 mL) at ambient temperature under N$_2$ was added DIBALH (3.54 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 3.54 mmol) dropwise. The resulting clear solution was stirred for 2.5 h before it was quenched with methanol (8 drops) followed by 15% aqueous NaOH (3 mL). After 15 min of stirring, the organic solvent was evaporated under a stream of air and the aqueous layer was extracted with benzene (3 x 3 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced
pressure, and purified by recrystallization in hexanes to afford 12 as a colourless crystalline product (0.124 g, 0.258 mmol, 44% yield). Sublimation of the crude product (0.041 g) at 150 °C under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) also afforded 12 as a white solid (0.023 g, 0.048 mmol, 56 % yield from the crude product). mp: 183–185 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD): δ 3.50 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 3.09 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 8 H), 2.81 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 8H), 2.66 (s, 8H), 2.20 (s, 4H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 12 H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CD3OD): δ 98.3, 55.7, 55.6, 53.6, 37.0, 15.3; 1H NMR (500 MHz, C6D6): δ 3.45 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 8H), 2.81 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 8H), 2.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 8H), 2.42 (s, br, 8H), 1.97 (s, br, 4H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 12H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, C6D6): δ 99.5, 54.7, 54.13, 54.08, 37.2, 15.5; IR (solid): 2943, 2749, 1445, 1354, 1300, 1267, 1115, 1088, 1051, 974, 904, 812 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C26H48N4O4H+ [M+H+] 481.3754, found 481.3741.

Colourless block shaped crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a mixture of hexanes solution.

**Synthesis of 3,6,12,15-Tetraazapentacyclo[13.3.1.1³,1⁷.1⁶,10.1⁸,12]docosane-9,18-dione (13)**

![13](image)

A solution of ketal 12 (0.362 g, 0.753 mmol) in 10% aqueous HCl (9.40 mL) was stirred at 60 °C overnight. The solvent was evaporated under a stream of air, and to the solid residue was added NaOH (120 mg, 3.01 mmol) and water (6 mL). After 3 h of stirring at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was dried under a stream of air overnight and the solid residue was extracted with dichloromethane (4 x 4 mL). Filtration of the
dichloromethane extractions through Celite followed by solvent removal afforded 13 as a slightly pale yellow solid (0.179 g, 0.538 mmol, 71% yield). The product could be further purified by sublimation at 170 °C under high vacuum (0.05 Torr). mp: 168−171 °C.

`H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.45 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 8H), 2.73 (dd, J = 10.5, 4.0 Hz, 8H), 2.52 (s, 8H), 2.46 (s, br, 4H); `C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 216.3, 58.7, 52.9, 48.7; IR (solid): 2930, 2739, 1728, 1359, 1146, 989 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₈H₂₈N₄O₂H⁺ [M+H⁺] 333.2291, found 333.2278.

**Synthesis of 2,2′-(3,6,12,15-Tetraazapentacyclo[13.3.1.1³,7.1⁶,10.1⁸,12]docosane-9,18-diylidene)bis(ethyl acetate) (16)**

To a suspension of sodium hydride (0.018 g, 0.75 mmol) in anhydrous THF (1 mL) at 0 °C under N₂ was added triethyl phosphonoacetate (0.15 mL, 0.75 mmol) dropwise. The resulting clear colourless solution was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C and added via a syringe to a slightly cloudy solution of bis-bispindinone 13 (0.050 g, 0.15 mmol) in anhydrous THF (4 mL) at 0 °C under N₂. The clear reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 15 min at 0 °C and then 1.5 h at ambient temperature. The reaction was quenched with 15% NaOH (0.5 mL) and stirred overnight. THF was evaporated and the resulting mixture was extracted with anhydrous dichloromethane (4 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were
dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (reversed-phase silica gel, dichloromethane) to afford 16 as a slightly pale yellow solid (0.059 g, 0.12 mmol, 83% yield). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 5.67 (s, 2H), 4.14 (q, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 4H), 4.03 (m, br, 2H), 3.23–3.15 (m, 8 H), 2.45–2.34 (m, 18 H), 1.28 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.6, 166.7, 110.1, 59.5, 59.1, 59.0, 58.4, 58.3, 53.0, 43.3, 35.8, 14.3. IR (solid): 2921, 2853, 2762, 2740, 1705, 1651, 1227, 1147, 1034 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C$_{26}$H$_{40}$N$_4$O$_4$H$^+$ [M+H$^+$] 473.3128, found 473.3116.

**Synthesis of N-Boc-N’-allylbispidine (18)**

![N-Boc-N’-allylbispidine (18)](image)

To a reaction flask containing N-Boc-N’-allylbispidinone 4 (10.0 g, 35.7 mmol) and KOH (6.06 g 108 mmol) was added 71.0 mL of diethylene glycol followed by hydrazine monohydrate (4.32 mL, 89.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 170 °C for 1 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the resulting solution was washed with 15% NaOH aqueous solution (30 mL) followed by extraction with dichloromethane (100 mL). The dichloromethane layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was reextracted with dichloromethane (3 x 125 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes $\rightarrow$ 10% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford N-Boc-N’-allylbispidine 18 as a colourless oil (6.09 g, 22.9 mmol, 64% yield). $^1$H
NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.69 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (dm, J = 17.5 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (dm, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (dd, J = 13.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, J = 13.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dm, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.86 – 2.81 (m, 2H), 2.77 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, J = 13.5, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (br, 1H), 1.64 (br, 1H), 1.56 (dm, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (dm, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.4, 136.6, 116.5, 78.6, 62.5, 58.9, 58.4, 49.0, 47.9, 32.1, 29.5, 29.4, 28.8; IR (liquid): 3075, 3006, 2974, 2906, 2856, 2767, 1687, 1473, 1456, 1421, 1389, 1363, 1323, 1266, 1236, 1173, 1133, 1126, 1056, 999, 946, 901, 872, 835, 756, 623, 539 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₅H₂₆N₂O₂H⁺ [M+H⁺] 267.2072, found 267.2073.

Synthesis of bispidine hydrochloride (19)

![19](image)

To a clear colourless solution of N-Boc-N'-allylbispidine 18 (7.16 g, 26.9 mmol) in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (53.0 mL) was added 1-chloroethyl chloroformate (4.40 mL, 40.3 mmol) at ambient temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C overnight. Upon completion, the solvent was removed under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) at 50 °C. The crude brown residue was dissolved in methanol (53.0 mL). To this solution was added HCl (67.2 mL of a 2.0 M solution in diethyl ether, 134 mmol) at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 1 h. Removal of methanol under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) at 50 °C resulted a pale brown solid residue
which was washed with diethyl ether (3 x 50 mL). The residue was dried under high vacuum to afford 19 as a pale yellow solid (5.11 g, 25.7 mmol, 94% yield from N-Boc-NN′-allylbispidine 18). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CD3OD): δ 3.54 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 4H), 3.41 (dd, J = 13.5, 4.5 Hz, 4H), 2.42 (br, 2H), 2.02 (br, 2H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CD3OD): δ 46.2, 26.3, 25.5; IR (solid): 2954, 2902, 2785, 2689, 2582, 2566, 2485, 2449, 2395, 1634, 1591, 1457, 1428, 1313, 1285, 1028, 1007, 958, 815, 562, 485; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C14H29N4ClH+ [M+HCl+H+] 289.2159, found 289.2170.

Synthesis of bis(chloroacetamide) (20)

\[
\text{Cl} \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{Cl} \\
\text{O} \quad \text{N} \quad \text{Cl}
\]

To a suspension of bispidine hydrochloride 19 (8.23 g, 41.5 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (154 mL) at 0 °C under N2 was added N,N-diisopropylethylamine (23.7 mL, 136 mmol) followed by chloroacetyl chloride (8.30 mL, 103 mmol) at 0 °C under N2 atmosphere. The resulting slight orange clear solution was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C before it was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution. The dichloromethane layer was separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes → 75% EtOAc/hexanes) to give bis(chloroacetamide) 20 as a white solid (8.67 g, 31.1 mmol, 75% yield). mp: 201–202
°C. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.76 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 4.22 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.95 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.94 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.47 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.92 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.03 (br, 2H), 1.96 (br, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.5, 51.2, 46.5, 41.4, 31.9, 28.2; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ 4.38 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.01 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.89 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.19 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.55 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.08 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 0.94 (br, 2H), 0.83 (br, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ 166.0, 50.6, 45.7, 41.7, 31.6, 28.0; IR (solid): 3 032, 2956, 2909, 2857, 1647, 1631, 1443, 1415, 1348, 1308, 1296, 1247, 1224, 1212, 1130, 1102, 990, 824, 783, 732, 594, 560, 467; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C$_{11}$H$_{16}$N$_2$O$_2$Cl$_2$H$^+$ [M+H$^+$] 279.0667, found 279.0674.

**Synthesis of bis(iodoacetamide) (21)**

![Image of bis(iodoacetamide) 21]

To a clear colourless solution of bis(chloroacetamide) 20 (5.68 g, 20.3 mmol) in acetone (300 mL) at ambient temperature was added a solution of NaI (12.2 g, 81.3 mmol) in acetone (100 mL). After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in dichloromethane (150 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was washed with water (30 mL) and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (4 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes $\rightarrow$ 75% EtOAc/hexanes) to give bis(iodoacetamide) 21 as a white solid (8.52 g, 18.4 mmol, 91% yield). mp: 160–162 °C.
\(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 4.73 (d, \(J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.89 (d, \(J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.87 (d, \(J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.60 (d, \(J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.34 (d, \(J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 2.86 (d, \(J = 14.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 2.04 (br, 2H), 1.92 (br, 2H); \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 167.5, 52.2, 46.7, 31.6, 28.2, -2.8; \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \(\delta\) 4.40 (d, \(J = 14.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.67 (d, \(J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.53 (d, \(J = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 3.15 (d, \(J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 2.50 (d, \(J = 13.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 2.08 (d, \(J = 13.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}\)), 0.94 (br, 2H), 0.87 (br, 2H); \(^13\)C NMR (125 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)): \(\delta\) 166.8, 51.6, 45.9, 31.4, 28.1, -1.7; IR (solid): 3043, 2992, 2917, 2866, 1621, 1459, 1443, 1404, 1343, 1251, 1233, 1161, 1084, 1064, 991, 594, 513, 472, 454; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\(_{11}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)I\(_2\)H\(^+\) [M+H\(^+\)] 462.9380, found 462.9377.

**Synthesis of bisamide (22)**

![Diagram](image_url)

To a suspension of bispidine hydrochloride 19 (2.26 g, 11.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (100 mL) at ambient temperature was added N,N-diisopropylethylamine (9.90 mL, 56.8 mmol). A clear solution of bis(iodoacetamide) 21 (3.28 g, 7.09 mmol) in dichloromethane (260 mL) was added to the reaction flask. After stirring overnight at ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was washed with 10% Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (150 mL). The dichloromethane layer was separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (4 x 125 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over sodium sulfate, filtered and removal of the solvent afforded 22 as a pale yellow solid (3.30 g, 9.92 mmol, 96% yield). The crude product (1.467 g) could be
further purified by column chromatography (basic alumina, hexanes→ THF/hexanes) to give 22 as a very pale yellow solid (1.095 g, 3.29 mmol, 75% yield from the crude product). $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 4.14 (d, $J = 14.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.99 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.32 (dd, $J = 11.5$, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 3.31 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.03–2.97 (m, 6H), 2.73 (dd, $J = 10.0$, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.51 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.32 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (br, 1H), 2.06 (br, 1H), 1.83 (br, 1H), 1.80 (br, 2H), 1.78 (br, 1H), 1.53 (br, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 172.4, 60.4, 59.5, 57.5, 50.6, 46.9, 32.1, 31.4, 29.6, 28.5, 27.9, 27.2; $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) $\delta$ 4.31 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.39 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.26 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.91 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.70 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 2.58 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.54 (dd, $J = 11.5$, 4.5 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.33 (dd, $J = 10.5$, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.19 (dd, $J = 11.0$, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (br, 1H), 1.36–1.32 (m, 3H), 1.18 (br, 3H), 1.12 (br, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$): $\delta$ 171.1, 60.5, 59.4, 57.7, 50.3, 47.0, 32.4, 31.7, 29.9, 28.7, 28.1, 27.4; IR (solid): 2928, 2897, 2845, 2821, 2705, 1626, 1421, 1256, 1143, 1129, 1108, 1074, 1010, 984, 978, 847, 775, 738, 672, 619, 576, 529, 484, 473; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{28}$N$_4$O$_2$H$^+$ [M+H$^+$] 333.2291, found 333.2291.

**Synthesis of 3,6,12,15-Tetraazapentacyclo[13.3.1.1$^{3,17}$.1$^{6,10}$.1$^{8,12}$]docosane (17)**

![Structure of 3,6,12,15-Tetraazapentacyclo[13.3.1.1$^{3,17}$.1$^{6,10}$.1$^{8,12}$]docosane (17)](structure.png)

**Method 1:** To a reaction flask containing bis-bispidinone 13 (0.050 g, 0.15 mmol) and KOH (0.051 g 0.91 mmol) was added 1.0 mL of diethylene glycol followed by hydrazine
monohydrate (36 µL, 0.75 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 170 °C for 1 h. After cooling down to ambient temperature, HCl (1.0 mL of a 2.0 M solution in diethyl ether, 2.0 mmol) was added, followed by THF (20 mL) under stirring. The solid precipitate was isolated, dissolved in 0.1 – 0.3 mL of water, and precipitated in THF (15 mL). This process was repeated two more times. To the residue was added 15% aqueous NaOH (1.0 mL) and THF (3.0 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1.5 h before it was separated. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, and purified by sublimation at 80 – 120 °C under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) to afford \( \text{17} \) as a white solid (0.019 g, 0.062 mmol, 42% yield). The structure of \( \text{17} \) was confirmed by comparing its NMR data with that reported in the literature\(^3\).

**Method 2:** To a pale brown suspension of bisamide \( \text{22} \) (300 mg, 0.902 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (24 mL) at ambient temperature under nitrogen atmosphere was added diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBALH) (9.0 mL of a 1.0 M solution in toluene, 9.00 mmol) dropwise. The pale yellow cloudy solution was stirred for 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere before it was quenched with 15% aq. NaOH (4.5 mL). The organic solvent was evaporated under a stream of air and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (5 mL). The aqueous layer was re-extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 x 4.5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate for 1 h, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure, evaporated under high vacuum overnight and purified by sublimation at 80 – 150 °C under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) to afford \( \text{17} \) as a white solid (125 mg, 0.411 mmol, 46% yield).
2.1.3 X-ray crystallography

2.1.3.1 General data collection and refinement

The X-ray crystal structure of compound 8–10 and 12 were obtained at -123 °C, where the crystals were covered in Paratone or Nujol and placed rapidly into the cold N2 stream of the Kryo-Flex low-temperature device. The data was collected using the SMART\(^4\) software on a Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer using a graphite monochromator with Mo Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\ \text{Å}\)). A hemisphere of data was collected using a counting time of 10 s per frame. Data reductions were performed using the SAINT\(^5\) software, and the absorption corrections of the raw data were performed using SADABS\(^6\). The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELX\(^7\) and refined by full-matrix least-squares on \(F^2\) with anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-H atoms using SHELX-97\(^8\) and the WinGX\(^9\) software package, and thermal ellipsoid plots were evaluated by ORTEP3.2.\(^{10}\)

2.1.3.2 Crystallographic data

Table 2.1 Crystallographic data for compound 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>(\text{C}<em>{15}\text{H}</em>{24}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>367.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>0.2 × 0.2 × 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Colourless, plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) = 8.2504(11) Å</td>
<td>(\alpha = 100.467(1)°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) = 9.6655(13) Å</td>
<td>(\beta = 91.120(1)°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) = 11.6169(15) Å</td>
<td>(\gamma = 107.542(1)°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V), Å(^3)</td>
<td>865.9(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections to determine final unit cell</td>
<td>5652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min and max $2\theta$ for cell determination, $^\circ$</td>
<td>4.6, 56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(000)$</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ ($g/cm^3$)</td>
<td>1.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$, Å, (MoK$\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$, ($mm^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer type</td>
<td>Bruker APEX CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan types</td>
<td>$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max $2\theta$ for data collection, $^\circ$</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured fraction of data</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections measured</td>
<td>10253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections measured</td>
<td>4007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{merge}}$</td>
<td>0.0331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections included in refinement</td>
<td>4007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut off threshold expression</td>
<td>$I &gt; 2\sigma(I)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure refined using</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting scheme</td>
<td>$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.039P)^2 + 0.4133P]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of parameters in least-squares</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.0331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2$</td>
<td>0.0820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$ (all data)</td>
<td>0.0377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2$ (all data)</td>
<td>0.0860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit, $^bS$ on $F^2$</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum shift/error</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min &amp; max peak heights on final $\Delta F$ map ($e^/\AA$)</td>
<td>-0.45, 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.2 Crystallographic data for compound 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄I₂N₂O₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>550.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>0.20 × 0.20 × 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Colourless, plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>8.1367(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, °</td>
<td>101.7470(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>9.9235(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, °</td>
<td>91.2460(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>12.2971(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, °</td>
<td>108.1750(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, Å³</td>
<td>919.7(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (g/cm³)</td>
<td>1.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ, Å, (MoKα)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ, (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer type</td>
<td>Bruker APEX CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan types</td>
<td>ω and φ scans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max 2θ for data collection, °</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured fraction of data</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections measured</td>
<td>10572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections measured</td>
<td>4112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&lt;sub&gt;merge&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections included in refinement</td>
<td>3529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut off threshold expression</td>
<td>I &gt; 2σ(I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure refined using</td>
<td>Full-matrix least-squares on F²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting scheme</td>
<td>w = 1/[σ²(F&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;²) + (0.0297P)² + 0.413P]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>where P = (F&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;² + 2F&lt;sub&gt;c&lt;/sub&gt;²)/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of parameters in least-squares 210
R₁ 0.0323
wR₂ 0.0651
R₁ (all data) 0.0404
wR₂ (all data) 0.0688
Goodness-of-fit, S on F² 1.071
Maximum shift/error 0.001
Min & max peak heights on final ΔF map (-0.538, 1.053) (e/Å)

Table 2.3 Crystallographic data for compound 10•CH₂Cl₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₂₆H₄₄N₄O₆ • CH₂Cl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>593.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>0.26 × 0.18 × 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Colourless, plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>8.4658(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, °</td>
<td>75.041(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>12.7598(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, °</td>
<td>89.508(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>14.619(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, °</td>
<td>87.310(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V, Å³</td>
<td>1523.9(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections to determine final unit cell</td>
<td>5169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min and max 2θ for cell determination, °</td>
<td>4.8, 49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (g/cm)</td>
<td>1.294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ, Å, (MoKα)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ, (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer type</td>
<td>Bruker APEX CCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan types</td>
<td>ω and φ scans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max 2θ for data collection, °</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measured fraction of data 0.997
Number of reflections measured 14398
Unique reflections measured 5169
$\text{R}_{\text{merge}}$ 0.050
Number of reflections included in refinement 3580
Cut off threshold expression $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Structure refined using Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$
Weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0592P)^2 + 0.6662P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Number of parameters in least-squares 352
$R_1$ 0.0553
$wR_2$ 0.1235
$R_1$ (all data) 0.0862
$wR_2$ (all data) 0.1386
Goodness-of-fit, $S$ on $F^2$ 1.018
Maximum shift/error 0.001
Min & max peak heights on final $\Delta F$ map ($e'/\text{Å}$) -0.55, 0.47

Table 2.4 Crystallographic data for compound 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>$C_{26}H_{48}N_{4}O_{4}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight ($g/mol$)</td>
<td>480.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>$0.26 \times 0.18 \times 0.08$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Colourless, block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>$a = 7.6273(8) \text{ Å}, \alpha = 68.658(1) ^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 9.0779(10) \text{ Å}, \beta = 78.584(1) ^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 10.6919(12) \text{ Å}, \gamma = 83.150(1) ^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$, Å$^3$</td>
<td>674.99(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections to determine final unit cell</td>
<td>5217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Min and max $\theta$ for cell determination, ° 4.8, 56.4

$Z$ 1

F(000) 264

$\rho$ (g/cm$^3$) 1.183

$\lambda$, Å, (MoK$\alpha$) 0.71073

$\mu$, (mm$^{-1}$) 0.08

Diffractometer type Bruker APEX CCD

Scan types $\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans

Max $\theta$ for data collection, ° 56.4

Measured fraction of data 0.996

Number of reflections measured 6459

Unique reflections measured 2363

$R_{\text{merge}}$ 0.018

Number of reflections included in refinement 2143

Cut off threshold expression $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Structure refined using Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$

Weighting scheme $w = 1/[(\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.063P)^2 + 0.2389P)]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Number of parameters in least-squares 154

$R_1$ 0.0397

$wR_2$ 0.1075

$R_1$ (all data) 0.0431

$wR_2$ (all data) 0.1118

Goodness-of-fit, $^bS$ on $F^2$ 0.984

Maximum shift/error 0.001

Min & max peak heights on final $\Delta F$ map ($e^\prime$/Å) -0.21, 0.27

$^aR1(F) = \{\Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma|F_o|\}$ for reflections with $F_o > 4(\sigma(F_o))$. $wR2(F^2) = \{\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2/\Sigma w(|F_o|^2)^2\}^{1/2}$, where ‘$w$’ denotes the weight given each reflection.

$^bS = [\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2]/(n-p)^{1/2}$, hence, ‘$n$’ is the number of reflections and ‘$p$’ is the number of parameters used.
2.2 Results and discussion

Due to the high rigidity of their macrocyclic framework and the steric hindrance around the cavity, semi-cage like bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles can be used to encapsulate transition metal ions selectively to form stable complexes.\textsuperscript{11,12} Bis-bispединone 1 (Y = O) has a pair of carbonyl groups at each end of the macrocyclic framework and could be used as a versatile substrate for facile difunctionalization to prepare diester 1 (Y = CHCO\textsubscript{2}Et) and bis-bispide 1 (Y = H\textsubscript{2}) (Figure 2.1). The former could be utilized in polymer synthesis through condensation reaction with different types of diols. The latter is the simplest tetraazamacrocyclic structure without any extra functional groups and could be used as an ideal ligand for metal-coordination studies.

![Figure 2.1. Bis-bispединone-based tetraazamacrocycles.](image)

\textbf{2.2.1 Retrosynthetic plan for bis-bispединone 1}

The target bis-bispединone 1 would be prepared by the cyclization of bispединone 2 and its derivative 3 (Scheme 2.1). Both building blocks 2 and 3 could be derived from \textit{N}-Boc-\textit{N}'-allylbispединone 4 which can be synthesized from \textit{N}-Boc-4-piperidone via double Mannich reaction by following the literature procedure.\textsuperscript{1,13}
Scheme 2.1 Retrosynthetic plan.

2.2.2 Synthesis of bis-bispidine hydrochloride 6

\( \text{N-Boc-}\text{N’-allylbispidinone 4} \) was synthesized according to the literature procedure from \( \text{N-Boc-4-piperidone} \) via a double Mannich reaction (Scheme 2.2).\(^1\) It had been observed that the subsequent deprotection of the allyl group on 4 with 1-chloroethyl chloroformate did not go to completion.\(^{14}\) This was probably due to the presence of a trace amount of acid present either in the solvent or in 1-chloroethyl chloroformate.\(^{14}\) To remove any protonated 4 in the reaction medium which might interfere in the subsequent transformations, \( \text{N-Boc-N’-ethoxycarbonylbispidinone 5} \) was synthesized using ethyl chloroformate in the presence of catalytic amount (0.2 equiv) of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) (Scheme 2.2). The resulting ethyl carbamate could be purified by column chromatography using silica gel. In comparison, although the removal of allyl group can be achieved by using 1-chloroethyl chloroformate,\(^{14}\) due to the
instability of 1-chloroethyl carbamate group, the resulting product cannot be purified by column chromatography to remove protonated 4.

\[
\text{Scheme 2.2 Synthesis of } N\text{-Boc-}N'\text{-ethoxycarbonylbispinolone 5.}
\]

The formation of 5 was confirmed by \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR. The \(^1\)H NMR of 5 in deuterated chloroform shows the disappearance of vinyl protons (5.03-5.76 ppm) in 4. The \(^1\)H NMR also indicates the incorporation of the ethyl formate group which shows a quartet at 4.07 ppm and a triplet at 1.26 ppm corresponding to the ethoxy group. In addition, the \(^{13}\)C NMR indicates the disappearance of the allyl carbons at 134.9 and 117.5 ppm in 4\(^1\) and the appearance of the newly formed amide group with a carbon resonance at 155.4 ppm, indicating that compound 5 was formed successfully.

The transformation of 5 to bispinolone 6 was carried out under mild acidic conditions using 10% HCl in THF. Although the Boc protective group was cleaved cleanly, the removal of ethyl carbamate was not successful under this condition.
2.2.3 Synthesis and characterization of bis(iodoacetamide) 9

2.2.3.1 Synthesis and Characterization

In a separate study by Erin Miller in our group, it was found that bisp dinone 6 was unstable in the presence of a base such as \( N,N \)-diisopropylethylamine. However, bisp dine diethyl ketal 7 was stable when treated with \( N,N \)-diisopropylethylamine and could be successfully converted to bis(iodoacetamide) 9.\(^{14} \) Therefore, compounds 7 – 9 were synthesized according to the newly established procedures (Scheme 2.3).\(^{2,14} \) The allyl group in 4 was removed upon treatment with 1-chloroethyl chloroformate at 50 °C. procedure.\(^{1,15,16} \) The resulting 1-chloroethyl carbamate was treated with HCl in anhydrous ethanol to afford bisp dine diethyl ketal 7. Bis(chloroacetamide) 8 was synthesized via acetylation of 7 in the presence of chloroacetyl chloride in 64% overall yield from \( N \)-Boc-\( N' \)-allylbisp dinone 4.\(^{1,2,14} \) Further transformation of 8 to bis(iodoacetamide) 9 was achieved in high yield in a reaction with sodium iodide. The formation of 8 and 9 was confirmed by \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR.\(^{2,14} \) HSQC, IR, mass spectrometry and single crystal X-ray analysis have also been used to further validate the structure of 8 and 9.

\[ \text{Scheme 2.3 Synthesis of bis(iodoacetamide) 9.} \]
2.2.3.2 Crystallographic data analysis of 8

Bis(chloroacetamide) 8 was analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the ORTEP plot is shown in Figure 2.2. The crystal structure of 8 indicates that the bispidine backbone consists of a chair-chair conformation (Figure 2.2). The nonbonding N4···N8 distance was 2.84 Å, similar to the distances observed (2.85 – 3.07 Å) for neutral bispidine compounds.\textsuperscript{17,18}

![Crystallographic structure of bis(chloroacetamide) 8. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and a nonbonding distance (Å): C11–Cl1 = 1.7715(15), C13–Cl2 = 1.7761(14), C10–O1 = 1.2248(17), C12–O2 = 1.2306(14), C1–O4 = 1.4163(19), C1–O3 = 1.4128(18), N1···N2 = 2.84.](image)

**Figure 2.2** Crystallographic structure of bis(chloroacetamide) 8. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and a nonbonding distance (Å): C11–Cl1 = 1.7715(15), C13–Cl2 = 1.7761(14), C10–O1 = 1.2248(17), C12–O2 = 1.2306(14), C1–O4 = 1.4163(19), C1–O3 = 1.4128(18), N1···N2 = 2.84.
2.2.3.3 Crystallographic data analysis of 9

Bis(iodoacetamide) 9 was analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the ORTEP plot is shown in Figure 2.3. The structure shows a chair-chair bispidine conformation similar to that in 8, with an N4···N8 distance of 2.84 Å. However, the torsional angles of I2–C13–C12–O2 (8.5°) and I1–C11–C10–O1 (13.4°) in 9 are significantly larger than the Cl2–C13–C12–O2 and Cl1–C11–C10–O1 torsional angles of 1.69 and 6.21° in 8, respectively. This can be attributed to the larger size of iodine atoms in comparison to chlorine atoms, leading to a higher degree of oxygen–iodine repulsion.19

![Figure 2.3 Crystallographic structure of bis(iodoacetamide) 9. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å); nonbonding distance (Å); and torsion angles (°): C11–I1 = 2.1300(14), C13–I2 = 2.1209(37), C12–O2 = 1.2234(46), C10–O1 = 1.2288(37), C1–O3 = 1.4162(53), C1–O4 = 1.4100(48); N4···N8 = 2.84.](image)
2.2.4 Synthesis and characterization of diketal bis-bispidine 12

2.2.4.1 Synthesis and characterization

Diketal bisamide 10 was successfully synthesized by the cyclization of bis(iodoacetamide) 9 and bispidine diethyl ketal 7 at ambient temperature in satisfactory yield (Scheme 2.4).\textsuperscript{1,2}

![Diagram of the synthesis process]

Scheme 2.4 Synthesis of diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 12.

Interestingly, this cyclization reaction seemed to be influenced by the solvent and the concentration of the reactants in the reaction mixture. When acetonitrile was used as a solvent and the reactant concentration was higher than 0.013 mol/L, a white solid
precipitated from the reaction mixture. The reaction, however, went smoothly to give 10 in the same solvent with concentration below 0.013 mol/L. When dichloromethane was used as the solvent, the reaction proceeded efficiently even if the reactant concentration was 0.02 mol/L. Treatment of 10 with 2.0 M HCl in ether gave the same compound as the white precipitate in the acetonitrile reaction medium and was confirmed as 11, the protonated product of 10, by 1H NMR in deuterated chloroform.

The crude pale yellow solid bisamide 10 was purified by column chromatography using silica gel or basic alumina. In this case, purification of the crude product on basic alumina was found to be more effective, which afforded a better yield (57%) in comparison to silica gel (30%). The compound was studied by NMR spectroscopy, such as 1H, 13C NMR, HSQC, and COSY, as well as by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The 1H NMR spectrum of 10 in deuterated chloroform shows that the relatively broad singlet peaks at 2.27, 2.15, 1.96 and 1.91 ppm are the resonances of the four bridgehead protons. The 13C NMR exhibited four bridgehead carbon resonances at 37.3, 35.6, 34.3 and 33.7 ppm along with two quaternary ketal carbons at 97.7 and 97.1 ppm. The 13C NMR in C6D6 also exhibited four bridgehead carbons at 37.7, 36.0, 34.8 and 34.0 ppm as well as the two quaternary ketal carbons at two ends of the macrocyclic bisamide. The high rigidity of 10 imposed by both the bispidine units and the amide functional groups can restrict the bond rotation in the macrocycle, which likely results in the complex proton NMR spectra in which each of the bridgehead protons or carbons exhibits a distinctive chemical shift.

The 1H NMR of 11 in CDCl3 shows a broad peak (δ = 13.0 ppm) which can be assigned as the proton in the macrocyclic cavity. In addition, the 1H NMR in CDCl3 indicates a
downfield shift of bridgehead protons from 2.27, 2.15, 1.96 and 1.91 in 10 to 2.65, 2.45, 2.29 and 2.24 ppm, respectively. $^{13}$C NMR also indicates the downfield shift of the resonances corresponding to the bridgehead carbons from 37.3, 35.5, 34.3 and 33.7 ppm in 10 to 34.9, 34.0, 33.8 and 33.7 ppm in 11, respectively, due to the protonation.

Reduction of the amide groups in bisamide 10 was carried out in diethyl ether$^{20}$ with DIBAL in toluene.$^{1,2}$ This afforded diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 12 (Scheme 2.4). The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR indicated the conversion was complete under the reaction condition. As expected, tetraazamacrocycle 12 possesses a highly basic tetramine core which could bind to normal-phase silica gel, and prevent the product from being purified effectively on the column. Purification by column chromatography using basic alumina was also found to be unsuccessful because the highly basic tetramine core could potentially bind to the polar Al-OH groups as well as the unsaturated aluminum ions on the column. However, purification of the crude product could be achieved by sublimation at 150 ºC under high vacuum (0.05 Torr) or recrystallization in hexanes at ambient temperature. The NMR spectra indicate the crude product was pure which was routinely brought to the next step without any further purification.

**2.2.4.2 Crystallographic data analysis of 10**

The structure of diketal bisamide 10 was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Its ORTEP plot indicates the presence of a dichloromethane solvent molecule along with 10 (Figure 2.4). The structure shows two bispidine units of chair-chair conformations which are connected by two ethylene bridges.
Figure 2.4 Crystallographic structure of macrocyclic bisamide 10•CH₂Cl₂. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances and nonbonding distances (Å): C22–O1 = 1.2303(32), C17–O2 = 1.2337(37), C21–O5 = 1.4082(35), C21–O6 = 1.4164(28), C18–O3 = 1.4122(29), C18–O4 = 1.4177(33); N1···N2 = 3.09, N3···N4 = 2.84.

2.2.4.3 Crystallographic data analysis of 12

The compound diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycyle 12 was analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction and the ORTEP plot is shown in Figure 2.5. The solid state structure of 12 indicates the nonbonding N1···N2 distance is 2.87 Å on each of the
bispidine units of 12, which is in good agreement with other bispidine-based compounds (2.85–3.07 Å).\textsuperscript{17}

\textbf{Figure 2.5} Crystallographic structure of diketal tetraazamacrocycle 12. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å); nonbonding distances (Å) and angle (°): C5–O1 = 1.4184(14), C5–O2 = 1.4215(14); N1···N2 = 2.87 and O1–C5–O2 = 110.16(9).

The distances between the two diagonal nitrogen atoms in the bis-bispidine core are 4.009 Å (N1···N1) and 4.075 Å (N2···N2). Using the Pauling covalent radius for nitrogen atoms (0.72 Å), the radius of the cavity $r$(H) can be calculated as 1.285 and 1.318 Å, respectively. It should be noted that the cavity size of 12 is comparable to that of cyclam derivatives (1.18–1.38 Å).\textsuperscript{21}

In addition, the crystal packing of 12 exhibited channels of the tetramine cores (Figure 2.6).
2.2.5 Synthesis and characterization of bis-bispadinone 13

Synthesis of bis-bispadinone 13 was achieved by the hydrolysis of diketal tetraazamacrocycle 12 using 10% aqueous HCl at 60 °C (Scheme 2.5). Both the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of the crude product (in deuterated methanol) indicated that the ethyl groups of the diketal macrocycle 12 were cleaved cleanly. However, the $^{13}$C NMR did not exhibit any carbonyl carbon resonance of 13 in the 200–220 ppm region. Instead, a distinctive peak at 90–100 ppm was observed which suggests the existence of a ketal or ketone hydrate carbon. Although the protons in the tetramine cavity could be removed by an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, extraction of the aqueous solution with different
solvents, such as dichloromethane, benzene and THF, did not provide the desired compound 13. Analysis of the aqueous layer by $^{13}$C NMR indicated the presence of a characteristic ketal or ketone hydrate carbon peak at 90-100 ppm. Again, there was no carbonyl peak.

Scheme 2.5 Synthesis of bis-bispdinone 13.

In order to have more insights on the bispidinone carbonyl group, $N$-Boc-$N'$-ethoxycarbonylbispdinone 5 was studied by $^{13}$C NMR in deuterated methanol (Scheme 2.6). When the solution was freshly prepared, the compound was found to exist as a mixture of both dimethyl ketal 14 and ketone 5 with the carbon resonances in the 90–100 ppm and 200–220 ppm regions, respectively (Figure 2.7(a)). However, ketone 5 was completely converted to ketal 14 after the NMR sample was kept overnight in deuterated methanol (Figure 2.7(b)). Interestingly, when the methanol solvent was completely evaporated to dryness followed by dissolution of the residue in deuterated chloroform, only ketone 5 was detected in the $^{13}$C NMR (Figure 2.7(c)).
Scheme 2.6 Transformation of N-Boc-N’-ethoxycarbonylbispinolone 5 to dimethyl ketal.
Figure 2.7 $^{13}$C NMR sample of (a) a freshly prepared sample of $N$-Boc-$N'$-ethoxycarbonylbispidinone 5 in MeOD. (b) the sample after overnight in MeOD. (c) the sample after evaporation of MeOD followed by dissolution in CDCl$_3$. 
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These observations suggested that the bispidinone carbonyl group exhibited an unusual reactivity toward the methanol solvent. Dimethyl ketal 14, unlike diethyl ketal 8 and 9, was found to be labile and converted easily to ketone 5 in the absence of methanol. It should be noted that as ketone 5 was not miscible with water, it could be extracted with dichloromethane or ether during an aqueous workup.

Based on the results obtained from compound 5, it could be reasoned that in contrast to typical ketones, bis-bispidinone 13 preferred to exist as ketone hydrate 15 rather than ketone 13 in an aqueous medium (Figure 2.8). Moreover, the dissolution of 13 in aqueous media was enhanced further by the hydrophilic tetramine cavity. Therefore, the extraction of bis-bispidinone 13 from an aqueous solution was tremendously difficult.

![Figure 2.8](image)

**Figure 2.8** Formation of ketone hydrate 15 in aqueous medium.

In order to isolate the desired product, it was necessary to first convert ketone hydrate 15 to ketone 13. This was achieved by removing water from the aqueous mixture. Repeated extraction of the solid residue with dichloromethane afforded the desired product. The non-aqueous isolation process thus developed was found to be very effective and practical for the isolation of bis-bispidinone 13.

It should be mentioned that crude bis-bispidinone 13 can be purified by sublimation under high vacuum (0.05 Torr). However, a significant amount of the crude product did not sublime even after overnight heating at 170 °C. This was probably due to the strong
intermolecular dipole–dipole interaction between the carbonyl groups. As the $^1\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR indicated, the crude product obtained through the aforementioned non-aqueous extraction method was pure, and it was routinely used in other reactions without any further purification.

2.2.6 Synthesis and characterization of diester 16 and bis-bispidine 17

Bis-bispizinone 13 can serve as a viable substrate from which various types of functional groups could be introduced onto the bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocyclic framework. For instance, diester 16 can be synthesized by the installation of ester groups onto bis-bispizinone 13, and could be further employed in polymer synthesis through condensation with different diols (Scheme 2.7). On the other hand, the simplest tetraazamacrocycle, bis-bispidine 17 can be prepared by the reduction of bis-bispizinone 13 and is an ideal substrate for metal-coordination studies.

Derivatization of bis-bispizinone 13 was carried out to prepare 16 under Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction conditions$^{2,25,26}$ through the generation of triethyl phosphonoacetate carbanion in the presence of a strong base followed by nucleophilic addition of the carbanion to bis-bispizinone 13. It should be noted that under the reaction conditions, the tetraazamacrocyclic framework was found to remain intact. After the incorporation of $\alpha,\beta$-unsaturated ester groups on 13, the resulting diester 16 was isolated by conventional aqueous workup and extraction using organic solvents, such as dichloromethane or THF. Purification of the crude product was achieved by column chromatography using reversed-phase silica gel which afforded 16 in 83% yield. Both the $^1\text{H}$ and $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra indicated that the resulting diester 16 had formed successfully.
**Scheme 2.7** Synthesis of bis-bispidine diester 16 and bis-bispidine 17.

Bis-bispidinone 13 was subjected to the Wolff–Kishner–Huang–Minlon reduction in the presence of hydrazine monohydrate and potassium hydroxide at 170 °C to afford bis-bispidine 17 in a satisfactory yield.\(^{2,27,28}\) It should be mentioned that under these harsh reaction conditions, the tetraazamacrocyclic framework remained intact and the reaction went to completion after 1 h. The purification of the crude product was achieved by sublimation at 80–120 °C under high vacuum (0.05 Torr). The synthesis described herein was found to be efficient in terms of shortened reaction time, straightforward workup procedure as well as lowered reagent toxicity in comparison to the previous literature procedures.\(^{17,29}\)

**2.2.7 Synthesis and characterization of bispidine hydrochloride 19**

To obtain bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 17 in a more efficient pathway, \(N\)-Boc-\(N'\)-allylbispidinone 4 can be reduced to its bispidine derivative 18 before the macrocycle formation.
Thus, reduction of $N$-Boc-$N'$-allylbispinone 4 under the Wolff–Kishner–Huang–Minlon conditions in the presence of hydrazine monohydrate and potassium hydroxide at 170 °C for 1 h afforded $N$-Boc-$N'$-allylbispidine 18 (Scheme 2.8). The crude product could be isolated by aqueous workup and further purified by column chromatography using silica gel (64% yield). The synthetic protocol could be employed both for large and small scale reactions.

![Scheme 2.8 Synthesis of bispindine hydrochloride 19.](image)

The $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra indicate that the desired product 18 was synthesized successfully. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of 18 in deuterated chloroform displayed resonances at 1.56 and 1.48 ppm which were assigned to the methylene protons generated by the reduction of the carbonyl group. In addition, the upfield shift of bridgehead protons from 2.31 and 2.28 ppm in 4 to 1.68 and 1.64 ppm in 18, respectively, was due to the reduction of the carbonyl group. Comparison of the $^{13}$C NMR spectra of 4 and 18 indicated the resonance of the carbonyl carbon in 4 at 213.6 ppm was not present in 18 but the newly generated methylene carbon was detected at 32.1 ppm in 18. The bridgehead carbons also shifted upfield from 48.0 and 47.9 ppm in 4 to 29.5 and 29.4 ppm in 18, respectively.

The removal of the allyl group in 18 was straightforward as described previously for 4. Together with the Boc protective group, the 1-chloroethyl carbamate group in the
resulting intermediate was cleaved with HCl in methanol to afford bispidine hydrochloride 19 as a pale yellow residue (Scheme 2.8). The crude residue could be further purified by washing with diethyl ether to give a pale yellow solid 19 in 94% yield. 

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR displayed straightforward and well resolved peaks confirming the successful formation of 19. The $^1$H NMR of 19 in deuterated methanol exhibited the resonances of the methylene protons between the bridgeheads at 2.02 ppm and the bridgehead protons at 2.42 ppm. In addition, the four equatorial protons on the methylene carbons next to the nitrogen atoms exhibited resonances at 3.54 ppm, whereas the four axial protons appeared at 3.41 ppm. The $^{13}$C NMR was also straightforward with the resonances of the carbons adjacent to the nitrogen atoms at 46.2 ppm, the bridgehead carbons at 26.3 ppm, and the methylene carbon at 25.5 ppm.

2.2.8 Synthesis and characterization of bis(iodoacetamide) 21

Conversion of bispidine hydrochloride 19 to bis(chloroacetamide) 20 was achieved through acetylation of 19 using chloroacetyl chloride in the presence of $N,N$-diisopropylethylamine. Further reaction of 20 with sodium iodide in acetone resulted in bis(iodoacetamide) 21 in excellent yield (Scheme 2.9).

Scheme 2.9 Synthesis of bis(chloroacetamide) 20 and bis(iodoacetamide) 21.
Both the $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra suggested that bis(chloroacetamide) 20 had formed successfully. The $^1$H NMR of 20 indicated that the protons on the $\alpha$-carbon next to the carbonyl group appeared at 4.22 ppm and 3.95 ppm, respectively. In addition, the bridgehead protons and the methylene protons between the bridgeheads exhibited resonances at 2.03 and 1.96 ppm, respectively. The $^{13}$C NMR displayed the characteristic amide carbonyl peak at 166.5 ppm and the $\alpha$-carbon next to the carbonyl group at 41.4 ppm. The peak at 31.9 ppm indicated the bridgehead carbons, while the peak at 28.2 ppm corresponded to the methylene carbon between the bridgehead carbons.

The formation of bis(iodoacetamide) 21 was confirmed by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR. A comparison of the $^1$H NMR spectra of 20 and 21 in deuterated chloroform indicated the doublets at 4.22 and 3.95 ppm in 20 which corresponds to the protons on the $\alpha$-carbons next to the carbonyl group, shifted upfield to 3.87 and 3.60 ppm in 21, respectively. The upfield shift could be due to the substitution of the chlorine atom by the less electronegative iodine atom. The $^{13}$C NMR displayed the characteristic amide carbonyl carbon peak at 167.5 ppm, while the $\alpha$-carbon next to the carbonyl group exhibited a resonance at -2.8 ppm.

2.2.9 Synthesis and characterization of bisamide 22 and bis-bispidine 17

Macrocyclization of bis(iodoacetamide) 21 and bispidine hydrochloride 19 in the presence of $N,N$-diisopropylethylamine at ambient temperature in dichloromethane resulted in macrocyclic bisamide 22 in high yield (Scheme 2.10).
**Scheme 2.10.** Synthesis of macrocyclic bisamide 22 and bis-bispidine 17.

The cyclization reaction went smoothly to completion in dichloromethane, with a reactant concentration of 0.02 mol/L. After the aqueous workup, the desired pale yellow solid 25 was obtained in 96% yield. Although the crude product could be further purified by column chromatography using basic alumina (75% yield), it was routinely used in subsequent transformations without the need of any further purification.

The structure of 22 was confirmed by $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR analysis. The $^1$H NMR spectrum in CDCl$_3$ exhibited broad singlet peaks at 2.18, 2.06, 1.83 and 1.78 ppm corresponding to the four bridgehead protons. In addition, the resonances of the methylene protons on each end of the bisamide 22 were displayed at 1.80 and 1.53 ppm, corresponding to those on the amide and amine side of the macrocycle, respectively. The $^{13}$C NMR also indicated well-resolved resonances for all bridgehead carbons and the methylene carbons on each end. This suggested that 22 had a rigid structure due to the presence of the amide functional groups and the bispidine units which can restrict bond rotations.

Reduction of bisamide 22 with DIBAL in diethyl ether$^{20}$ afforded tetraazamacrocycle 17 (Scheme 2.10). Purification of the crude product was achieved by sublimation as described before (Scheme 2.8). Both $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data indicated that the conversion
of bisamide 22 to bis-bispidine 17 was complete under the reaction conditions. The structure of 17 was confirmed by comparing its $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR data with that reported in the literature.$^3$

2.3 Conclusion

The bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocyclic compounds have been synthesized effectively and characterized by $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and IR spectroscopy, as well as by high resolution mass spectrometry. Some of the compounds have also been characterized by single crystal X-ray analysis. Effective synthesis and isolation of bis-bispidinone 13 has been achieved and further functionalizations provide diester 16 and bis-bispidine 17. Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 12 and 17 have been used for coordination studies which are discussed in Chapter 3.
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Chapter 3: Coordination Studies of Bis-bispidine-based Tetraazamacrocycles

3.1 Experimental

3.1.1 Materials and methods

All reagents and anhydrous solvents were used as received from the Aldrich Company unless otherwise indicated. All manipulations of air-sensitive materials were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere either in an MBraun glovebox or by standard Schlenk line techniques. NMR spectra were recorded on 300 and 500 MHz spectrometers and referenced to residual protonated solvent (\(^1\)H) or deuterated solvent (\(^{13}\)C) unless otherwise specified.

3.1.2 Synthesis and characterization

3.1.2.1 Synthesis of diketal bis-bispidine-copper(II) complexes (Table 3.3)

Reaction of diketal bis-bispidine and copper(II) triflate (Entry 1-1)

To a clear colourless refluxing solution of 1 (50 mg, 0.10 mmol) in anhydrous THF/CH\(_3\)CN (3 mL, 4:1, v/v) was added a pale blue clear solution of Cu(CF\(_3\)SO\(_3\))\(_2\) (60 mg, 0.17 mmol) in THF/CH\(_3\)CN (1.1 mL, 4:1, v/v) under N\(_2\) atmosphere. After 24 h of reflux, the resulting blueish-green reaction mixture became a pale pink suspension which was completely evaporated to dryness under a stream of air. To the greenish residue, hot distilled water was added and stirred for 1h. In the resulting mixture, the clear purple supernatant was pipetted out and the orange precipitate was dried completely. To the orange precipitate, methanol was added and stirred overnight. The resulting pale yellow clear solution was filtered through a celite pad. Colourless, block-shaped crystals suitable
for X-ray diffraction were grown by the slow evaporation of methanol solution at room temperature. The crystals were characterized as [(I)H$_2$(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ (5) by single crystal X-ray analysis.

**Reaction of diketal bis-bispidine and copper(II) chloride dihydate (Entry 1-2)**

To a clear very pale yellow solution of 1 (5.0 mg, 0.01 mmol) in 1 mL of methanol was added a clear very pale green solution of CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (2.0 mg, 0.011 mmol) in 1 mL of methanol. The reaction mixture became a dark purple clear solution immediately, which was stirred at ambient temperature overnight. $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture after overnight stirring indicated the complete conversion of 1 to protonated 5.

**Reaction of diketal bis-bispidine and copper(II) acetate (Entry 1-3)**

To a very pale yellow clear solution of 1 (15 mg, 0.031 mmol) in 2 mL of methanol was added a cloudy dark blue suspension of Cu(OAc)$_2$ (7.0 mg, 0.034 mmol) in 1.5 mL of methanol at ambient temperature. After overnight reflux, the reaction mixture remained blue cloudy. $^1$H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture indicated the presence of 1 and no other product.

**3.1.2.2 Synthesis of diketal bis-bispidine-nickel(II) complex**

**Reaction of diketal bis-bispidine and nickel(II) acetate (Entry 1-4)**

To a clear colourless solution of 1 (50.0 mg, 0.104 mmol) in 2 mL of MeOH was added a pale greenish clear solution of Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O (29.0 mg, 0.114 mmol) in 1.5 mL of MeOH. The resulting clear pale greenish solution was refluxed overnight and cooled to room temperature. $^1$H NMR analysis of the clear solution indicated the presence of 5.
3.1.2.3 Synthesis of diketal bis-bispidine-cobalt(II) complex

Reaction of diketal bis-bispidine and cobalt(II) nitrate (Entry 1-5)
To a pale pink clear solution of Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (13 mg, 0.046 mmol) in 1 mL of THF/CH$_3$CN (4:1, v/v) was added a clear colourless solution of 1 (20 mg, 0.042 mmol) in 1 mL of THF/CH$_3$CN (4:1, v/v). The reaction mixture became a pale brown cloudy suspension and was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 days. The crude reaction mixture was then analyzed by $^1$H NMR, which indicated the complete conversion of 1 to the protonated 5.

3.1.2.4 Synthesis of bis-bispidine-copper complex (Table 3.4)

Reaction of bis-bispidine and copper(II) acetate (Entry 2-1)
To a clear pale greenish-blue solution of Cu(OAc)$_2$ (31 mg, 0.17 mmol) in 14 mL anhydrous ethanol was added a very pale yellow clear solution of 2 (47 mg, 0.15 mmol) in 2.0 mL of anhydrous ethanol at room temperature. The green clear reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h and the resulting dark green clear solution was evaporated completely to dryness under a stream of air. To the green residue was added 8 mL of 0.22 M NaClO$_4$ and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The brownish-red clear solution was separated, filtered through a celite pad and evaporated slowly at room temperature and yellow prism crystals were obtained. The crystals were characterized as 7 by single crystal X-ray analysis.

Reaction of bis-bispidine and copper(II) triflate (Entry 2-2)
To a clear colourless solution of 2 (50 mg, 0.16 mmol) in 4.0 mL of anhydrous THF/CH$_3$CN (4:1, v/v) was added a pale blue clear solution of Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ (95 mg, 0.26 mmol) in 2.0 mL THF/CH$_3$CN (4:1, v/v) under N$_2$ atmosphere at ambient temperature.
The cloudy green solution was refluxed for 24 h. The resulting pale green suspension was completely evaporated to dryness under a stream of air before hot distilled water (6 mL) was added. After 2 h of stirring, the clear pale pink aqueous layer was separated, filtered through a celite pad and evaporated slowly at room temperature until colourless block shaped crystals formed. $^1$H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture indicated the presence of 2 and 7 ($2:7 = 1:8$).

**Reaction of bis-bispidine and copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate (Entry 2-3)**

To a white suspension of 2 (30 mg, 0.099 mmol) in 4 mL of anhydrous acetonitrile was added a clear pale blue solution of Cu(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (44 mg, 0.12 mmol) in 2 mL of anhydrous acetonitrile at ambient temperature. After overnight stirring at ambient temperature, the dark purple cloudy reaction mixture was evaporated completely to dryness under a stream of air. To the residue, water (2 mL) was added, stirred for 1 h and the resulting clear purple solution was separated and analyzed by $^1$H NMR, which indicated the complete conversion of 1 to 7.

**Reaction of bis-bispidine and copper(II) chloride dihydrate (Entry 2-4)**

To a very pale yellow clear solution of 2 (30 mg, 0.099 mmol) in 1.5 mL of methanol was added a clear dark green solution of CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (18.5 mg, 0.108 mmol) in 1.5 mL of methanol. The slightly cloudy reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature, let settle, and the pale blue clear supernatant was separated. $^1$H NMR analysis of the supernatant indicated the presence of 2 and protonated 7 ($2:7 = 1:6$).
Reaction of bis-bispidine and copper(II) acetate in DMSO (Entry 2-5)

To a clear pale greenish-blue solution of Cu(OAc)$_2$ (31 mg, 0.17 mmol) in 14 mL anhydrous ethanol was added a very pale yellow clear solution of 2 (47 mg, 0.15 mmol) in 2.0 mL of anhydrous ethanol at room temperature. The green clear reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h and the resulting dark green clear solution was evaporated completely to dryness under a stream of air. To the green residue was added 8 mL of 0.22 M NaClO$_4$ and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The brownish-red clear solution was separated, filtered through a celite pad, and evaporated slowly at room temperature and yellow prism crystals were obtained. The crystals were characterized as 7 by single crystal X-ray analysis.

3.1.2.5 Synthesis of bis-bispidine-nickel(II) complex

Reaction of bis-bispidine and nickel(II) acetate (Entry 2-6)

To a hot clear colourless solution of 2 (50 mg, 0.16 mmol) in 3 mL of MeOH was added a pale greenish clear solution of Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O (45 mg, 0.18 mmol) in 8 mL of MeOH. The resulting pale yellow clear solution was refluxed for 48 h and then cooled to ambient temperature. The clear pale yellow solution was analyzed by $^1$H NMR, which indicated the presence of 2 and protonated 7 (2:7 = 9:1).

Reaction of bis-bispidine and nickel(II) acetate in DMSO (Entry 2-7)

To a suspension of 2 (20 mg, 0.066 mmol) in 2 mL of anhydrous DMSO was added a pale green clear solution of Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O (18 mg, 0.0.072 mmol) in 0.5 mL anhydrous DMSO. After stirring for 10 min, the suspension became a clear solution and was heated at 80 °C overnight. $^1$H NMR analysis of the pale brown clear solution indicated that there was no 2 left in the reaction medium.
Reaction of bis-bispidine, nickel(II) acetate and DBU in DMSO (Entry 2-8)

To anhydrous DMSO (2 mL) was added DBU and stirred for 30 min followed by 2 (20 mg, 0.066 mmol). To the resulting cloudy suspension was added solid Ni(OAc)$_2·$4H$_2$O (18 mg, 0.0.072 mmol). After 2 days of stirring at room temperature, the clear pale green solution was analyzed by $^1$H NMR which indicated the presence of 2 and DBUH$^+$. Gradual increase of heating from 50 to 80 °C for 4 days indicated presence of DBUH$^+$ and 2, but no desired nickel complex.

3.1.2.6 Synthesis of bis-bispidine-cobalt(II) complexes

Reaction of bis-bispidine and cobalt(II) nitrate (Entry 2-9)

To a dark pink clear solution of Co(NO$_3$)$_2·$6H$_2$O (29 mg, 0.099 mmol) in 1 mL of MeOD was added a clear colourless solution of 2 (10 mg, 0.033 mmol) in 1 mL of MeOD. After 2 h of stirring at ambient temperature, the cloudy pale brown reaction mixture was settled, filtered through filter paper, and analyzed by $^1$H NMR. $^1$H NMR analysis of the supernatant indicated the presence of 2 and protonated 7 (2:7 = 1:14).

3.1.3 X-ray crystallography

3.1.3.1 Data collection and refinement of compound 5

The X-ray crystal structure of compound 5 was obtained at -123 °C, where the crystals were covered in Paratone or Nujol and placed rapidly into the cold N$_2$ stream of the Kryo-Flex low-temperature device. The data was collected using the SMART$^1$ software on a Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer using a graphite monochromator with Mo K$_\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å). A hemisphere of data was collected using a counting time of 10 s per frame. Data reductions were performed using the SAINT$^2$ software, and the absorption correction of the raw data were performed using SADABS$^3$. The structures
were solved by direct methods using SHELX$^4$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ with anisotropic displacement parameters for the non-H atoms using SHELX-97$^5$ and the WinGX$^6$ software package, and thermal ellipsoid plots were evaluated by ORTEP3.2$^7$.

3.1.3.2 Data collection and refinement for compound 7

The X-ray crystal structure of compound 7 was mounted on a Mitegen polyimide micromount with a small amount of Paratone N oil. All X-ray measurements were made on a Bruker Kappa Axis Apex diffractometer at a temperature of -163 °C. The unit cell dimensions were determined from a symmetry constrained fit of 9945 reflections with $4.88^\circ < 2\theta < 75.42^\circ$. The data collection strategy was a number of $\omega$ and $\phi$ scans, which collected data up to $79.19^\circ$ ($2\theta$). The frame integration was performed using SAIN’T.$^8$ The resulting raw data were scaled and absorption corrected using a multi-scan averaging of symmetry equivalent data using SADABS.$^9$ The structure was solved by direct methods using the SIR2011 program.$^{10}$ All non-hydrogen atoms were obtained from the initial solution. The hydrogen atoms were introduced at idealized positions and were allowed to ride on the parent atom. The structural model was fit to the data using full matrix least-squares based on $F^2$. The calculated structure factors included corrections for anomalous dispersion from the usual tabulation. The structure was refined using the SHELXL-2013 program.$^4$ Graphic plots were produced using the NRCVAX program.$^{11}$
### 3.1.3.3 Crystallographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C(<em>{26})H(</em>{50})N(_4)O(_4) \cdot (CF(_3)SO(_3))(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>780.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>0.26 × 0.18 × 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Colourless, block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Unit cell dimensions                          | \(a = 8.126(3) \, \text{Å}\) \quad \alpha = 104.734(4) \, ^\circ \)
|                                              | \(b = 8.167(3) \, \text{Å}\) \quad \beta = 95.430(4) \, ^\circ \)
|                                              | \(c = 13.517(4) \, \text{Å}\) \quad \gamma = 91.032(4) \, ^\circ \)
| V, Å\(^3\)                                    | 862.8(5)                                   |
| Number of reflections to determine final unit cell | 3187                                       |
| Min and Max 2\(\theta\) for cell determination, \(^\circ\) | 5.2, 56.2                                  |
| Z                                             | 1                                          |
| F(000)                                        | 412                                        |
| \(\rho\) (g/cm\(^3\))                        | 1.503                                      |
| \(\lambda\), Å, (MoK\(\alpha\))            | 0.71073                                    |
| \(\mu\), (mm\(^{-1}\))                      | 0.25                                       |
| Diffractometer type                           | Bruker APEX CCD                            |
| Scan types                                    | \(\omega\) and \(\phi\) scans            |
| Max 2\(\theta\) for data collection, \(^\circ\) | 57.0                                       |
| Measured fraction of data                    | 0.993                                      |
| Number of reflections measured                | 3975                                       |
| Unique reflections measured                   | 3974                                       |
| \(R_{\text{merge}}\)                          | 0.0576                                     |
| Number of reflections included in refinement  | 3247                                       |
| Cut off threshold expression                 | \(I > 2\sigma(I)\)                        |
| Structure refined using                       | Full-matrix least-squares on \(F^2\)      |
| Weighting scheme                              | \(w = 1/[\sigma(F_o^2) + (0.1017P)^2 + 0.1212P]\) |
Number of parameters in least-squares: 326

$R_1$: 0.0576

$wR_2$: 0.1486

$R_1$ (all data): 0.0725

$wR_2$ (all data): 0.1674

Goodness-of-fit, $b$ on $F^2$: 1.095

Maximum shift/error: 0.039

Min & max peak heights on final AF map ($e'/\AA$): -0.65, 1.01

### Table 3.2. Crystallographic data for compound 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>$C_{18}H_{34}N_4 \cdot (ClO_4)_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>505.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal dimensions (mm)</td>
<td>$0.342 \times 0.175 \times 0.124$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal colour and habit</td>
<td>Yellow prism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 2$_1$/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, K</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>$a = 7.6275(17) \ \AA \quad \alpha = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$b = 14.438(4) \ \AA \quad \beta = 110.363(7) \ ^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c = 10.889(4) \ \AA \quad \gamma = 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V, \ \AA^3$</td>
<td>1124.2(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections to determine final unit cell</td>
<td>9945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min and max $2\theta$ for cell determination, $^\circ$</td>
<td>4.88, 75.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(000)$</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (g/cm$^3$)</td>
<td>1.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$, Å, (MoK$\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$, (cm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffractometer type</td>
<td>Bruker Kappa Axis Apex2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scan types</td>
<td>$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max $2\theta$ for data collection, °</td>
<td>79.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured fraction of data</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections measured</td>
<td>69262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections measured</td>
<td>6771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{	ext{merge}}$</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of reflections included in refinement</td>
<td>6771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut off threshold expression</td>
<td>$I &gt; 2\sigma(I)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure refined using</td>
<td>Full matrix least-squares using $F^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting scheme</td>
<td>$w=1/\left[ \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0622P)^2 + 0.5029P \right]$ where $P=(F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of parameters in least-squares</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2$</td>
<td>0.1247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$ (all data)</td>
<td>0.0792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$wR_2$ (all data)</td>
<td>0.1414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit, $^bS$ on $F^2$</td>
<td>1.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum shift/error</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min &amp; max peak heights on final $\Delta F$ map ($e^*/\angstrom$)</td>
<td>-0.822, 1.281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aR_1(F) = \left\{ \frac{\Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma|F_o|) \right\}$ for reflections with $F_o > 4(\sigma(F_o))$.

$wR_2(F^2) = \left\{ \frac{\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2/\Sigma w(|F_o|^2)^2 \}^{1/2} \right\}$, where ‘$w$’ denotes the weight given each reflection.

$^bS = \text{GOF} = \left[ \frac{\Sigma w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2/(n-p)^{1/2}} \right]$, hence, ‘$n$’ is the number of reflections and ‘$p$’ is the number of parameters used.
3.2 Results and discussion

Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 1 and 2 (R = H) are anticipated to be effective ligands which can bind metal ions such as Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ selectively and form stable complexes (Figure 3.1).\textsuperscript{12} Comba et al. studied the coordination reaction of 3 (R = Me) with Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ at reflux in CH$_3$CN/THF for 24 h under an argon atmosphere.\textsuperscript{13} The complex was characterized by UV-Visible spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The electronic spectra showed that 3 had the highest ligand field strength for a tetraamine donor. This is the only instance where a metal-bis-bispidine complex has been reported. To date, no crystal structure has been obtained from the complex.

The calculated available cavity $r$(H) of 1 is 1.29-1.32 Å and the ionic radii of Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ are 0.75 Å, 0.69 Å and 0.75 Å, respectively. The half distance $r$ between the two diagonal donor nitrogen atoms in 1 is 2.00-2.04 Å, comparable to the Cu–N distance of ca. 1.98 Å in the calculated structure of the copper(II)-3 complex.\textsuperscript{13} It is expected that Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ ions would fit well into the cavity of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 1 and 2 and form stable complexes.

![Figure 3.1 Bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycles 1–3](image-url)

**Figure 3.1** Bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycles 1–3
3.2.1 Synthesis towards metal-diketal bis-bispidine complexes

3.2.1.1 Attempted synthesis of 4

The reaction of diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocyle 1 and copper(II) triflate was carried out by refluxing a mixture of 1 and copper(II) triflate in anhydrous THF/CH$_3$CN under nitrogen atmosphere for 3 h according to literature procedures used by Comba et al. (Scheme 3.1).$^{13}$ This afforded a cloudy green suspension and the analysis of the reaction mixture by $^1$H NMR in deuterated methanol indicated the peaks corresponding to the bridgehead protons and the ethylene bridge protons shifted from 2.20 ppm and 2.66 ppm in 1 to 2.52 and 3.10 ppm, respectively. The equatorial and axial methylene protons adjacent to the nitrogen atoms shifted downfield to 3.50 and 3.21 ppm from 3.09 and 2.81 ppm in 1, respectively. By comparing the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture and the spectrum obtained from the protonated 1, it was confirmed that protonation of ligand 1 had occurred during the coordination reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1} & \quad \text{Cu(O Tf)}_2 \quad \text{THF/CH}_3\text{CN} \quad \text{reflux} \quad \text{4} \\
& \quad \text{2OTf}^-
\end{align*}
\]

**Scheme 3.1** Coordination reaction of 1 with copper(II) triflate.

Further refluxing of the reaction mixture under a nitrogen atmosphere for a total of 24 h resulted in a pale pink suspension without any change in the $^1$H NMR spectrum. Evaporation of the solvents followed by washing the green residue with hot distilled water gave an orange solid. The $^1$H NMR of the orange solid in deuterated methanol
showed a resonance at 9.6 ppm which was characteristic of the protons in the tetramine core. Slow evaporation of the NMR solution at ambient temperature resulted in colourless block-shaped crystals.

3.2.1.2 Crystallographic data analysis of 5

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the colourless block-shaped crystals confirmed the formation of protonated diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 5 with triflate as the counter ions (Figure 3.2).

**Figure 3.2** Protonated diketal bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 5.

**Figure 3.3** Crystallographic ORTEP plot of protonated diketal bis-bispidine 5. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.
The ORTEP plot of 5 is shown in Figure 3.3. It is observed that the nonbonding N1···N2 distance between the two nitrogen atoms on the same side of a bispidine unit is reduced from 2.87 Å in 1 to 2.71 Å in 5. The shortening of the N1···N2 distance could be due to the minimization of the repulsion between the lone pairs of electrons on the nitrogen atoms as a result of the protonation. It should be mentioned that this phenomenon is common for protonated bispidine compounds as well as their metal-complexes.\textsuperscript{14-16} Moreover, the bonding and nonbonding distances N2–H and N1···H were observed as 0.87 and 2.11Å, respectively, which are in good agreement with the reported values for protonated bis-bispidine.\textsuperscript{17} Although anhydrous acetonitrile and THF were used for the reaction under a nitrogen atmosphere, the protonation of 1 may be due to the presence of trace amounts of acids, either in the solvents or in copper(II) triflate.

### 3.2.1.3 Coordination of 1 with Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Ni\textsuperscript{2+} and Co\textsuperscript{2+} ions

Different reaction conditions have been tested in an attempt to achieve the desired complex (Table 3.3). The reaction of 1 and CuCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O in methanol at ambient temperature resulted in a clear dark purple solution. After overnight stirring, the solution was analysed by $^1$H NMR in deuterated methanol, which indicated the complete conversion of 1 to its protonated species 5 (Entry 1-2). When the reaction was carried out using 1 and Cu(OAc)\textsubscript{2} in methanol under overnight reflux, the $^1$H NMR in deuterated methanol showed the presence of only 1 and no other product (Entry 1-3). Attempts had also been made to coordinate diketal bis-bispidine 1 with other metal ions, such as Co\textsuperscript{2+} and Ni\textsuperscript{2+}, because their ionic radii are close to that of the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} ion (Co\textsuperscript{2+} = 0.75 Å, Ni\textsuperscript{2+} = 0.69 Å, Cu \textsuperscript{2+} = 0.75 Å ).
The reaction of Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O and 1 in methanol upon overnight reflux resulted in 1 and no other product (Entry 1-4), whereas the reaction of 1 with Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in THF/CH$_3$CN under reflux for 48 h gave no desired product (Entry 1-5).

**Table 3.3 Reaction conditions of 1 with metal ions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Metal salt</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temperature $^\circ$C</th>
<th>Reaction time</th>
<th>Outcome$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-1</td>
<td>Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>THF/CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>overnight</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Cu(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>overnight</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>overnight</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O</td>
<td>THF/CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>No reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are based on $^1$H NMR

### 3.2.2 Synthesis towards metal-bis-bispidine complexes

#### 3.2.2.1 Attempted synthesis of 6

Bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 2 was used in the coordination reaction with copper(II) acetate in anhydrous ethanol (Scheme 3.2).

![Scheme 3.2 Coordination reaction of 2 with copper(II) acetate.](image-url)
After 1 h of reflux, the clear green reaction mixture was analysed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR in deuterated chloroform and displayed an inconclusive spectrum with broad peaks. Although the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR in deuterated methanol did not show any new peaks besides the proton resonances of 2, it was thought that the desired copper complex might not show in the NMR spectrum due to the paramagnetic property of the copper(II) species.\textsuperscript{18} The green reaction mixture was evaporated. Addition of 0.22 M NaClO\textsubscript{4} aqueous solution to the green residue gave a clear pale orange-yellow solution. Slow evaporation of the solution at ambient temperature yielded yellow prism crystals, which were analysed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. The structure was that of protonated bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 7 (Figure 3.4).

![Protonated bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 7](image)

**Figure 3.4** Protonated bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 7.

### 3.2.2.2 Crystallographic data analysis of 7

The crystal structure of 7 is disordered (Figure 3.5). The normalized occupancy for the major disorder fraction in the diprotonated cation was refined to a value of 0.80 (Figure 3.6). It exhibits a N1⋯N2 distance of 2.72 Å. This is consistent with that in 5 (Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3) and (D\textsuperscript{+})\textsubscript{2}(Cl\textsuperscript{-})\textsubscript{2}·4CHCl\textsubscript{3} which had been reported previously.\textsuperscript{17} Although the N2–H distance of 1.00 Å is slightly longer than the values in 5 (0.87 Å) and (D\textsuperscript{+})\textsubscript{2}(Cl\textsuperscript{-})\textsubscript{2}·4CHCl\textsubscript{3} (0.86 Å), it is comparable to the value obtained from DFT calculations for diprotonated bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle (1.04 Å).\textsuperscript{14}
**Figure 3.5.** ORTEP diagram of protonated cation of 7. Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Major and minor components are depicted with solid and open bonds, respectively.

**Figure 3.6** ORTEP drawing for the cation of 7 (major component). Ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.

In addition, the perchlorate anion also exhibits a disordered structure with CL1, O1, and O2 maintaining their positions, while O3 and O4 have secondary positions at O3’ and O4’ (Figure 3.7). The normalized occupancy for the major disorder component in the anion was refined to a value of 0.59.
Figure 3.7 ORTEP diagram of (a) disordered perchlorate anion of 7, major and minor component are depicted with solid and open bonds, respectively. (b) major component of perchlorate anion. In both cases, ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level.

3.2.2.3 Coordination of 2 with Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ ions

The coordination of 2 with different metal ions is summarized in Table 3.4. Reaction of bis-bispidine 2 with Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ in anhydrous acetonitrile/methanol at reflux for 24 h resulted in a pale green suspension.$^{13}$ Analysis of the reaction mixture by $^1$H NMR in MeOD indicated the conversion of 2 to its protonated species 7 with a 2:7 ratio of 1:8 (Entry 2-2). The reaction was also carried out in anhydrous acetonitrile with Cu(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O at room temperature. $^1$H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture indicates the conversion of 2 to its protonated species, 7 (Entry 2-3).

The reaction of 2 with Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O in methanol at room temperature yielded a cloudy pale brown suspension. $^1$H NMR analysis of the reaction mixture showed that 2 had converted to the protonated species 7 (Entry 2-9).

Based on the above results, it was thought that the protonation of ligand 2 in the reaction medium might be due to the presence of a trace amount of protons in either the protic solvents or the metal ion reagents. Therefore, aprotic anhydrous DMSO was chosen as a solvent for the coordination reaction. Heating Cu(OAc)$_2$ in anhydrous DMSO for 66 h
resulted in broad peaks in the $^1$H NMR spectrum which showed no starting material 2 nor protonated compound 7 (Entry 2-5). Reaction of 2 with Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O in DMSO indicated the conversion of 2 to 7 (Entry 2-7).

**Table 3.4 Reaction conditions of 2 with metal ions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Metal ion source</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Temperature °C</th>
<th>Reaction time</th>
<th>Outcome*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>Cu(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-2</td>
<td>Cu(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>Anhy THF/CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>2 and 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Cu(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O</td>
<td>Anhy. CH$_3$CN</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>12h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-4</td>
<td>CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>2 and 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Cu(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>Anhy. DMSO</td>
<td>rt to 100 °C</td>
<td>66 h</td>
<td>No 2 or 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-6</td>
<td>Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>reflux</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>2 and 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>Anhy. DMSO</td>
<td>rt to 80 °C</td>
<td>4 d</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>Ni(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>Anhy. DMSO + DBU</td>
<td>rt to 80 °C</td>
<td>4 d</td>
<td>inconclusive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-9</td>
<td>Co(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>rt</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are based on $^1$H NMR

The reaction mixture in Entry 2-1 was analyzed by UV-Visible spectroscopy in H$_2$O and in CH$_3$NO$_2$ and no absorption peak was detected. To understand the reaction better, bis-bispidine macrocycle 2 in dry DMSO was heated at 80 °C overnight. It was observed that some of 2 were converted to its protonated species 7. Prolonged heating at 80 °C for 2 days resulted in the complete conversion of 2 to its protonated species. This indicated that the bis-bispidine macrocycle 2 could be protonated even in anhydrous DMSO.
It was thought that addition of a strong base, such as DBU, could abstract the trace amount of protons in DMSO and 2 would undergo coordination with metal ions without being protonated. However, reaction of 2 and Ni(OAc)$_2$·6H$_2$O in dry DMSO in the presence of excess DBU at ambient temperature for 48 h gave 2 and DBU·H$^+$ but no desired product. Gradual heating of the reaction mixture from 50 to 80 °C again gave 2 and DBU·H$^+$ but no desired metal complex (Entry 2-7).

3.3 Conclusion

Attempts to obtain metal complexes using bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles and different metal ions such as Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ were unsuccessful. No desired complex was formed under the reaction conditions, including those reported by Comba et al. To accomplish the desired metal-bis-bispidine complexes, therefore, requires more systematic study. A possible method can be template synthesis.
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Chapter 4: Conclusion and Future Work

4.1 Conclusion

Bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles with various functional groups have been synthesized (Chapter 2). The macrocyclic bisamide 10 was prepared through the cyclization reaction of an unusually stable bispidine diethyl ketal 7 and bis(iodoacetamide) 9. Both 7 and 9 were constructed from N-Boc-N′-allylbispidinone 4. Successful reduction of bisamide 10 followed by the cleavage of ethyl groups afforded bis-bispidinone 13. This versatile bis-bispidinone substrate 13 was used to obtain bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 16 and 17. Chapter 2 also includes an alternative pathway to obtain bis-bispidine tetrazamacrocycle 17.

Attempts have been taken to achieve the Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ complexes of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles 12 and 17 (Chapter 3). No desired metal complexes of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles have been obtained.
4.2 Future Work

To achieve the desired metal complexes of bis-bispidine-based tetraazamacrocycles, more systematic study using various transition metal ions under different reaction conditions is required. Once formed, the optical and electronic properties of the complexes will be studied. Furthermore, as part of the research interest in our group to prepare polymetallicmacrocycles, bis-bispidine tetraazamacrocycle 1 can be incorporated into a polymer backbone through step-growth polymerization with different diols which have aliphatic or aromatic carbon spacers (Scheme 4.1). These types of polymers could be used to incorporate metal ions to form polymetallicmacrocycles 3 which are expected to have interesting optical and electronic properties.

![Scheme 4.1 Proposed synthesis of polymacrocyle 2 and polymetallicmacrocyle 3.](image-url)
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