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ABSTRACT

The problem of convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder is investigated numerically. An isothermal cylinder was forced to oscillate in the in-line and transverse directions at the mid-point lock-in frequencies with different position amplitudes of oscillation. The governing equations in a non-inertial frame of reference are simplified to obtain the vorticity, stream function and energy equations. After applying the log-polar coordinate transformation, the non-dimensional vorticity and energy equations, with appropriate boundary conditions, were solved using an alternating direction implicit method. The Poisson equation for stream function was solved iteratively using the successive over relaxation technique.

The time dependent average Nusselt number and the local Nusselt number distribution on the cylinder surface were computed at a Reynolds number of 200 with the cylinder oscillating in the in-line direction, transverse direction and combined in-line and transverse directions with position amplitudes ranging from 0.1 diameter to 0.8 diameter. The dominant frequency in the average Nusselt number variation was found to be twice the natural shedding frequency. The location of the maximum local Nusselt number depends on the direction and the velocity amplitude of oscillation. With both forced and mixed convection, the local Nusselt number distribution approximately repeats after one cycle of oscillation. In
comparison with the heat transfer from a stationary cylinder, an increased mean Nusselt number and amplitude of the average Nusselt number variation were predicted with the in-line, transverse and combined oscillation. A maximum increase of 18.46% in the mean Nusselt number was predicted when the position amplitude of oscillation was 0.2 diameter in the in-line direction and 0.8 diameter in the transverse direction. Two cases of oscillating hot-wire responses were also computationally predicted in terms of average Nusselt number. The time history of the average Nusselt number agrees qualitatively with the oscillating hot-wire output voltage response.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( a \) transformation parameter

\( a'_x, a'_y \) acceleration of the forced cylinder oscillation in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively

\( a_x, a_y \) position amplitude of forced cylinder oscillation in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively \( (A'_x t_x / 2\pi, A'_y t_y / 2\pi) \)

\( A'_x, A'_y \) velocity amplitude of forced cylinder oscillation in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively

\( A_x, A_y \) nondimensional velocity amplitude of forced cylinder oscillation in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively \( (A'_x / U_m, A'_y / U_m) \)

\( \text{Amp}(\text{Nu}_{avg}) \) amplitude of the average Nusselt number

\( C_d \) drag coefficient \( (d/\rho U_m^2 R) \)

\( C_{d_m} \) mean drag coefficient

\( C_{d_{amp}} \) amplitude of the drag coefficient

\( C_l \) lift coefficient \( (l/\rho U_m^2 R) \)

\( C_{l_m} \) mean lift coefficient

\( C_{l_{max}} \) amplitude of the lift coefficient

\( d \) drag force

\( D \) diameter of the cylinder

\( E \) hot-wire response in millivolts

\( f_x, f_y \) force in the x and y directions, respectively
\( F_n \)  nondimensional natural shedding frequency (Strouhal number, \( D/t_s U_w \))

\( F_s, F_r \)  nondimensional forced frequency parameter in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively (\( D/t_s U_w, D/t_r U_w \))

\( Gr \)  Grashoff Number (\( g \beta (T_s - T_\infty) D^3/\nu^2 \))

\( I \)  lift force

\( \text{Nu}(\theta) \)  local Nusselt number

\( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \)  average Nusselt number

\( \text{Nu}_m \)  mean Nusselt number

\( p \)  pressure

\( p_\infty \)  free stream pressure

\( P \)  nondimensional pressure, \((p - p_\infty)/\rho U_w^2\)

\( \text{Pr} \)  Prandtl number (\( \nu/\alpha \))

\( \text{PSD} \)  power spectral density

\((r, \theta)\)  radial and tangential coordinates in a frame of reference attached to the cylinder

\( R \)  radius of the cylinder

\( \text{Re} \)  Reynolds number based on cylinder diameter (\( U_w D/\nu \))

\( t \)  time

\( T \)  temperature

\( t_s, t_r \)  period of oscillation in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively

\( T_s \)  cylinder surface temperature
\( T_\infty \) ambient temperature

\( t_d \) time delay

\( T_n \) natural shedding period

\( u', v' \) absolute velocity in the \( x' \) and \( y' \) directions, respectively

\( u, v \) velocity relative to a non-inertial frame of reference in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively

\( U, V \) nondimensional velocity in the \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) directions, respectively (Eq. 3.21)

\( U_\infty \) free stream velocity

\( U^* \) nondimensional free stream velocity relative to the frame of reference attached to the cylinder

\( v_r, v_\theta \) relative velocity in the \( r \) and \( \theta \) directions, respectively

\( V_r, V_\theta \) nondimensional relative velocity in the \( r \) and \( \theta \) directions, respectively

\( v_r/U_\infty, v_\theta/U_\infty \)

\((x,y)\) Cartesian coordinates in the frame of reference attached to the cylinder

\((x',y')\) Cartesian coordinates in the inertial frame of reference

\( x_c, y_c \) position of the cylinder centre in the in-line and transverse directions, respectively

**Greek Symbols**

\( \alpha \) thermal diffusivity
\( \epsilon \)  
incident angle of the nondimensional free stream velocity relative to the frame of reference attached to the cylinder

\( \lambda \)  
relaxation parameter

\( \nu \)  
kinematic viscosity of the fluid

\( (\xi, \eta) \)  
nondimensional log-polar coordinates \((r/R = e^{\xi}, \theta = a\eta)\)

\( \rho \)  
density of the fluid

\( \tau \)  
nondimensional time \((tU_\infty/R)\)

\( \tau_{cycle} \)  
nondimensional time in a natural vortex shedding cycle or an oscillation cycle of the cylinder

\( \tau_d \)  
nondimensional time delay \((t_dU_\infty/R)\)

\( \tau_n \)  
nondimensional natural vortex shedding period

\( \tau' \)  
ratio \( \tau_{cycle}/\tau_n \)

\( \phi \)  

c\(\text{phase difference between the transverse and in-line oscillations}\)

\( \Phi \)  
nondimensional temperature

\( \psi \)  
stream function relative to the frame attached to the cylinder

\( \Psi \)  
nondimensional stream function relative to the frame attached to the cylinder \((\psi/rU_\infty)\)

\( \omega \)  
vorticity

\( \Omega \)  
nondimensional vorticity \((\omega R/U_\infty)\)
Chapter I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation and Statement of the Problem

Fluid flow past a bluff body is of great importance in various engineering applications. The main feature of the flow is its separation from the body surface and formation of a large wake downstream. The existence of the wake alters the flow and pressure distributions around the body and results in a deficit of pressure on the downstream side. This causes a pressure induced drag.

Over a wide range of Reynolds number, a vortex street is formed in the wake of a cylindrical bluff body. At low Reynolds numbers, a steady symmetrical pair of vortices is formed on the downstream side of the cylinder. At a Reynolds number above about 40, periodic shedding of the vortices from the cylinder surface results in an alternating vortex street. Experimental observations and numerical predictions have shown that the vortices in the wake interact with the cylinder and induce oscillating lift and drag forces and torque on the cylinder. The oscillation frequencies of the lift and drag forces and torque are directly related to the vortex shedding frequency which may be expressed nondimensionally as a Strouhal number. The drag force and torque oscillates at twice the vortex shedding frequency and the lift force oscillates at a frequency equal to the vortex shedding frequency. These oscillating forces are known to cause vibrations in a variety of
cylindrical structures. The incident flow can excite resonant oscillations if the cylinder is flexible. The oscillating lift and drag forces may cause the flexible cylinder in a cross flow to vibrate in the in-line and transverse directions. The study of a cylinder oscillating in a cross flow is considered essential to understand the dynamics of many offshore structures.

The convective heat transfer from a stationary cylinder is a fundamental engineering problem with applications ranging from isolated heat exchanger tubes to hot-wire anemometers. The unsteady behaviour of the flow close to the surface strongly affects the heat transfer from the cylinder. In many industrial applications, it is necessary to avoid over-design of heat transfer elements subjected to flow induced vibration. In order to obtain an adequate design of the heating elements, it is necessary to investigate the effects of oscillation of the cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions. Another application of the study of convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder is to oscillating hot-wire anemometers (F1, H3). Oscillating hot-wires can solve the problem of directional ambiguity associated with conventional anemometers. In order to explain the behaviour of the oscillating hot-wire anemometers, it is essential to study the problem of convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder in a cross flow.

In the case of flow past a stationary cylinder, vortices are shed at a constant nondimensional natural shedding frequency (Strouhal number), for a flow at a particular Reynolds number. Within a range of forced frequencies, vortex shedding is controlled by the oscillation of the cylinder and a considerable increase in the
time mean lift and drag force is observed. This is referred to as the "lock-in" or "wake capture" or "synchronization" phenomenon (K3). During transverse oscillation, lock-in occurs when the forced frequency approaches the natural shedding frequency causing a considerable increase in the drag force with the vortices being shed at the same frequency as that of the cylinder oscillation. The lock-in phenomenon occurs with an in-line oscillation, when the frequency of the cylinder oscillation approaches twice the natural shedding frequency. The vibration of the cylinder, in the lock-in range of frequencies, causes vortex shedding to occur at half the cylinder frequency and produces a significant increase in the lift force. Both the in-line and transverse oscillations of the cylinder alter the phase, sequence and pattern of vortices in the wake and increase the vortex strength within the respective lock-in frequency range. In the case of flow induced oscillation, the position amplitude of the cylinder oscillation in the in-line direction is less than in the transverse direction (V2). Lock-in occurs both with forced oscillation of the cylinder and flow induced oscillation of the cylinder. The influence of the lock-in phenomenon on the heat transfer is not discussed in the literature.

In the past two decades, numerous experimental results have been reported regarding the convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder in a still fluid. All of the experimental studies regarding the heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder reported in the literature (A2, A3, D1, D2, F1, K2, L2 and S3) are at frequencies lower than the lock-in range of frequencies. In a cross flow, it has been experimentally observed that the oscillation in the direction transverse to that of the
mean flow, increases the heat transfer rate from the cylinder. In the case of an oscillation in the direction in-line to that of the mean flow, highly contradictory experimental observations have been reported.

The problem of the present investigation is the flow past an isothermal cylinder which is subjected to forced oscillations in directions in-line and transverse to that of the mean flow. The intricate details of the heat transfer from the oscillating cylinder are difficult to analyze experimentally or theoretically. In this study, the approach adopted to solve the problem is purely computational. A thoroughly validated numerical simulation code can be highly reliable, economical and gives accurate results in less time than an experimental study. To the candidate's knowledge, no numerical simulation of heat transfer convected from a sinusoidally oscillating cylinder has been reported in the past. Many experimental and numerical studies of cylinder oscillation without heat transfer can be found in the literature. No numerical prediction or experimental results have been reported for the case of combined oscillation.

1.2 Scope and Objectives

Flow past an oscillating cylinder is the subject of interest in designing ocean pipelines (risers) and offshore platform supports. The study of forced and mixed (forced and free) convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder in a cross flow is of interest in the areas of oscillating hot-wire anemometers and flow induced vibration of isolated heat exchanger tubes. The purpose of the present
investigation is to study the cross flow past an oscillating cylinder and to analyze the heat transfer from it. The present numerical study may help to fill the information gap and to explain some of the contradictory experimental results.

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effects of in-line and transverse oscillations of the cylinder at the mid-point lock-in frequency on the time history of the average Nusselt number over the cylinder surface. It is also important to determine the influence of cylinder oscillation on the local Nusselt number distribution on the surface of the cylinder. The effects of mixed convection on the heat transfer rate are also discussed. The influence of the alternating vortex street on the isotherm contours and the time history of the Nusselt number are also examined.

The secondary objective is to qualitatively compare the experimental output responses of an oscillating hot-wire anemometer with the computational predictions. The behaviour of the oscillating hot-wire anemometer at two different velocity amplitudes of oscillation is also discussed.

In this study, the non-dimensionalized vorticity transport and energy equations in a non-inertial reference frame (attached to the cylinder) are solved on a rectangular grid based on log-polar coordinates \((\xi, \eta)\). Finite difference calculations were made at different Reynolds numbers, Grashof numbers, as well as nondimensional frequencies and amplitudes of oscillation. As the maximum Reynolds number considered in this study is 1000, the laminar flow assumption is considered to be valid. Air is taken to be the fluid medium.
The following format will be adopted for presenting the different stages of the present computational investigation. In the beginning, a review of the past research work on the subject of interest will be provided. Subsequently, the formulation of the governing equations and a brief description of the numerical procedure employed to solve them are presented. The numerical results obtained are discussed afterwards. This will be followed by conclusions and recommendations which are based on an interpretation of the numerically obtained results.
Chapter II

LITERATURE REVIEW

The problem of flow past a stationary cylinder has been studied experimentally and theoretically for the past hundred years. Extensive experimental results for unsteady periodic flow around cylinders are available in the literature. Cylinders shed alternating vortices with a constant Strouhal number of approximately 0.21 in the range of Reynolds number from about 200 to $10^5$. The Strouhal number is lower for a Reynolds number less than 200 and higher for a Reynolds number more than $10^5$. One of the widely used references in this field is the experimental study of Roshko (R2). The main emphasis of this review is on the numerical investigation of the flow field around a cylindrical body and the heat transfer from a cylinder in a cross flow. Some of the related experimental research work is also discussed. This survey is divided into two categories: stationary cylinder in a cross flow and oscillating cylinder in a cross flow. In both categories, research work done with and without heat transfer from the cylinder is presented.

2.1. Stationary Cylinder in a Cross Flow

As there are numerous experimental and numerical studies concerning the flow past a stationary cylinder, only a few important numerical and experimental research papers are discussed in this survey.
2.1.1 Without Heat Transfer

One of the first numerical simulations of flow around a circular cylinder was conducted by Thom (T8) at Reynolds numbers 10 and 20. Later, Takami and Keller (T3) solved the steady state vorticity transport equations for the problem of flow past a circular cylinder at low Reynolds numbers. In their study, the flow was assumed to be uniform at an infinite distance upstream and the range of Reynolds number extended from 1 to 60. They also gave correlations for the drag coefficient and base pressure in terms of Reynolds number. Hamielec and Raal (H2) obtained numerical solutions using the two-dimensional vorticity transport equations. They compared the drag coefficients, pressure distributions and vortex dimensions with available experimental data. Excellent agreement with the experimental results was obtained up to a Reynolds number of 50.

The problem of unsteady viscous flow past a circular cylinder was numerically solved by Payne (P1) by integrating the vorticity transport equation. In his studies, the general features of the flow such as the formation of the eddies attached to the rear of the cylinder were obtained. The author also concluded that the drag on the cylinder reduces with time to a value near that for the steady flow. Jain and Rao (J3) performed computational studies of unsteady flow past a cylinder using an explicit scheme. They showed the dependence of the flow pattern, vorticity distribution, pressure distribution and drag on the Reynolds number and the time. They were not able to show any vortex street formation for a Reynolds number of 100. Similarly, Son and Hanratty (S5) used an alternating
direction implicit scheme to solve the unsteady vorticity transport equations and did
not show any vortex street formation up to a Reynolds number of 500. The reason
for this is that they did not use any form of numerical triggering to initiate the
alternate vortex shedding. In this study, the forces on the cylinder due to viscous
drag and due to pressure drag were found to be smaller than the values obtained
in laboratory experiments in which the wake was unsteady.

A comprehensive numerical study of unsteady flow past a cylinder was
conducted by Jordan and Fromm (J5) in which a numerical triggering procedure
was used to initiate the Karman vortex street. The numerical triggering procedure
consisted of rotating the cylinder counterclockwise and then clockwise for a short
period of time. The timing and the amplitude of rotation were adjusted in order to
initiate the vortex street as quickly as possible without causing any long duration
effects. Jordan and Fromm’s study also reveals the oscillatory nature of the drag,
lift and torque that are experienced by the cylinder.

Swanson and Spaulding (S8) were the first to develop a fully three-
dimensional finite difference model simulating the steady and unsteady flow around
a cylinder at a Reynolds number of 100. The three-dimensional case was run with
a uniform vertical shear flow using a primitive variable formulation. In 1980, Loc
(L4) analyzed the growth of the primary and secondary vortices with time for
Reynolds numbers up to 1000. He used the fourth order compact scheme to solve
the Poisson equation of the stream function and the second order alternating
direction implicit scheme to resolve the vorticity transport equation. Later, Loc and
Bouard (L5) numerically studied the early stage of the unsteady viscous flow around a cylinder at Re = 3000 and Re = 9500. Evolution of the flow structure with time was studied in detail. A symmetrical boundary condition was used and no vortex shedding was generated. Borthwick (B6) compared the alternating direction implicit (ADI) and directional difference explicit (DDE) numerical schemes for computing the flow around a cylinder. He concluded that the DDE scheme produces artificial viscosity, damps the wake and suppresses the vortex shedding. The ADI scheme was found to be more reliable.

The dynamic characteristics of the pressure and velocity fields of the unsteady incompressible laminar wake behind a cylinder were investigated by Braza et al. (B7) using a two-dimensional primitive variable formulation. They used a finite volume formulation and concluded that phase relations exist between the pressure and velocity in the wake. Rumsey (R4) computationally studied the details of the flow field around a circular cylinder at Re = 1200 using the complete form of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. In comparison with the experimental results, this numerical scheme predicted a more rapid onset of flow reversal over the cylinder. A direct numerical simulation of unsteady flow past a cylinder was carried out by Braza and Minh (B8) in the Reynolds number range of 2000 to 10000 using the 2-D Navier-Stokes equations. In their study, the time dependent evolution of drag and lift oscillations were computed and analyzed over large time intervals using a CRAY supercomputer.

In order to understand the shedding patterns of the near-wake vortices
behind a cylinder, Sa and Chang (S1) used fourth order Hermitian relations for the convection terms and solved the vorticity transport equations. They also developed a new integral series method for far-field stream function condition on a two-dimensional computational domain.

Wang and Dalton (W1) gave the numerical solutions for impulsively started and decelerated viscous flow past a cylinder. A two-step, predictor-corrector finite difference scheme was used to solve the vorticity transport equation. A sharp increase in the drag coefficient was predicted for the case of a suddenly stopped flow past a cylinder. In 1993, Green and Gerrard (G1) measured the vorticity in the near-wake of a cylinder at low Reynolds numbers using the particle streak method. Their vorticity measurements agree well with the two-dimensional numerical results. However, the lift coefficients were overpredicted by the numerical simulation.

2.1.2 With Heat Transfer

Many experimental correlations exist relating the Reynolds number, Prandtl number and the mean Nusselt number for the case of forced and mixed convective heat transfer from a stationary cylinder. One of the first general correlations for the forced convective heat transfer from a stationary cylinder in a cross flow given by Kramers (K4) is

\[ \text{Nu}_m = 0.42(\text{Pr})^{0.20} + 0.57 (\text{Pr})^{0.33} (\text{Re})^{0.5}. \]  

This correlation is valid up to a Reynolds number of $10^9$. Later, Cole and Roshko
(C4) conducted experiments to measure the mean Nusselt number in the low Reynolds number range (Re < 1) and compared with their analytical solutions. This work also deals with the effect of aspect ratio of the cylinder on the heat transfer rate from the cylinder.

Hegge Zijnen (H4) assembled the experimental data from various origins and presented modified correlation formulae for the heat transfer by natural and by forced convection from horizontal cylinders. A generalized correlation for the forced convective heat transfer from the cylinder for air and diatomic gases was given as

\[
Nu_m = 0.38Pr^{0.2} + (0.56Re^{0.5} + 0.001Re)Pr^{0.33}.
\]  

(2.2)

This correlation is considered to be better than Kramers' correlation for Reynolds numbers above $10^6$. Hegge Zijnen also suggested that a correlation for mixed convection can be obtained by taking the vectorial sum (square root of the sum of the squares) of the Nusselt numbers obtained separately from the free convection and forced convection. Even though the correlation may agree with some of the experimental results, it is not accepted by the scientific community because the vectorial summing of the scalar quantities is invalid.

Heat transfer by combined free and forced convection from a heated cylinder in a transverse air stream was studied experimentally over a wide range of Grashof and Reynolds numbers by Sharma and Sukhatme (S4). The criteria for transition from free convection to mixed convection and from mixed convection to forced convection were also obtained by them. Oosthuizen and Madan (O3, O4)
conducted experiments to determine the effects of flow direction on the mixed convective heat transfer from cylinders to air. They also gave different criteria for purely forced convection to exist in terms of the ratio $\frac{Gr}{Re^2}$ for different flow directions with respect to the direction of the free convection. In the case of a cross flow situation, pure forced convection exists if $\frac{Gr}{Re^2} < 0.53$.

Recently, Armaly et. al. (A4) summarized the analytical and experimental results of several representative studies for the mixed convection in air flow across horizontal cylinders. They presented simple correlation equations that can be employed in heat transfer calculations. This work can be considered as a good source of reference for calculating the heat transfer from cylinders in different flow configurations such as assisted flow, opposed flow and cross flow. An assisted flow situation exists when the direction of the flow and the free convection are the same and the opposed flow situation exists when the direction of the flow is opposite to the direction of free convection. In the cross flow situation, the direction of the flow is perpendicular to the direction of free convection.

In the past two decades, several numerical investigations of the unsteady heat transfer from a stationary circular cylinder have been made. Most of these were carried out for Reynolds numbers less than 500. Jain and Goel (J1) carried out a numerical investigation of unsteady laminar forced convection from a cylinder at Reynolds numbers of 100 and 200. Finite difference calculations were made to obtain the temperature field and local Nusselt number around the cylinder at different times. The computed results were found to be in good agreement with the
experimental results. Later, Jain and Lohar (J2) conducted a numerical study of unsteady mixed convective heat transfer from a horizontal cylinder in an assisted flow situation. They discussed the effects of free convection on the vortex shedding frequency and the separation points. The time dependent local Nusselt number distribution was presented at different Reynolds numbers and Grashof numbers.

In a computational study of forced and mixed convection from a cylinder, Ha Minh et al. (H1) discussed the effects of direction of the flow on the Strouhal number, total drag and mean Nusselt number. Badr (B1, B2) numerically studied the mixed convection from a cylinder in cross flow, assisted flow and opposing flow situations at low Reynolds numbers (Re < 60) and Grashof numbers (Gr < 7200). The procedure that was employed to solve the asymmetrical flow field was a series-truncation method and a Crank-Nicolson finite difference scheme for advancing in time. In these studies, the influence of free convection on the vorticity and pressure distributions on the cylinder was discussed. Moon et al. (M3) calculated the pressure distributions for combined convection around a cylinder in an assisted flow configuration. They employed a vorticity-stream function formulation and for the recovery of pressure distribution, the Poisson equation for pressure was solved.

Recently, Chun and Boehm (C2) carried out a finite volume calculation of forced convective heat transfer at various Reynolds numbers as high as 3480 without initiating an alternating vortex street. In their work, a comparison of the solution techniques using the central difference and power law forms was
presented for the cases of uniform wall temperature and uniform heat flux condition on the cylinder surface. The same authors (C3) investigated the effects of nonuniform thermal boundary conditions on the surface on the forced convection heat transfer from the cylinder. With the same mean surface temperature, nonuniform surface temperature cases showed considerable differences in total heat transfer between one another.

2.2 Oscillating Cylinder in a Cross Flow

In the case of an oscillating cylinder in a cross flow, all of the available experimental and numerical studies are discussed in the following sections.

2.2.1 Without Heat Transfer

There are several reports of experimental investigations of cylinders oscillating in a direction in-line or transverse to that of the mean flow direction. Koopmann (K3) was one of the first to examine the effects of transverse oscillation of the cylinder on the structure of the wake. This author also established conditions for which the vortex wake frequency is controlled by the driving frequency of the cylinder. Later, Tanaka and Takahara (T6) conducted experiments to measure the time dependent lift force on a transversely oscillating cylinder in a cross flow. It was concluded that the lift force increased with the amplitude of the cylinder oscillation. Bublitz (B9) studied the problem of transversely oscillating cylinder in the Reynolds number range of $10^5$ to $6.7 \times 10^5$ and concluded that the oscillations
of the cylinder cause a laminar to turbulent transition at lower Reynolds numbers than that for the cylinder at rest. The stability of a cylinder oscillating in the in-line and transverse directions to that of the mean flow has been studied by Tanida et al. (T7) by measuring the lift and drag forces in the Reynolds number range of 40 to $10^4$. Their study concludes that the transverse oscillation of the cylinder may become unstable when the cylinder motion and the vortex shedding are synchronized.

Griffin and Ramberg (G3, G4, G5) carried out several experimental studies to obtain the characteristics of the lock-in phenomenon with cylinder oscillation in the in-line direction and transverse direction to the incident uniform flow. In the case of in-line oscillation of the cylinder in the lock-in region, two distinct vortex wake patterns were observed. The first is a symmetric vortex shedding near the cylinder in which two vortices are shed during each cycle of the vibration and form an alternating pattern of vortex pairs downstream. The second pattern is an alternating street which results from the shedding of a single vortex during each cycle of cylinder motion. The street geometry in the latter case shares many basic characteristics with the wake of a transversely oscillating cylinder in a cross flow.

The frequencies of vortex shedding from cylinders forced to oscillate transversely in low-turbulence uniform and shear flows were investigated by Stansby (S7). These experiments reveal that the range of cylinder frequency for locking-on was dependent on the amplitude of the oscillation and Reynolds number. Vandiver (V1), as well as Vandiver and Jong (V2) conducted experiments
to investigate the vibration response of long flexible cylinders subjected to vortex shedding in a steady, uniform current. In this study, displacement of the cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions were recorded. It was clearly evident that the displacement amplitude in the transverse direction was about twice the displacement amplitude in the in-line direction. Under lock-in conditions, drag coefficients in excess of 3.0 were measured with Reynolds numbers up to $2.2 \times 10^4$.

An experimental investigation was performed by Takahashi et al. (T2) to study the in-line forces on oscillating cylinders. They presented a correlation for the energy dissipation for an oscillating body in a fluid flow in terms of energy dissipation for a stationary body in a fluid flow and that for an oscillating body in a fluid at rest. Extremely detailed experimental studies of the flow structure resulting from an oscillating cylinder were conducted by Ongoren and Rockwell (O1, O2). In their work, different modes of vortex shedding from an oscillating cylinder and the competition between the modes of vortex shedding are discussed. Moe and Wu (M2) carried out experimental studies of both forced and self excited vibration of a cylinder in a cross flow. Under the same conditions of oscillation, their study showed that both the forced and self excited vibration yield approximately the same variation of lift force with time.

A selective review of vortex induced oscillation of cylinders was given by Sarpkaya (S2). This review discusses various details of the vortex shedding mechanism and different characteristics of the lock-in phenomenon. In another comprehensive review, Bearman (B3) discusses various mathematical models
developed to predict vortex induced oscillations of cylindrical bodies. Recently, Griffin and Hall (G2) presented another review of both experimental and computational work done in the area of vortex shedding from oscillating cylinders in the in-line and transverse directions.

Several finite difference, finite volume and finite element simulations have been carried out using the concept of a non-inertial frame of reference. Most of the simulations were carried out using the primitive variables. Flow past an oscillating cylinder either in the in-line or transverse direction has been experimentally and numerically studied by several researchers for many years. Hurlbut et al. (H6) were the first to numerically study the problem of flow past a cylinder with in-line oscillation. They used the non-inertial coordinate transformation for the governing equations. In their simulations, the "lock-in" phenomenon was successfully predicted with the cylinder oscillating in the in-line direction to that of the mean flow. The same researchers (H7) extended their work for transverse oscillations of the cylinder in a uniform flow. Their model uses the Marker and Cell (MAC) method to solve the incompressible continuity and Navier-Stokes equations in terms of pressure and velocity. Later, Chilukuri (C1) studied the problem of a transversely oscillating cylinder using the non-inertial coordinate transformation and Simplified Marker and Cell (SMAC) method to solve the governing equations in primitive variable form. At large vibration amplitudes, amplification of the mean drag and reduction of mean lift were numerically predicted with transverse oscillation.
Tamura et al. (T4, T5) used a generalized coordinate transformation to study the forced and vortex induced vibration of a cylinder in the Reynolds number range of $3 \times 10^3$ to $6 \times 10^5$. The MAC method was used to solve the governing equations in the primitive variable form in conjunction with a third order upwinding scheme for the convective terms. The drag in the critical regime ($Re > 10^5$) was predicted to be considerably smaller than in the subcritical regime ($Re \leq 10^5$). Lecointe and Piquet (L1) carried out a numerical study of flow structure in the wake of an oscillating cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions using a stream function and vorticity formulation. A similar formulation and numerical approach is used in the present investigation. In their study, both asymmetric and symmetric vortex shedding was predicted in the case of in-line oscillation under different values of oscillation frequency. A numerical solution for the vortex induced vibration of a cylinder in a cross flow was given by Berger and Rokni (B5). The coupled Navier-Stokes and rigid body motion equations were solved to obtain the time evolution of the displacement of the cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions, as well as the drag and lift forces. Triantafyllou and Karniadakis (T9) calculated the fluid forces on a cylinder oscillating transversely to a uniform flow during an amplitude-modulated ("beating") motion and compared with the numerical results obtained with the harmonic oscillation of the cylinder. The simulation was carried out using the spectral element method. The numerical results show that the beating motion of the cylinder results in a reduction of the mean drag and an increase in the fluctuating drag, compared to the values
obtained from the harmonically oscillating cylinders.

A finite element solution for 2-D flow over a transversely oscillating cylinder was obtained by Anagnostopoulos (A1) using the vorticity-stream function formulation. In this study, the mesh system was translated with the cylinder at each time step and the field was interpolated to the new nodal points. A similar method of translating the mesh system was used by Mittal and Tezduyar (M1) in order to solve the problems of both forced and vortex induced oscillation of the cylinder in a cross flow. The computations were based on the stabilized space-time finite element formulation. A direct finite element simulation was carried out by Li et al. (L3) to study the response of an oscillating cylinder in uniform flow and in the wake of an upstream cylinder. For a cylinder oscillating in the wake of an upstream cylinder, the flow structure was strongly influenced by the distance between the two cylinders.

Rao et al. (R1) performed a numerical simulation of flow around a transversely and longitudinally oscillating cylinder in a cross flow at Reynolds numbers of $4 \times 10^3$ and $4 \times 10^4$. A moving grid system based on a time dependent coordinate transformation was employed to solve the governing equations. Detailed frequency analyses of the drag and lift forces were presented in their study.

### 2.2.2 With Heat Transfer

Many experimental investigations have shown that oscillation of the cylinder in a still fluid medium results in an increased heat transfer rate. In the case of
an oscillating cylinder in a cross flow, fewer experimental studies have been reported in the literature. Hegge Zijnen (H5) observed a decrease in the heat transfer rate at a Reynolds number of 5 with the cylinder undergoing oscillation in the direction in-line to that of the mean flow. Hegge Zijnen presented an equation of a general form relating the $N_u_m$, free stream velocity and the velocity amplitudes in the in-line and transverse directions. This relation is modified to fit Kramers’ correlation and is presented as follows

$$N_u_m = 0.42 + 0.57 (1 - 1/16A_x^2 + 1/8A_y^2)Re^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

This equation was validated for the case of in-line oscillation of the cylinder for $Re < 5$ and $A_x < 0.5$.

Anantanarayanan and Ramachandran (A2) investigated the influence of vibration on heat transfer from electrically heated Nichrome wire to an air stream flowing parallel to the wire. Both frequency and amplitude of vibration increased the heat transfer coefficient by as much as 130 percent. Later, Sreenivasan and Ramachandran (S6) experimentally studied the effects of the oscillation of a cylinder in the direction transverse to that of the air stream. No appreciable change in the heat transfer coefficient was observed with a maximum vibrational velocity amplitude of 0.2 in the Reynolds number range of 2500 to 15000.

The effect of oscillation of a cylinder in the in-line direction on the instantaneous local heat transfer coefficient was investigated by Mori and Tokuda (M4) with the use of an optical method. At smaller velocity amplitudes of oscillation, it was concluded that the distribution of the Nusselt number in the
circumferential direction is almost similar to that in the upstream part of a stationary cylinder in a cross flow. Other investigators such as Kezios and Prasanna (K1) reported a 20% increase in the average heat transfer coefficient with a transversely oscillating cylinder. At a Reynolds number of 3500, Saxena and Laird (S3) observed that some local heat transfer coefficients were up to 60% larger with a vertical cylinder undergoing forced oscillations in the direction transverse to the mean water flow. Due to the larger flow disturbances that result from the wake capture, the largest increases in local heat transfer coefficient occurred on the downstream side of the cylinder. Leung et al. (L2) observed an enhanced heat transfer rate for Reynolds numbers less than about 15000 with in-line oscillation.

In order to overcome the directional ambiguity associated with the hot-wire anemometers, Fernandez (F1) and Heckadon and Wong (H3) investigated the response of oscillating hot-wire anemometers. In these studies, the wire was oscillated in a frequency range of 50-90 Hz and at different velocity amplitudes of oscillation. The instantaneous hot-wire voltage responses were recorded at very low Reynolds numbers (Re < 1.0). These results are compared with the computational results in the present investigation.

At Reynolds numbers 1400, 2100 and 3500, Takahashi and Endoh (T1) experimentally investigated the effects of in-line oscillation of the cylinder on the heat transfer rate at various vibrational Reynolds numbers. In this study, it was concluded that the heat transfer rate increased during the in-line oscillation above
certain velocity amplitude. In the literature, no conclusive experimental results have been reported regarding the effects that an oscillating cylinder has on the forced or mixed convective heat transfer.

To the candidate's knowledge, no numerical simulation has been reported to study the effects of oscillation of the cylinder on the forced or the mixed convective heat transfer. It was also found that no numerical investigation has been reported for the case of flow past a cylinder with combined oscillation, i.e., the cylinder oscillating in the in-line and transverse directions simultaneously.
Chapter III

FORMULATION

The physical system consists of a long horizontal cylinder oscillating in a cross flow of air. The unsteady flow past an oscillating cylinder can be treated as a two-dimensional problem provided the length to diameter ratio of the cylinder is very large. To formulate the problem it is assumed that: (a) the fluid motion and temperature distribution are two-dimensional (2-D), (b) the fluid is Newtonian and incompressible, (c) frictional heating is negligible, (d) fluid properties are constant except for the density variation with temperature, (e) the laminar flow is uniform at an infinite distance upstream, and (f) the surface temperature of the cylinder is uniform and higher than the ambient temperature.

3.1 Non-inertial Coordinate Transformation

In general, the boundaries of the cylinder travelling through a finite difference grid system do not coincide with the computational cell boundaries at each time step. An alignment of the solid boundary and the computational cells is necessary to allow for the proper specification of no-slip wall boundary conditions. One method to circumvent this problem is to translate the grid system at each time step and interpolate the dependent variables in the old grid locations to new translated grid locations. This method is computationally very expensive. An easier
way of accomplishing the same objective is to use a non-inertial coordinate transformation.

In this method, the grid system is attached to the oscillating cylinder. The effect of this attached grid system is the addition of a relative acceleration term in the Navier-Stokes equations. This can be demonstrated by considering a simple form of the x-momentum equation as follows:

$$\rho \frac{Du'}{Dt} = f_x$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where $u'$ is the absolute velocity of the fluid in the x-direction. The above equation is a statement of Newton's second law and is valid only when $Du'/Dt$ is the absolute acceleration with respect to an inertial frame of reference. Therefore, in a non-inertial coordinate system, the velocity term must include the velocity of the coordinate system relative to an inertial reference frame. Equation (3.1) becomes

$$\rho \frac{D(u-u_c)}{Dt} = f_x$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $u$ is the velocity of the fluid relative to the non-inertial reference frame and $u_c$ is the absolute velocity of the non-inertial reference frame. If the velocity of the reference frame is only time dependent, Equation (3.2) becomes

$$\rho \left( \frac{Du}{Dt} + \frac{du_c}{dt} \right) = f_x$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Thus the result of attaching the computational grid system to the oscillating
cylinder is the addition of a simple acceleration term which is constant over the
field at each time step. Using the concept of the non-inertial transformation, the
governing equations for the present problem are presented in the following section.

3.2 Governing Equations

The isothermal cylinder is forced to oscillate sinusoidally with velocities, $A'_x$
$sin(2\pi(t - t_d/t_a))$ and $A'_y sin(2\pi(t - t_d/t_y - \phi))$ in the in-line and transverse directions
respectively, relative to the upstream uniform velocity, $U_{\infty}$, as indicated in figure
3.1. $A'_x$ and $A'_y$ are the velocity amplitudes of the oscillating cylinder in $x'$ and $y'$
directions respectively. The phase difference, $\phi$, between the in-line and transverse
oscillations is set equal to zero in this study. The time delay, $t_d$, is the time allowed
for the development of a stable alternating vortex street in the wake of a stationary
cylinder.

The governing equations for a two-dimensional flow problem are the
continuity equation, two momentum component equations and the energy equation.
In order to incorporate buoyancy forces due to the temperature difference between
the cylinder surface and the fluid in the free stream, the Boussinesq approximation
is used in the momentum equations. With the Boussinesq approximation, the
density of the fluid is taken as being temperature dependent only in the buoyancy
force term. Thus, within the Boussinesq approximation, the four governing
equations (one continuity, two momentum and one energy) in Cartesian coordinates are given below.

Continuity Equation

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (3.4) \]

Momentum Equations

In the x-direction

\[ \frac{Du}{Dt} + a_x = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + v \nabla^2 u \quad (3.5) \]

In the y-direction

\[ \frac{Dv}{Dt} + a_y = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + v \nabla^2 v + g \beta (T - T_0) \quad (3.6) \]

Energy Equation

\[ \frac{DT}{Dt} = \alpha \nabla^2 T \quad (3.7) \]

where

\[ \frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \]

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \]

It is convenient to transform the above governing equations into polar coordinates (r,θ,t) as given below.
Continuity Equation

\[ \frac{\partial (r \ v_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

Momentum Equations

In the r - direction

\[ \frac{Dv_r}{Dt} = \frac{v_\theta^2}{r} + \left[ a_{x'} \cos \theta + a_{y'} \sin \theta \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \]

\[ + v \left( \nabla^2 v_r - \frac{v_r}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} \right) + \beta(T - T_e) \sin \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

In the \( \theta \) - direction

\[ \frac{Dv_\theta}{Dt} = \frac{v_\theta}{r} + \left[ -a_{x'} \sin \theta + a_{y'} \cos \theta \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \]

\[ + v \left( \nabla^2 v_\theta - \frac{v_\theta}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) + \beta(T - T_e) \cos \theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

Energy Equation

\[ \frac{DT}{Dt} = \alpha \ \nabla^2 T \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.11)

where

\[ \frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + v_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \]

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \]
Although it is possible to obtain numerical solutions for the primitive variables, it is advantageous to solve this problem using the vorticity-stream function formulation. The use of the vorticity-stream function formulation eliminates the pressure variable and hence reduces the number of equations to be solved by one.

### 3.3 Vorticity - Stream Function Formulation

The relative radial and tangential velocities are related to the stream function as follows

\[
\nu_r = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}, \quad \nu_\theta = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)

The vorticity is defined as

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial (\nu_r)}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial \nu_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.13)

By introducing the stream function and vorticity into the continuity and momentum equations, they can be simplified and reduced to two equations: the vorticity transport equation and a Poisson equation for the stream function. By differentiating equation (3.9) with respect to \( \theta \) and equation (3.10) with respect to \( r \), subtracting one from another and subsequently using the continuity equation (3.8), the vorticity transport equation is obtained as given below.
Vorticity Transport Equation

\[
\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) = \nabla \cdot \nabla^2 \omega
\]

\[= \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \cos \theta \right) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \sin \theta \right) \right), \tag{3.14}\]

where

\[
\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}
\]

The definition of vorticity yields the Poisson equation for the stream function,

\[
\omega = -\nabla^2 \psi. \tag{3.15}\]

With the use of a non-inertial frame of reference the vorticity transport equation retains the same form for both the oscillating and stationary cylinder problem. It is to be noticed that both the pressure term and the additional acceleration terms are eliminated in the vorticity transport equation. The energy equation in the non-inertial frame of reference attached to the cylinder is given as follows:

Energy Equation

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( T \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \right) = \alpha \nabla^2 T \tag{3.16}\]

High vorticity and temperature gradients exist near the surface of the cylinder. In order to achieve a more accurate numerical solution, it is essential to have a finer grid near the cylinder. This can be accomplished by the use of the log-polar coordinate transformation given by:
where $\xi$ and $\eta$ are the transformed coordinates and "a" is the transformation parameter which is set equal to $\pi$ for this study. This log-polar coordinate transformation allows us to have a uniform grid in a transformed rectangular domain. The nondimensional variables are defined as:

$$
\tau = \frac{t}{R}, \quad \Psi = \frac{\psi}{RU}, \quad \Omega = \frac{\omega R}{U}, \quad \Phi = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_s - T_\infty}, \quad V_t = \frac{v}{U}, \quad V_\eta = \frac{v_\eta}{U},
$$

$$
Re = \frac{2RU}{v}, \quad Gr = \frac{g\beta(T_s - T_\infty)D^3}{v^2}, \quad Pr = \frac{v}{\alpha},
$$

$$
A_x = \frac{A_x}{U}, \quad A_y = \frac{A_y}{U}, \quad F_x = \frac{2R}{t_x U}, \quad F_y = \frac{2R}{t_y U}.
$$

After applying the log-polar coordinate transformation and nondimensionalizing, the vorticity transport and energy equations are

$$
g(\xi) \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \Omega \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \Omega \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi} \right) = \frac{2}{Re} \nabla \cdot \Omega
$$

$$
+ \sqrt{g(\xi)} \frac{Gr}{2Re^2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \cos(a\eta) - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \sin(a\eta) \right)
$$

$$
g(\xi) \Omega = -\nabla \cdot \Psi
$$

$$
g(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \Phi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \Phi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi} \right) = \frac{2}{Re \cdot Pr} \nabla \cdot \phi
$$

where

$$
g(\xi) = a^2 e^{a\xi}
$$
and

\[ \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2}. \]

It is to be noted that both the vorticity equation (3.18) and the energy equation (3.20) are parabolic in time and the stream function equation (3.19) is elliptic in space. The stream function equation is coupled with both the vorticity and energy equations. The vorticity and the energy equations are coupled through the buoyancy force. Furthermore, the vorticity and the energy equation are nonlinear due to the convective terms.

The nondimensional relative velocity components are given by

\[ V_r = \frac{U}{\sqrt{g(\xi)}}, \quad V_\theta = \frac{V}{\sqrt{g(\xi)}}. \tag{3.21} \]

where

\[ U = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta}, \quad V = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \xi}. \]

The main goal of the present problem is to seek \( \Omega(\xi, \eta, \tau), \Phi(\xi, \eta, \tau) \) and \( \Psi(\xi, \eta, \tau) \) which satisfy the three partial differential equations (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20), as well as the following initial and boundary conditions.
3.4 Initial and Boundary Conditions

Initially (at $t < 0$), the vorticity, stream function and temperature fields are zero everywhere in the computational domain. The use of a non-inertial frame of reference adds unsteady components to the boundary velocities. To an observer attached to the cylinder, the fluid velocity at the cylinder surface is zero from the no-slip condition. The velocity component in the $x$-direction at the outer boundary is the sum of the free stream velocity and the negative of the instantaneous cylinder velocity in the $x$-direction. The velocity component in the $y$-direction at the outer boundary is the negative of the cylinder velocity in the $y$-direction. The upstream relative free stream velocities are as follows

$$u = U_\infty - A'_x \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_x) \quad \text{and} \quad v = -A'_y \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_y-\phi).$$

(3.22)

In polar coordinates, the radial and tangential far-field velocity boundary conditions are obtained by modifying the potential flow solution of Janna (J4):

$$v_r = \left[ (U_\infty - A'_x \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_x))^2 + (A'_y \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_y-\phi))^2 \right]^{1/2} (1-R^2/r^2) \cos(\theta - \epsilon)$$

(3.23)

$$v_\theta = -\left[ (U_\infty - A'_x \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_x))^2 + (A'_y \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_y-\phi))^2 \right]^{1/2} (1+R^2/r^2) \sin(\theta - \epsilon).$$

where $\epsilon = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{-A'_y \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_y-\phi)}{U_\infty - A'_x \sin(2\pi(t-t_d)/t_x)} \right)$.

A constant temperature ($T_0$) boundary condition is assumed on the cylinder surface. These boundary conditions are interpreted in terms of non-dimensional stream function, vorticity and temperature in the following sections.
3.4.1 Boundary Condition on the Cylinder Surface

The boundary conditions for the nondimensional stream function on the cylinder surface is given by

$$\Psi = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \zeta} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \zeta = 0. $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

These conditions correspond to the no-slip boundary condition on the cylinder surface.

The vorticity boundary condition at the cylinder surface is given by applying equation (3.19) locally as given below.

$$\Omega_o = -\frac{1}{g(\zeta)_o} (\nabla^2 \Psi)_o $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.25)

The subscript "o" represents a point on the surface (\( \zeta = 0 \)). On the surface of the cylinder

$$g(\zeta)_o = a^2$$

and

$$\left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial \eta} \right)_o = 0, \quad \text{hence} \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \eta^2} \right)_o = 0.$$

Now, the vorticity at the surface of the cylinder can be written as
\[(\Omega)_o = -\frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \xi^2} \right), \quad (3.26)\]

The isothermal boundary condition on the cylinder surface is represented as
\[\Phi = 1 \text{ on } \xi = 0. \quad (3.27)\]

### 3.4.2 Far-field Boundary Conditions

The time-dependent far-field boundary condition for the stream function is obtained by modifying the potential flow solution of Janna (J4):

\[\psi = 2\sqrt{1 - A_y \sin(\pi \tau \xi)} \left[ A_y \sin(\pi \tau \xi) F_y - \psi \right] \sin(h_x \xi) \sin(h_y \eta) \quad (3.28)\]

where
\[\varepsilon = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{-A_y \sin(\pi \tau \xi) F_y - \psi}{1 - A_y \sin(\pi \tau \xi) F_y} \right]. \]

The far-field vorticity boundary conditions (L5) are
\[\left\{ g(\xi) \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \Omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \Omega \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right) \right\}_\eta = 0, \quad 0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < \eta < 2, \quad (3.29)\]

and
\[\Omega = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \eta \leq \frac{3}{2}.\]
Similarly, the far-field boundary conditions for the temperature are taken to be

$$
\left[ g(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \Phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \xi} \right) \right]_{\xi=0} = 0, \quad 0 < \eta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2} < \eta < 2, \quad (3.30)
$$

and

$$
\Phi = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \eta < \frac{3}{2}.
$$

The time-dependent downstream boundary conditions for the vorticity and the temperature are called the "radiant-Sommerfeld like" conditions where the diffusion of vorticity and temperature are neglected. Upstream of the cylinder, the irrotational boundary condition is always valid.

In the present computational investigation, the governing equations (3.18), (3.19) and (3.20) are to be solved with the boundary conditions given by equations (3.24), (3.26), (3.27), (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30).
Figure 3.1 Coordinate systems
The three governing equations to be solved in this study are the vorticity transport equation, the stream function equation and the energy equation. Figure 4.1 shows the computational domain in the ($\xi, \eta$) coordinate system. The governing equations can be discretized in space using a central differencing scheme as follows:

Vorticity transport equation

\[
g(\xi_j) \frac{\partial \Omega_{ij}}{\partial \tau} = - \left( \frac{U_{i-1,j} \Omega_{ij} - U_{i,j-1} \Omega_{ij-1}}{2\Delta \xi} \right) - \left( \frac{V_{i,j-1} \Omega_{i+1,j} - V_{i,j} \Omega_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta \eta} \right)
+ \frac{2}{Re} \left( \frac{\Omega_{ij} - 2\Omega_{ij} + \Omega_{ij-1}}{\Delta \xi^2} \right)
+ \frac{2}{Re} \left( \frac{\Omega_{i-1,j} - 2\Omega_{ij} + \Omega_{i-1,j-1}}{\Delta \eta^2} \right)
+ \sqrt{g(\xi_j)} \frac{Gr}{2Re^2} \left( \frac{\Phi_{i-1,j} - \Phi_{i-1,j-1}}{2\Delta \xi} \cos(\alpha) - \frac{\Phi_{i-1,j-1} - \Phi_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta \eta} \sin(\alpha) \right)
\]

Stream function equation

\[
- g(\xi_j) \Omega_{ij} = \left( \frac{\psi_{i-1,j} - 2\psi_{ij} + \psi_{i+1,j}}{\Delta \xi^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\psi_{i,j-1} - 2\psi_{ij} + \psi_{i,j-1}}{\Delta \eta^2} \right)
\]

Energy equation
\[ g(\xi) \frac{\partial \Phi_{ij}}{\partial \tau} = - \left( \frac{U_{i,j+1} \Phi_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j} \Phi_{i,j}}{2\Delta \xi} \right) \left( \frac{V_{i+1,j} \Phi_{i+1,j} - V_{i,j} \Phi_{i,j}}{2\Delta \eta} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{2}{Re \ Pr} \left( \frac{\Phi_{i,j+1} - 2\Phi_{i,j} + \Phi_{i,j-1}}{\Delta \xi^2}, \frac{\Phi_{i+1,j} - 2\Phi_{i,j} + \Phi_{i-1,j}}{\Delta \eta^2} \right) \]

(4.3)

The subscripts i,j represent the (i,j) mesh point in the (\eta, \xi) coordinates. In order to solve these finite difference equations in time and space, an appropriate numerical method must be selected.

### 4.1 Numerical Methods

The vorticity transport and the energy equations are solved numerically using the alternating direction implicit (ADI) scheme. Borthwick (B6) showed that the ADI scheme is more reliable and more accurate than the upwind directional difference explicit scheme. The time derivative is approximated using a forward difference scheme. The vorticity transport equation in an ADI two step finite difference form is given as follows:
\[
\frac{2g(\xi_j)\Omega^{n+1/2}_{i,j}}{\Delta\tau} = \frac{(V^n\Omega^{n-1/2}_{i,j} - (V^n\Omega^{n-1/2}_{i,j})_{-1,j})}{2\Delta\eta} - \frac{2}{\text{Re}}\left(\frac{(\Omega_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2\Omega_{i,j} + \Omega_{i,j})^{n-1/2}}{\Delta\eta^2}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2g(\xi_j)\Omega^n_{i,j}}{\Delta\tau} - \frac{(U^n\Omega^n)_{i,j} - (U^n\Omega^n)_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta\xi} + \frac{2}{\text{Re}}\left(\frac{(\Omega_{i,j}^{n-1} - 2\Omega_{i,j} + \Omega_{i,j-1})^n}{\Delta\xi^2}\right)
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{g(\xi_j)} \frac{Gr}{2\text{Re}^2} \left(\frac{(\Phi^n_{i,j+1} - (\Phi^n_{i,j+1})_{i,j})\cos(\alpha)}{2\Delta\xi} - \frac{(\Phi^n_{i,j+1} - (\Phi^n_{i,j+1})_{i,j-1})\sin(\alpha)}{2\Delta\eta}\right)
\]

(4.4)

\[
\frac{2g(\xi_j)\Omega^{n+1}_{i,j}}{\Delta\tau} = \frac{(U^n\Omega^n_{i,j+1} - (U^n\Omega^n_{i,j+1})_{i,j-1})}{2\Delta\eta} - \frac{2}{\text{Re}}\left(\frac{(\Omega_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2\Omega_{i,j+1} + \Omega_{i,j+1})^{n+1}}{\Delta\xi^2}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2g(\xi_j)\Omega^{n+1/2}_{i,j}}{\Delta\tau} - \frac{(V^n\Omega^{n+1/2}_{i,j} - (V^n\Omega^{n+1/2}_{i,j})_{i,j-1})}{2\Delta\eta} + \frac{2}{\text{Re}}\left(\frac{(\Omega_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2\Omega_{i,j+1} + \Omega_{i,j+1})^{n+1/2}}{\Delta\eta^2}\right)
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{g(\xi_j)} \frac{Gr}{2\text{Re}^2} \left(\frac{(\Phi^n_{i,j+1} - (\Phi^n_{i,j+1})_{i,j})\cos(\alpha)}{2\Delta\xi} - \frac{(\Phi^n_{i,j+1} - (\Phi^n_{i,j+1})_{i,j-1})\sin(\alpha)}{2\Delta\eta}\right)
\]

(4.5)

The superscript "\(n\)" represents the \(n\)th time step. Similarly, the energy equation can be written in the finite difference form by replacing the dependent variable with \(\Phi\) and the Reynolds number with the product of Reynolds number and Prandtl number. The ADI two step finite difference form of the energy equation is as follows:
\[
\frac{2g(\xi)}{\Delta \tau} \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2} - \frac{(V^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2})_{r+1,j} - (V^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2})_{r-1,j}}{2\Delta \eta} - \frac{2}{RePr} \left( \frac{(\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} + \Phi_{i,j}^{n})_j}{\Delta \eta^2} \right) = \frac{2g(\xi)}{\Delta \tau} \Phi_{i,j}^n - \frac{(U^n \Phi_{i,j}^n)_{j+1} - (U^n \Phi_{i,j}^n)_{j-1}}{2\Delta \xi} + \frac{2}{RePr} \left( \frac{(\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} + \Phi_{i,j}^{n})_i}{\Delta \xi^2} \right) \quad (4.6)
\]

\[
\frac{2g(\xi)}{\Delta \tau} \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} + \frac{(U^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1})_{i,j+1} - (U^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1})_{i,j-1}}{2\Delta \xi} - \frac{2}{RePr} \left( \frac{(\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} + \Phi_{i,j}^{n})_i}{\Delta \xi^2} \right) = \frac{2g(\xi)}{\Delta \tau} \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2} - \frac{(V^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2})_{r+1,j} - (V^n \Phi_{i,j}^{n+1/2})_{r-1,j}}{2\Delta \eta} + \frac{2}{RePr} \left( \frac{(\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2\Phi_{i,j}^{n+1} + \Phi_{i,j}^{n})_j}{\Delta \eta^2} \right) \quad (4.7)
\]

At every time step, the stream function equation is solved by the iteration technique of successive line over-relaxation (SLOR). The appropriate finite difference form of the stream function equation is given by

\[
\frac{\Psi_{i-1,j}^*}{\Delta \eta^2} - 2\Psi_{i,j}^* \left( \frac{1}{\Delta \eta^2} + \frac{1}{\Delta \xi^2} \right) + \frac{\Psi_{i+1,j}^*}{\Delta \eta^2} = - \left( \frac{\Psi_{i,j+1}^{m+1} + \Psi_{i,j-1}^{m+1}}{\Delta \xi^2} \right) - g(\xi) \Omega_{i,j}^{n+1} \quad (4.8)
\]

\[
\Psi_{i,j}^{m+1} = \Psi_{i,j}^m + \lambda \left( \Psi_{i,j}^* - \Psi_{i,j}^m \right)
\]

where \(\lambda\) is the relaxation parameter and the superscripts *, m and (m+1) represent the iteration levels. An optimum relaxation parameter, given by Son and Hanratty [S5], is used to enhance the convergence rate and given as follows:
\[
\lambda = \frac{2}{1 + \pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{l^2} - \frac{1}{j^2}}}
\]

(4.9)

where \(l\) and \(J\) represent the number of grid points in the \(\eta\) and \(\zeta\) directions, respectively.

The velocities in the convective terms are calculated using the fourth order accurate Hermitian relations and are given by

\[
U_{i,1,j} + 4U_{i,j} + U_{i,-1,j} = \frac{3}{\Delta \eta} (\Psi_{i,1,j} - \Psi_{i,-1,j})
\]

(4.10)

\[
V_{i,j,1} + 4V_{i,j} + V_{i,j,-1} = \frac{-3}{\Delta \zeta} (\Psi_{i,j,1} - \Psi_{i,j,-1})
\]

(4.11)

The Hermitian relations have been used successfully by Loc and Bouard (L5) up to a Reynolds number of 9500. In order to solve the governing equations in finite difference form, appropriate boundary conditions must be imposed on the computational domain.

4.2 Boundary Conditions

On the boundaries \(\eta = 0\) and \(\eta = 2\), a cyclic boundary condition is imposed on the dependent variables. This implies that both the values and the spatial derivatives in the \(\eta\) direction for the vorticity, stream function and temperature are the same
on these two boundaries. The vorticity boundary condition on the cylinder \((\zeta = 0)\) can be approximated numerically in different ways. In this study, a second order accurate cubic polynomial approximation is used and is given by

\[
\Omega_{\zeta,0} = -\frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{8\Psi_{\zeta,1} - 5\Psi_{\zeta,1} - 2\Psi_{\zeta,0}}{2a^2\zeta^2} \right)
\]  

(4.12)

The vorticity on the cylinder must be calculated at every time step. The stream function on the cylinder \((\zeta = 0)\) is taken to be zero. The nondimensional temperature on the cylinder is taken as unity. At every time step, the far-field \((\zeta = \xi_0)\) conditions for the vorticity, stream function and the temperature are calculated using equations (3.28), (3.29) and (3.30) respectively. The procedure for solving the governing equations with these boundary conditions is explained in the following section.

4.3 Solution Procedure

The solution procedure consisted of the following steps.

1. At \(t = 0\), the stream function values were calculated assuming zero vorticity in the entire computational domain (i.e., obtained the solution of the homogenous form of equation (3.19) using the SLOR scheme).

2. A zero time step value of the wall vorticity was calculated using equation (4.12).

3. The velocities \(U\) and \(V\) were computed using the Hermitian relations given
in equations (4.10), (4.11).

4. At \( t = \Delta t/2 \), the temperature field was calculated using equation (4.6) and a periodic tridiagonal solver.

5. At \( t = \Delta t \), the temperature field was calculated using equation (4.7) and a tridiagonal solver.

6. At \( t = \Delta t/2 \), the vorticity field was calculated using equation (4.4) and a periodic tridiagonal solver.

7. At \( t = \Delta t \), the vorticity field was calculated using equation (4.5) and a tridiagonal solver.

8. At \( t = \Delta t \), the new stream function values were obtained iteratively using equation (4.8).

9. At \( t = \Delta t \), the new values for wall vorticity were calculated using equation (4.12).

10. Steps 3 to 9 were repeated for the desired time periods of oscillation of the cylinder.

In the initial stage of simulation, the cylinder was rotated counterclockwise and then clockwise for a small duration of time with a constant angular velocity. This numerical triggering procedure was required to initiate the alternating vortex street and is similar to the procedure used by Jordan and Fromm (J5). A non-dimensional time of 50 (\( \tau_0 \)) was allowed for the development of an alternating vortex street. The numerical solution obtained is first order accurate in time and second order accurate in space.
Figure 4.1 Computational Domain
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical results for the problem of heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder in a cross flow are presented in the following sections. The first part of the chapter is concerned with the influence of the grid size, location of the far-field boundary and the magnitude of the numerical triggering on the numerical solution. A subsequent section deals with the validation of the present formulation with other available results. Following that section, the results obtained for the present problem of heat transfer from both stationary and oscillating cylinder in a cross flow are presented. In the last section, numerical results for the case of an oscillating hot-wire anemometer are compared with the available experimental results.

The heat transfer between the cylinder and the surrounding stream of fluid is calculated in the form of a nondimensional number, the Nusselt number. The local Nusselt number is calculated using the following equation.

\[ Nu(\theta) = \frac{-2}{a} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \right) \bigg|_{\xi = 0} \]  

(5.1)

The average Nusselt number represents the net heat transfer from the cylinder surface to the fluid at any instant of time and is expressed as follows.
\[ N_{U_{\text{avg}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} N_u(t) \, dt \]  

(5.2)

In order to compare with the experimental results, it is essential to calculate the mean Nusselt number over a period of time. In this study, mean Nusselt number was calculated in any cycle of cylinder oscillation using the following expression.

\[ N_{U_{\text{m}}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{cycle}}} \int_{0}^{T_{\text{cycle}}} N_{U_{\text{avg}}}(t) \, dt \]  

(5.3)

### 5.1 Dependency Tests

In order to reduce the influence of the grid size, location of the far-field boundary and the magnitude of numerical triggering on the time history of \( N_{U_{\text{avg}}}', \) and the mean Nusselt number, several dependency tests were conducted. These tests were carried out at a Reynolds number of 200 as most of the simulations in this study were at that Reynolds number.

#### 5.1.1 Grid Dependency

Numerical simulations were conducted with grid sizes 101x81, 121x101 and 141x121 while keeping the far-field boundary location at \( \xi = 1.0. \) Figure 5.1 shows the time dependent \( N_{U_{\text{avg}}} \) with different grid sizes. The mean Nusselt numbers are listed in Table 5.1 for different grid sizes. The 121x101 and the 141x121 grids produce approximately the same time histories of \( N_{U_{\text{avg}}} \) and equal values of \( N_{U_{\text{m}}}. \) Hence, the grid size 121x101 was selected.
5.1.2 Location of the Far-field Boundary

The far-field boundary location was varied between $\xi = 0.8$ and $\xi = 1.2$. The number of grids were proportionately increased in the $\xi$ direction ($\Delta \xi = \text{constant}$). The influence of the location of the far-field boundary on the average Nusselt number is shown in figure 5.2. The mean Nusselt numbers are listed in table 5.1 at different far-field boundary locations. It can be observed that the time history of $Nu_{avg}$ with $\xi = 1$ and 1.2 have approximately the same mean value ($Nu_m$) and amplitude of variation. The phase difference between the $Nu_{avg}$ variations may be attributed to the difference in the onset of vortex shedding with different far-field boundary locations. The far-field boundary location was chosen to be 1.0.

5.1.3 Magnitude of Numerical Triggering

The circumferential velocity of the cylinder in the log-polar coordinates ($V$) is taken to be the numerical triggering parameter. The numerical simulations were carried out with $V$ values of 3, 5 and 10 as the magnitude of the numerical triggering. Figure 5.3 shows the influence of these parameters on the time dependent $Nu_{avg}$. The $Nu_m$ values are listed in table 5.1 for different magnitudes of $V$. It can be concluded that the magnitude of numerical triggering influences the results only in the early stages of numerical simulation. After reaching the stage of alternate vortex shedding ($\tau \approx 50$), numerical triggering does not have any
significant influence on the time history of $N_{u_{avg}}$ or on the $N_{u_m}$. The magnitude of the numerical triggering was chosen to be 3.

5.2 Validation

In order to validate the numerical model, simulations were run at Reynolds numbers of 5, 60, 100, 200, 500 and 1000. The Prandtl number was assumed to be equal to 0.707. The predicted mean Nusselt numbers, Strouhal numbers and drag coefficients are compared with the experimental values given by Kramers (K4), Roshko (R3) and Tanida et al. (T7) in the following paragraphs.

Figure 5.4 shows the $N_{u_m}$ variation with Reynolds number. The computed values of $N_{u_m}$ agree well with the experimental results of Kramers (K4). At $Re = 200$, the error between the computed and experimental results is about -1.45%. The variation of the Strouhal number with the Reynolds number is shown in figure 5.5. The Strouhal numbers are obtained by taking the FFT of the lift variation and choosing the frequency with the largest amplitude. The computed values of Strouhal number are in good agreement with the experimental values (R3) up to a Reynolds number of 200. At Reynolds numbers of 500 and 1000, the Strouhal numbers are significantly overpredicted by the present computations. Figure 5.6 shows the variation of $C_d$ with the Reynolds number. The predicted values of drag coefficients are in good agreement with the experimental values of Tanida et al. (T7) up to a Reynolds number of 500. At a Reynolds number of 1000, a large
discrepancy exists between the computed Cd and the measured value of Cd. Similar discrepancies were observed by other computational investigations both in the drag coefficient and the Strouhal number at higher Reynolds numbers. These discrepancies may be due to insufficient grid resolution and the three-dimensional nature of vortex shedding with finite length cylinders at high Reynolds numbers. Hence, the present numerical model can be considered valid up to a Reynolds number of 200.

In the following sections, the results are given in the form of local Nusselt number distribution on the cylinder, average Nusselt number, amplitude of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$, mean Nusselt number and power spectrum of the average Nusselt number at various conditions of cylinder oscillation. The time histories of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ are shown for $F_x = 2F_n$, i.e., the forcing frequency in the in-line direction which is equal to twice the Strouhal frequency and $F_y = F_n$, i.e., the forcing frequency in the transverse direction which is equal to the Strouhal frequency. In the case of combined oscillation, the time histories of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ are shown for $F_x = 2F_n$ and $F_y = F_n$. The power spectrum of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ is obtained by taking the FFT of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ variation minus the mean Nusselt number. Forced and mixed convective heat transfer are represented by $\text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 0$ and 1 respectively. Unless specified, all the isothermal contour maps presented in the following sections are with a contour interval of 0.05 and with the minimum and maximum levels of contour as 0.05 and 1.0 respectively.
5.3 Stationary Cylinder in a Cross Flow

The nondimensional natural shedding frequency ($F_n$) was determined from the present investigation to be equal to 0.2 which agrees exactly with the computed value by Lecointe and Piquet (L1). The corresponding nondimensional natural vortex shedding period ($\tau_v$) is 10. Figures 5.7 and 5.8 show the time dependent average Nusselt number ($\text{Nu}_{avg}$) and the power spectra of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ respectively for $Gr/Re^2 = 0$ and 1. With forced convection ($Gr/Re^2 = 0$), the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ was found to oscillate at twice the natural shedding frequency ($2F_n$) about a mean value of 7.47. This may be explained by the shedding of two vortices (one from the upper and the other from the lower half of the cylinder) in a complete vortex shedding cycle. In the case of mixed convection ($Gr/Re^2 = 1$), the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ was found to be oscillating at the natural shedding frequency ($F_n$) about a mean value of 7.61. This behaviour may be attributed to the strong presence of free convection near the cylinder surface. The amplitude of oscillation of the $\text{Nu}_{avg}$ with forced convection was smaller than the case of mixed convection.

Figures 5.9 and 5.10 show the local Nusselt number distributions on the cylinder at different $\tau'$ in a complete vortex shedding cycle at $Gr/Re^2 = 0$ and 1 respectively. It can be observed that the local Nusselt number distribution varies only on the downstream side of the cylinder where the vortices are shed alternately. In both the cases of forced convection and mixed convection, the
maximum heat transfer rate occurs at the upstream stagnation point. In the case of forced convection, minimum heat transfer occurs between the separation points and downstream stagnation point (θ = 51° and 309°). With mixed convection, the location of minimum heat transfer always lies on the top half of the cylinder (θ = 51°).

Figures 5.11, 5.12 and 5.13 show the contour maps of streamline, vorticity and isotherms respectively at the same instant of time (τ = 130.0) for the case of forced convection. The streamline contour map clearly depicts the alternating vortex street in the wake and a vortex being shed from the top half of the cylinder. The vorticity generated on the cylinder surface is being convected and then diffused in the wake. As both vorticity and thermal energy is being transported by the flow in the wake, the contour maps of vorticity and isotherms have some similar features. A high concentration of vorticity and temperature contours exist near the cylinder surface. Similarly, figures 5.14, 5.15 and 5.16 show the contour maps of streamline, vorticity and isotherms respectively at the same instant of time (τ = 130.0) for the case of mixed convection.

5.4 Oscillating Cylinder in a Cross Flow

In the following sections, the numerical results obtained at a Reynolds number of 200 with the cylinder oscillating in the in-line direction (a = 0.1D, 0.2D).
and 0.4D), transverse direction \((a_v = 0.2D, 0.4D \text{ and } 0.8D)\) and combined in-line and transverse directions \((a_x = 0.2D, a_v = 0.2D, 0.4D \text{ and } 0.8D)\) are discussed. Figure 5.17 shows a schematic diagram of the sign conventions used with the displacement of the cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions.

### 5.4.1 In-line Oscillation

The cylinder was forced to oscillate in the in-line direction with a frequency parameter \(F_x = 2F_n\) and with position amplitudes \((a_x)\) of 0.1D, 0.2D and 0.4D. The equivalent velocity amplitudes are 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 respectively. The selected frequency parameter corresponds to the mid-point lock-in frequency. The time variation of the position of the cylinder \((x_c / D)\) and relative free stream velocity \((U' = 1 - A_x \sin(\pi F_x \tau))\) are shown in figure 5.18 for reference.

Figures 5.19 and 5.20 show the time histories of \(\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}\) at \(\text{Gr/Re}^2 = 0\) and 1 respectively. The corresponding \(\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}\) variations in a cycle of oscillation are shown in figures 5.21 and 5.22. The average Nusselt number reaches a maximum and a minimum value in a full cycle of forced oscillation. The maximum values of \(\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}\) in all oscillation cycles are attained when the cylinder is moving in the opposite direction to that of the free stream flow \((U_c)\) and is slightly after the zero position of the cylinder \((\tau^* = 0.8)\). The minimum value of \(\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}\) occurs slightly after the point of minimum relative velocity \((\tau^* = 0.25)\) for low values of amplitudes of oscillation.
and moves later in the cycle as the amplitude of oscillation increases. The reason for the minimum value of $Nu_{avg}$ to occur later than the point of minimum relative velocity is that the fluid surrounding the cylinder in the downstream location is warmer than the upstream location. The power spectra of the $Nu_{avg}$ at $Gr/Re^2 = 0$ and $1$ are shown in figures 5.23 and 5.24 respectively. Both with forced and mixed convection, the average Nusselt number oscillates at the forcing frequency of oscillation. With $a_x = 0.4D$, the higher harmonic of the forcing frequency also exists. At $Gr/Re^2 = 1$, a "sub" harmonic exists for $a_x = 0.1D$ which is equal to half of the forcing frequency of oscillation.

Figures 5.25 and 5.26 show variation of the amplitude of $Nu_{avg}$ and the mean Nusselt number ($Nu_m$) with the position amplitudes of oscillation. Both the amplitude of $Nu_{avg}$ and the $Nu_m$ increase with the increasing $a_x$. With forced convection and $a_x = 0.4D$, an increase of 16.44% in $Nu_m$ over the case of stationary cylinder is predicted. In the case of mixed convection, an increase of 14.65% in $Nu_m$ is computed with $a_x = 0.4D$.

With $Gr/Re^2 = 0$, figures 5.27, 5.28 and 5.29 show the local Nusselt number distribution on the cylinder at different times in a full cycle of oscillation ($0 \leq \tau^* \leq 1$) at position amplitudes $a_x = 0.1D$, $0.2D$ and $0.4D$ respectively. Similarly, figures 5.30, 5.31 and 5.32 show the local Nusselt number distributions with $Gr/Re^2 = 1.0$. From these diagrams, the location and magnitudes of the maximum heat transfer
rate at different instants of time during one complete cycle of oscillation are taken and listed in tables 5.2 and 5.3 for the case of forced convection and mixed convection respectively. On the upstream side of the cylinder (90° < θ < 270°), the Nusselt number distributions at τ' = 0 and τ' = 1 are approximately the same. With a_x = 0.1D, the maximum heat transfer rate always occurs near the upstream stagnation point (θ = 180°). However, with a_x = 0.2D and 0.4D, the location of the maximum local Nusselt number is near the downstream stagnation point when the cylinder is moving in the same direction as that of the flow (i.e., at τ' = 0.25). With mixed convection, the local Nusselt number distribution is more asymmetric than with forced convection alone.

Figures 5.33 to 5.37 show the contour maps of the isotherms for the case of forced convection at different stages in a full cycle of oscillation (a_x = 0.2D). The isothermal contours do not repeat after one cycle as seen from figures 5.33 and 5.37. The reason is that the period of oscillation is half of the natural vortex shedding period. Figures 5.38 to 5.42 show the isothermal contours with mixed convection (a_x = 0.2D). Approximately symmetric isothermal contours exist near the cylinder. The cylinder motion in the in-line direction produces symmetrical perturbations which, under certain conditions, dominate over the naturally occurring antisymmetric mode of vortex shedding. This has also been reported by Ongoren and Rockwell (O1, O2). A high concentration of isothermal contours can be observed near the upstream and downstream stagnation points.
5.4.2 Transverse Oscillation

The cylinder was forced to oscillate in the transverse direction with a frequency parameter \( F_y = F_n \) and with position amplitudes \( (a_y) \) of 0.2D, 0.4D and 0.8D. The equivalent velocity amplitudes were 0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 respectively. The selected frequency parameter corresponds to the mid-point lock-in frequency. The time dependent position of the cylinder in the transverse direction \( (y_c / D) \), magnitude and incident angle of the relative free stream velocity \( (U^* = \sqrt{1 + A_y^2 \sin^2(\pi F_y \tau)} \) and \( \varepsilon \) ) are shown in figure 5.43 for reference. The maximum value of \( U^* \) occurs at the zero position of the cylinder \( (\tau^* = 0.25 \text{ and } 0.75) \). The maximum value of \( U^* \) is the magnitude of the vector sum of the free stream velocity and the maximum velocity of the cylinder oscillation.

Figures 5.44 and 5.45 show the time histories of \( N_u_{avg} \) at \( Gr/Re^2 = 0 \) and 1 respectively. The corresponding \( N_u_{avg} \) variations in a cycle of oscillation are shown in figures 5.46 and 5.47. In any cycle of oscillation, both maximum values of \( N_u_{avg} \) occur slightly after the point of maximum \( U^* \) \( (\tau^* = 0.3 \text{ and } 0.8) \) during the upward and downward motion of the cylinder. The time difference between the maximum \( N_u_{avg} \) and the maximum \( U^* \) may be due to the time at which the vortex shedding occurs from the top and bottom surface of the cylinder which will influence the temperature gradients near the cylinder surface. The minimum values of \( N_u_{avg} \) are predicted near the minimum \( y_c / D \) and the maximum \( y_c / D \). In the case of forced
convection, approximately the same pattern of oscillation of \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) is repeated twice in every cycle of oscillation. With mixed convection, the pattern of oscillation of \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) does not repeat and the extreme values of heat transfer rate that occurs in one cycle significantly differ from one another. The power spectra of the \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) at \( \text{Gr/Re}^2 = 0 \) and 1 are shown in figures 5.48 and 5.49 respectively. Unlike in the case of in-line oscillation, \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) oscillates at twice the frequency of oscillation of the cylinder. It is to be noticed that the magnitude of the relative free stream velocity also oscillates at 2\( \text{F}_n \) (see figure 5.43) which directly influences the time variation of the average Nusselt number. However, in the case of mixed convection, other frequency components such as \( \text{F}_n \) also exist in the \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) variation.

Figures 5.50 and 5.51 show variation of the amplitude of \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) and the mean Nusselt number \( \langle \text{Nu}_m \rangle \) with the position amplitudes of oscillation in the transverse direction. The amplitude of \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) increases after a certain value of the position amplitude of oscillation. The mean Nusselt number \( \text{Nu}_m \) increases with the increasing \( a_y \). The amount of increase in \( \text{Nu}_m \) with \( a_y \) is comparable with the case of in-line oscillation. However, the amplitude of oscillation of \( \text{Nu}_{\text{avg}} \) is much smaller than with the case of in-line oscillation. With forced convection and \( a_y = 0.8D \), an increase of 15.68% in \( \text{Nu}_m \) over the case of stationary cylinder is predicted. In the case of mixed convection, an increase of 10.23% in \( \text{Nu}_m \) is computed with \( a_y = 0.8D \).
Figures 5.52, 5.53 and 5.54 show the local Nusselt number distribution on the cylinder at different times in a full cycle of oscillation \(0 \leq \tau^* \leq 1\) at position amplitude \(a_y\) values of 0.2D, 0.4D and 0.8D respectively with \(Gr/Re^2 = 0\). Similarly, figures 5.55, 5.56 and 5.57 show the local Nusselt number distributions with \(Gr/Re^2 = 1.0\). The location and magnitude of maximum \(Nu(\theta)\) are given in tables 5.4 and 5.5 at different times in a single cycle of oscillation of the cylinder \((0 \leq \tau^* \leq 1)\) for the case of forced and mixed convection respectively. It can be observed that the location of the maximum heat transfer rate oscillates at the same frequency as that of the cylinder \((F_y)\). The location of the maximum local Nusselt number depends on the direction of the relative velocity of the flow with respect to the cylinder. This implies that the incident angle of the relative free stream velocity which is also oscillating at a nondimensional frequency \(F_y\) (Figure 5.43), directly influences the location the maximum local Nusselt number on the cylinder. The maximum heat transfer occurs at a location on the upper surface or the lower surface during the upward or downward motion of the cylinder at maximum velocity respectively. Both with forced and mixed convection, the local Nusselt number distribution approximately repeats after one cycle.

Figures 5.58 to 5.62 show the isothermal contour maps for the case of forced convection at different stages in a single cycle of oscillation with \(a_y = 0.4D\). Similarly, figures 5.63 to 5.67 show the isothermal contours with mixed convection. In both the cases of forced and mixed convection, asymmetric isothermal contours
exist at different stages of oscillation and approximately repeat after one cycle. A high concentration of isothermal contours are found to exist near the location of the maximum heat transfer rate which depends on the incident angle of the relative free stream velocity ($\varepsilon$).

5.4.3 Combined Oscillation

The cylinder was forced to oscillate simultaneously in the in-line and transverse directions with frequency parameters $F_x = 2F_n$ and $F_y = F_n$ respectively. The position amplitude of oscillation in the in-line direction ($a_x$) is held constant at 0.2D. In the transverse direction, the cylinder was forced to oscillate with position amplitudes 0.2D, 0.4D and 0.8D. The time dependent position of the cylinder in the in-line and transverse directions ($x_c/D$ and $y_c/D$), magnitude and the incident angle of the relative free stream velocity ($U^* = \sqrt{(1 - A_x\sin(\pi F_x \tau))^2 + A_y^2\sin^2(\pi F_y \tau)}$ and $\varepsilon$) are shown in figure 5.68 for reference.

Figures 5.69 and 5.70 show the time histories of $N_u_{avg}$ at $Gr/Re^2 = 0$ and 1 respectively. The corresponding $N_u_{avg}$ variations in a cycle of oscillation are shown in figures 5.71 and 5.72. In any cycle of oscillation, both maximum values of $N_u_{avg}$ occur near the zero position of the cylinder in the in-line direction ($x_c/D \sim 0$) with the cylinder moving in the direction opposite to that of the free stream flow ($U_c$). Two minimum values of $N_u_{avg}$ occur between the zero $x_c$ location and the
maximum $x_c$ location with the cylinder moving in the same direction as that of the free stream flow. The reason for the minimum value of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ to occur between the zero $x_c$ location and the maximum $x_c$ location is that the fluid surrounding the cylinder in the downstream location is warmer than the upstream location. In the case of forced convection, approximately the same maximum values of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ occur at the same positions in every cycle of oscillation. With mixed convection, the extreme values $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ significantly differ from one another in a cycle of oscillation. The power spectra of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ at $\text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 0$ and 1 are shown in figures 5.73 and 5.74 respectively. The dominant frequency in the $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ variation is the forcing frequency of oscillation in the in-line direction ($2F_n$). With mixed convection and $a_y = 0.8D$, other frequencies such as $F_n$ and $3F_n$ exists in the $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ variation.

Figures 5.75 and 5.76 show variation of the amplitude of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ and the mean Nusselt number ($\text{Nu}_m$) with the position amplitudes of oscillation in the transverse direction. With forced convection, the $\text{Nu}_m$ increases with $a_y$ and the amplitude of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ decreases after a certain position amplitude of oscillation ($a_y$). In the case of mixed convection, both the amplitude of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ and the $\text{Nu}_m$ increase after a certain position amplitude of oscillation ($a_y$). The amount of increase in $\text{Nu}_m$ with $a_y$ is comparable with the case of transverse oscillation. However, the amplitude of oscillation of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ is much higher than with the case of transverse oscillation. This can be attributed to the effect of in-line oscillation. With $\text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 0$ and $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.8D$ an increase of 18.46% in $\text{Nu}_m$ over the case of
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stationary cylinder is predicted. At the same position amplitudes of oscillation, an increase of 15.30% in \( \text{Nu}_m \) is computed with mixed convection (\( \text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 1 \)).

Figures 5.77, 5.78 and 5.79 show the local Nusselt number distribution on the cylinder at different times in a full cycle of oscillation \( (0 \leq \tau' \leq 1) \) at position amplitudes \( a_y = 0.2D, 0.4D \) and \( 0.8D \) respectively with \( \text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 0 \) and \( a_x = 0.2D \). Similarly, figures 5.80, 5.81 and 5.82 show the local Nusselt number distributions with \( \text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 1.0 \). The location and magnitude of maximum \( \text{Nu}(t) \) are taken from these plots and are given in tables 5.6 and 5.7 at different times in a single cycle of oscillation of the cylinder \( (0 \leq \tau' \leq 1) \) for the case of forced and mixed convection respectively. Similar to the case of transverse oscillation, the location of the maximum heat transfer rate oscillates at the same frequency as that of the cylinder in the transverse direction \( (F_y) \). The location of the maximum local Nusselt number depends on the direction of the relative velocity of the flow with respect to the cylinder. As in the case of transverse oscillation, the incident angle of the relative free stream velocity which is also oscillating at a nondimensional frequency \( F_y \) (Figure 5.70), directly influences the location of the maximum local Nusselt number on the cylinder. During the upward or downward motion of the cylinder with maximum velocity \( (\tau' = 0.25 \text{ and } 0.75) \), the maximum heat transfer occurs at a location on the upper surface or the lower surface respectively.

The isothermal contour maps at different stages in a single cycle of
oscillation are shown in figures 5.83 to 5.87 for \( a_x = 0.2D, a_y = 0.4D \) and \( \text{Gr}/\text{Re}^3 = 0 \). Similarly, plots are shown for \( a_x = 0.2D, a_y = 0.4D \) and \( \text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 1 \) in figures 5.88 to 5.92. With forced convection, isothermal contours approximately repeat after one complete cycle of oscillation. However, with mixed convection, isothermal contours do not repeat after one cycle. A significant amount of difference in the pattern of the isothermal contours can be observed between forced convection and mixed convection.

5.5 Oscillating Hot-Wire Anemometer Studies

In this section, a numerical simulation of the response of an oscillating hot-wire anemometer is presented. The experimental investigation conducted by Heckadon et al. [H3] is taken as the source of information for this numerical study. The hot-wire was oscillated in the direction parallel to the free stream flow at different velocity amplitudes and frequencies of oscillation. The free stream flow was essentially a jet flow of air from a 19 mm diameter nozzle. The hot-wire was kept in the potential core of the jet. The hot-wire was oscillated sinusoidally using a magnetic shaker. The motion of the wire was normal to its length and in-line with the direction of the jet flow. An accelerometer attached to the shaker was used to determine the velocity of oscillation. The hot-wire used was a 5 micron DISA probe and DISA Constant Temperature Anemometer instrumentation was used to measure the hot-wire response. The free stream velocity, amplitude and frequency...
of oscillation were varied in the ranges of 0.06-3.13 m/s, 1.2-1.6 mm and 50-90 Hz respectively.

With a constant temperature anemometer, the hot-wire voltage response \( E \) depends on the heat transfer from the wire to the surrounding fluid. The average Nusselt number represents the heat transfer from a heated wire. Hence, the \( N_{u_{avg}} \) can be used to compare qualitatively with the hot-wire voltage response. In the numerical study, the Reynolds number (\( Re \)), Grashof number (\( Gr \)), velocity amplitude (\( A_x \)) and frequency (\( F_x \)) of oscillation were taken to be the same as that in the experimental study. Two cases of oscillating hot-wire responses were computationally predicted. In the first case, the velocity amplitude of oscillation (\( A_x \)) was less than the free stream velocity and equal to 0.712. The Reynolds number, Grashof number and frequency parameter were set equal to 0.25, 3.988x10^{-6} and 4.48x10^{-3} respectively. In the second case, the velocity amplitude of oscillation (\( A_x \)) was higher than the free stream velocity and was equal to 2.986. The Reynolds number, Grashof number and frequency parameter were set equal to 0.06, 3.988x10^{-5} and 1.87x10^{-3} respectively. In both the cases, digitized experimental hot-wire response, predicted computational response in terms of \( N_{u_{avg}} \) and the magnitude of the relative free stream velocity (\( U' = 1 - A_x \sin(\pi F_x t) \)) were plotted and qualitatively compared with each other.

Figure 5.93 shows the comparison between the experimental and
computational hot-wire response with velocity amplitude of oscillation ($A_v$) of 0.712. The $N_u_{avg}$ is oscillating at the same frequency as that of the hot-wire. A maximum value of hot-wire response occurs near the zero position with wire moving in the opposite direction to that of the free stream flow. A minimum value of $N_u_{avg}$ is predicted near the zero position with the cylinder moving in the same direction as that of the free stream flow. The local Nusselt number distribution on the wire at different times in a full cycle of oscillation are shown in figure 5.94. At the point of maximum relative velocity ($\tau^* = 0.75$), local Nusselt number distribution is approximately symmetric about the upstream stagnation point (180°). At other times ($\tau^* = 0, 0.25, 0.5$ and 1), it is clearly evident that the Nusselt number distribution is asymmetric about 180°. This is due to the strong influence of free convection at low Reynolds numbers. The local Nusselt number distribution is approximately the same at times when the velocity of the hot-wire equals zero. The isothermal contours at different times in a complete cycle of oscillation are shown in figures 5.95 to 5.99. All the isothermal contour maps presented in this section are with a contour interval of 0.025 and with the minimum and maximum levels of contour as 0.4 and 1.0 respectively. With the hot-wire moving in the direction opposite to that of the mean flow and at the zero position ($\tau^* = 0.75$ and $U^* = 1.712$), a higher concentration of isothermal contours exist near the wire than at other positions in a cycle of oscillation. At this time and position, the influence of free convection is negligible.
Figure 5.100 shows the comparison between the experimental response and the computational response with the velocity amplitude ($A_0$) of oscillation of 2.986. The magnitude of the relative free stream velocity ($U'$) is also plotted for easy reference. Qualitatively, the $Nu_{\text{avg}}$ variation is the rectified form of the relative free stream velocity. It can be observed that the hot-wire responds to the absolute value of $U'$. At the times when the relative free stream velocity become zero, minimum values of average Nusselt number and the hot-wire output voltage are attained. At $\tau' = 0.25$ and 0.5, the magnitudes of the relative free stream velocity are -1.986 and 3.986 respectively. The highest value of average Nusselt number and the hot-wire output occurs at the $\tau' = 0.75$. This position corresponds to the hot-wire moving with maximum velocity in the opposite direction to that of the free stream flow. Figure 5.101 shows the local Nusselt number distribution on the hot-wire at different instants of time in a full cycle of oscillation. The local Nusselt number distribution is approximately the same at times when the velocity of the hot-wire equals zero ($\tau' = 0, 0.5$ and 1). The local Nusselt number distribution is asymmetric about 180° at all the times ($\tau' = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75$ and 1). The isothermal contours at different times in a complete cycle of oscillation are shown in figures 5.102 to 5.106. Influence of free convection is clearly evident in all the contour maps by the slight upward skew of the isothermal contours.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Size</th>
<th>$\xi_\infty \ (r/R)$</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Computed $Nu_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grid Dependency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101x81</td>
<td>1 (23.14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x101</td>
<td>1 (23.14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141x121</td>
<td>1 (23.14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Location of the Far-field Boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x81</td>
<td>0.8 (12.35)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x101</td>
<td>1.0 (23.14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x121</td>
<td>1.2 (43.38)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Magnitude of Numerical Triggering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x101</td>
<td>1.0 (23.14)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x101</td>
<td>1.0 (23.14)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121x101</td>
<td>1.0 (23.14)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1 Mean Nusselt number for different dependency tests (Re = 200)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau^*$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.1D$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.2D$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.4D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. Nu(θ)</td>
<td>Angle (deg.)</td>
<td>Max. Nu(θ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>12.96</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.2 Location and magnitude of maximum Nu(θ) in a cycle of oscillation

(in-line oscillation, Gr/Re$^2 = 0$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau^*$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.1D$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.2D$</th>
<th>$a_x = 0.4D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Max. Nu}(\theta)$</td>
<td>$\text{Angle (deg.)}$</td>
<td>$\text{Max. Nu}(\theta)$</td>
<td>$\text{Angle (deg.)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>12.41</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>13.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>16.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.3 Location and magnitude of maximum $\text{Nu}(\theta)$ in a cycle of oscillation

(in-line oscillation, $\text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 1$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.2D$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.4D$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.8D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. Nu($\theta$)</td>
<td>Max. Nu($\theta$)</td>
<td>Max. Nu($\theta$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>16.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>13.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>16.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.4 Location and magnitude of maximum Nu($\theta$) in a cycle of oscillation

(transverse oscillation, Gr/Re$^2 = 0$)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$\tau^*$ & $a_y = 0.2D$ & $a_y = 0.4D$ & $a_y = 0.8D$ \\
\hline
& Max. & Angle & Max. & Angle & Max. & Angle \\
& Nu(\theta) & (deg.) & Nu(\theta) & (deg.) & Nu(\theta) & (deg.) \\
0.00 & 13.95 & 183 & 14.10 & 186 & 13.98 & 192 \\
0.25 & 13.99 & 165 & 14.60 & 156 & 16.29 & 135 \\
0.50 & 13.72 & 177 & 13.66 & 171 & 14.57 & 318 \\
0.75 & 14.13 & 195 & 14.85 & 207 & 15.90 & 222 \\
1.00 & 13.95 & 183 & 14.10 & 186 & 13.97 & 192 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5.5 Location and magnitude of maximum Nu(\theta) in a cycle of oscillation

(transverse oscillation, Gr/Re^2 = 1)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau'$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.2D$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.4D$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.8D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{Max.} \ Nu(\theta)$</td>
<td>$\text{Max.} \ Nu(\theta)$</td>
<td>$\text{Max.} \ Nu(\theta)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\text{(deg.)}$</td>
<td>$\text{(deg.)}$</td>
<td>$\text{(deg.)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>15.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>15.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>15.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>15.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>180</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.6 Location and magnitude of maximum $\text{Nu}(\theta)$ in a cycle of oscillation

(combined oscillation, $a_z = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tau^*$</th>
<th>$a_y = 0.2D$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$a_y = 0.4D$</th>
<th></th>
<th>$a_y = 0.8D$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max. $\text{Nu}(\theta)$</td>
<td>Angle (deg.)</td>
<td>Max. $\text{Nu}(\theta)$</td>
<td>Angle (deg.)</td>
<td>Max. $\text{Nu}(\theta)$</td>
<td>Angle (deg.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>14.88</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>15.07</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.7 Location and magnitude of maximum $\text{Nu}(\theta)$ in a cycle of oscillation
(combined oscillation, $a_x = 0.2D$, $\text{Gr}/\text{Re}^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.1 Time history of the average Nusselt number (stationary cylinder, Re = 200, dependency test with grid size)
Figure 5.2 Time history of the average Nusselt number (stationary cylinder, Re = 200, dependency test with far-field boundary location)
Figure 5.3 Time history of the average Nusselt number
(stationary cylinder, $Re = 200$, dependency test with the magnitude of numerical triggering)
Figure 5.4 Mean Nusselt number at different Reynolds numbers
Figure 5.5 Strouhal number at different Reynolds numbers
Figure 5.6 Mean drag coefficient at different Reynolds numbers
Figure 5.7 Time history of the average Nusselt number (stationary cylinder, Re = 200)
Figure 5.8 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(stationary cylinder, Re = 200)
Figure 5.9 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full vortex shedding cycle (stationary cylinder, $Re = 200$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.10 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full vortex shedding cycle
(stationary cylinder, Re = 200, Gr/Re² = 1)
Figure 5.11 Streamline contour map for the case of forced convection
(Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: -2 and 2,
contour interval: 0.1)

Figure 5.12 Vorticity contour map for the case of forced convection
(Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: -10.25 and 10.25,
contour interval: 0.5)
Figure 5.13 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1,
contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.14 Streamline contour map for the case of mixed convection (Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: -2 and 2, contour interval: 0.1)

Figure 5.15 Vorticity contour map for the case of mixed convection (Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: -10.25 and 10.25, contour interval: 0.5)
Figure 5.16 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(Re = 200, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1,
contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.17 Schematic diagram of cylinder oscillation
Figure 5.18 Details of forced oscillation of the cylinder (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$) (a) position of the cylinder (b) magnitude of the relative free stream velocity
Figure 5.19 Time history of the average Nusselt number (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_m$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.20 Time history of the average Nusselt number (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.21 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.22 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.23 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.24 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.25 Amplitude of $N_{u_{avg}}$ at different position amplitudes of oscillation (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$)
Figure 5.26 Mean Nusselt number at different position amplitudes of oscillation
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$)
Figure 5.27 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $a_x = 0.1D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.28 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_r$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.29 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $a_x = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.30 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation  
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $a_x = 0.1D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.31 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.32 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation
(in-line oscillation, $F_x = 2F_r$, $a_x = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.33 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(in-line oscillation, $\tau^* = 0$, $a_z = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour
level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.34 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(in-line oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.25$, $a_z = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour
level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.35 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau' = 0.50$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.36 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau' = 0.75$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.37 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(in-line oscillation, $\tau' = 1$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour
level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.38 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau^* = 0$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.39 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.25$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.40 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau = 0.50$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.41 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau = 0.75$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.42 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (in-line oscillation, $\tau^* = 1$, $a_x = 0.2D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.43 Details of forced oscillation of the cylinder (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$) (a) position of the cylinder (b) incident angle of the relative free stream velocity (c) magnitude of the relative free stream velocity
Figure 5.44 Time history of the average Nusselt number
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.45 Time history of the average Nusselt number
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.46 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_m$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.47 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.48 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F^u$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.49 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.50 Amplitude of $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ at different position amplitudes of oscillation
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$)
Figure 5.51 Mean Nusselt number at different position amplitudes of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$)
Figure 5.52 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $a_y = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.53 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $a_y = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.54 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation
(transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_{r*}$, $a_y = 0.8D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.55 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_x$, $a_y = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.56 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_{r,r}$, $a_y = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.57 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (transverse oscillation, $F_y = F_n$, $a_y = 0.8D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.58 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(transverse oscillation, $\tau = 0$, $a_r = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.59 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(transverse oscillation, $\tau = 0.25$, $a_r = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.60 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (transverse oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.50$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.61 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (transverse oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.75$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.62 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (transverse oscillation, $\tau = 1$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.63 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(transverse oscillation, $\tau^* = 0$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.64 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(transverse oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.25$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.65 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection  
(transverse oscillation, $\tau' = 0.50$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour  
level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.66 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection  
(transverse oscillation, $\tau' = 0.75$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour  
level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.67 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (transverse oscillation, $\tau^* = 1$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.68 Details of forced oscillation of the cylinder
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_y$, $y = F_y$, $a_x = 0.2D$) (a) position of the cylinder
(b) incident angle of the relative free stream velocity (c) magnitude of the relative free stream velocity
Figure 5.69 Time history of the average Nusselt number (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.70 Time history of the average Nusselt number
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.71 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, Gr/Re$^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.72 Average Nusselt number in a cycle of oscillation
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.73 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.74 Power spectra of the average Nusselt number (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.75 Amplitude of $N_{u_{avg}}$ at different position amplitudes of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$)
Figure 5.76 Mean Nusselt number at different position amplitudes of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_y$, $F_y = F_y$, $a_x = 0.2D$)
Figure 5.77 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = a_y = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.78 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.79 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.8D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 0$)
Figure 5.80 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation
(combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = a_y = 0.2D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.81 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.82 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (combined oscillation, $F_x = 2F_n$, $F_y = F_n$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.8D$, $Gr/Re^2 = 1$)
Figure 5.83 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (combined oscillation, $\tau' = 0$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.84 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (combined oscillation, $\tau' = 0.25$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.85 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (combined oscillation, $\tau' = 0.50$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.86 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection (combined oscillation, $\tau' = 0.75$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.87 Isothermal contours for the case of forced convection
(combined oscillation, $\tau^* = 1$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum
contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.88 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (combined oscillation, $\tau = 0$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.89 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection (combined oscillation, $\tau' = 0.25$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.90 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(combined oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.50$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)

Figure 5.91 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(combined oscillation, $\tau^* = 0.75$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.92 Isothermal contours for the case of mixed convection
(combined oscillation, $\tau^* = 1$, $a_x = 0.2D$, $a_y = 0.4D$, minimum and maximum contour level: 0.05 and 1, contour interval: 0.05)
Figure 5.93 Oscillating hot-wire response (Re = 0.25, Gr = 3.988x10^{-6}, $A_x = 0.712$, $F_x = 4.48x10^{-3}$) (a) magnitude of the relative free stream velocity (b) experimental hot-wire response (H3) (c) computed $Nu_{avg}$ in a cycle of oscillation.
Figure 5.94 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (oscillating hot-wire, Re = 0.25, Gr = 3.988x10^6, A_x = 0.712, F_x = 4.48x10^{-3})
Figure 5.95 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
\((\tau' = 0, A_x = 0.712, \text{minimum and maximum contour levels: } 0.4 \text{ and } 1, \text{ contour interval: } 0.025)\)

Figure 5.96 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
\((\tau' = 0.25, A_x = 0.712, \text{minimum and maximum contour levels: } 0.4 \text{ and } 1, \text{ contour interval: } 0.025)\)
Figure 5.97 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
($\tau^* = 0.50, A_x = 0.712$, minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1, contour interval: 0.025)

Figure 5.98 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
($\tau^* = 0.75, A_x = 0.712$, minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1, contour interval: 0.025)
Figure 5.99 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
($c' = 1, A_x = 0.712$, minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1,
contour interval: 0.025)
Figure 5.100 Oscillating hot-wire response (Re = 0.06, Gr = 3.988x10^{-6}, A_x = 2.986, F_x = 1.87x10^{-5}) (a) magnitude of the relative free stream velocity (b) experimental hot-wire response (H3) (c) computed Nu_{avg} in a cycle of oscillation
Figure 5.101 Local Nusselt number distribution in a full cycle of oscillation (oscillating hot-wire, $Re = 0.06$, $Gr = 3.988 \times 10^{-6}$, $A_x = 2.986$, $F_x = 1.87 \times 10^{-3}$)
Figure 5.102 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
($\tau^* = 0, A_x = 2.986$, minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1, contour interval: 0.025)

Figure 5.103 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire
($\tau^* = 0.25, A_x = 2.986$, minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1, contour interval: 0.025)
Figure 5.104 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hotewire 
($\tau = 0.50, A_x = 2.986, \text{minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1,} 
\text{contour interval: 0.025}$)

Figure 5.105 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire 
($\tau = 0.75, A_x = 2.986, \text{minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1,} 
\text{contour interval: 0.025}$)
Figure 5.106 Isothermal contours surrounding the oscillating hot-wire 
(\(\tau^* = 1\), \(A_x = 2.986\), minimum and maximum contour levels: 0.4 and 1, 
contour interval: 0.025)
A general formulation for convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder in an incompressible fluid using vorticity and stream function as dependent variables, is presented. The problem of convective heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder has been analyzed numerically using a finite difference method. Based on the computational investigation using the validated numerical model, some conclusions are drawn for the oscillating cylinder and the oscillating hot-wire anemometer. They are listed below.

6.1 Conclusions

1. In the case of the stationary cylinder with forced convection, the average Nusselt number was found to vary with a small amplitude at twice the natural shedding frequency. With mixed convection, $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ varied with the natural shedding frequency as the dominant frequency.

2. In comparison with the forced and mixed convective heat transfer from a stationary cylinder, an increased mean Nusselt number and amplitude of the $\text{Nu}_{\text{avg}}$ was predicted with the in-line, transverse and combined oscillation. The position amplitude of oscillation has a strong influence on both the $\text{Nu}_{\text{m}}$
and the amplitude of $N_{u, avg}$.

3. In the range of the variables considered, this computational study predicts maximum increases of 18.46% and 15.30% in the mean Nusselt number with forced convection and mixed convection, respectively, with the cylinder oscillating at the mid-point lock-in frequencies in the in-line and transverse directions.

4. With in-line, transverse and combined oscillation, the dominant frequency in the $N_{u, avg}$ variation was $2F_n$. With mixed convection, however, other harmonics of the forcing frequency of the cylinder do exist in the power spectra of $N_{u, avg}$.

5. The location of maximum local Nusselt number on the cylinder surface depends on the direction and velocity amplitude of the oscillation of the cylinder. The oscillation frequency of the location of the maximum local Nusselt number is the same as that of the incident angle of the relative free stream velocity.

6. The output voltage response of an oscillating constant temperature hot-wire qualitatively agrees with the computed average Nusselt number variation.

7. At very low Reynolds numbers, the influence of free convection is clearly evident in the local Nusselt number distribution on the hot-wire and in the isothermal contour maps in a cycle of oscillation.
6.2 Recommendations

The following suggestions are provided as possible ways of improving as well as extending the scope of the present study:

1. In order to gain a better understanding of the heat transfer from an oscillating cylinder, the influence of the frequency of oscillation should be studied in detail.

2. With a combined oscillation, the effects of phase difference between the in-line and transverse oscillation on the heat transfer should be investigated.

3. In addition to the in-line oscillation, the hot-wire anemometer with transverse oscillation should be investigated for obtaining the direction of the flow.

4. Accurate and faster solvers should be employed to solve the Poisson equation for the stream function. This will reduce the computer time requirements for solving time dependent problems.

5. An enormous amount of computer memory and time is required for simulating time dependent flow problems like the cylinder in a cross flow at different conditions of oscillation. In order to obtain an optimum number of simulation runs, it may be desirable to vary the influencing parameters such as $a_x$, $a_y$, $F_x$ and $F_y$ using the design of experiments (DOE) technique.
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Appendix A

DRAG AND LIFT INFORMATION

The nondimensional pressure distribution on the cylinder was obtained by integrating the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial \eta} = \frac{2}{\text{Re}} \left( \frac{\partial k}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\xi=0} - a^2 \left[ -A_xF_x \cos(\pi(\tau - \tau_0))F_y \sin(\alpha \eta) \right. \\
+ A_xF_x \cos(\pi(\tau - \tau_0))F_y \phi \cos(\alpha \eta) \right] + a^2 \frac{Gr}{2\text{Re}^2} \cos(\eta). \tag{A.1}
\]

The vorticity gradient on the cylinder in the \( \xi \) direction was calculated using a fourth order accurate finite difference form. The time dependent drag and lift coefficients were calculated using the following expressions:

\[
C_d = -\int_0^{2\pi} P \cos \theta d\theta - \frac{2}{\text{Re}} \int_0^{2\pi} \Omega \sin \theta d\theta, \tag{A.2}
\]

\[
C_l = -\int_0^{2\pi} P \sin \theta d\theta + \frac{2}{\text{Re}} \int_0^{2\pi} \Omega \cos \theta d\theta. \tag{A.3}
\]

Table A.1 shows the mean drag coefficient and amplitude of the drag coefficient at various conditions of cylinder oscillation. Similarly, Table A.2 shows the mean lift coefficient and amplitude of the lift coefficient at different conditions of cylinder oscillation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions of Oscillation</th>
<th>( C_{d_m} )</th>
<th>( C_{d_{amp}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( a_x )</td>
<td>( a_y )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationary Cylinder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-line Oscillation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_x = 2F_n )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse Oscillation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_y = F_n )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Oscillation</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_x = 2F_n )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( F_y = F_n )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.1 Mean drag coefficient and amplitude of the drag coefficient at various conditions of cylinder oscillation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions of Oscillation</th>
<th>$a_x$</th>
<th>$a_y$</th>
<th>$Gr/Re^2 = 0$</th>
<th>$Gr/Re^2 = 1$</th>
<th>$Gr/Re^2 = 0$</th>
<th>$Gr/Re^2 = 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stationary Cylinder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.8505</td>
<td>0.6200</td>
<td>0.4901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-line Oscillation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>-0.7483</td>
<td>1.1030</td>
<td>1.0045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_x = 2F_n$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0746</td>
<td>-0.5718</td>
<td>1.5873</td>
<td>0.7517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.3455</td>
<td>0.0418</td>
<td>1.4089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse Oscillation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>-0.8551</td>
<td>0.4863</td>
<td>0.1564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_y = F_n$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>-0.8153</td>
<td>0.1879</td>
<td>0.3983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.0401</td>
<td>-0.3266</td>
<td>1.2209</td>
<td>1.6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Oscillation</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.0524</td>
<td>-0.6621</td>
<td>1.3073</td>
<td>0.6823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_x = 2F_n$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.0966</td>
<td>-0.57878</td>
<td>1.1846</td>
<td>0.6754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_y = F_n$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1296</td>
<td>-0.3979</td>
<td>2.9070</td>
<td>2.6021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2 Mean lift coefficient and amplitude of the lift coefficient at various conditions of cylinder oscillation
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