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ABSTRACT

A power-line insulator is designed using non-conventional materials and concepts. The insulator body consists of three regions: an inner core of fibre glass for mechanical strength, a middle region of a dielectric polymer material, and a partially conducting outer region the thickness of which is calculated to give a uniform current density resulting in a uniform voltage distribution on the insulator surface. The heating effect of this current keeps the insulator surface at a temperature slightly above ambient, deterring moisture condensation.

The insulator geometry is designed to take full advantage of the natural cleansing action of wind and rain while at the same time avoiding the problems associated with these agents. Thus with this design the problem of insulator flashover due to pollution is minimised considerably.

The Finite Element method is used to analyse the field distribution of the insulator design and a comparison with the Finite Difference method of Successive Over-relaxation is made.
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

A power line-insulator is a device intended to give flexible or rigid mechanical support to electric conductors and to insulate these conductors which are at high electric potential from ground. An insulator comprises one or more insulating parts to which connecting devices, metal fittings, are permanently attached and act as electrodes. The electric conductors so supported are part of a transmission line transmitting electrical energy from generating plants to points of utilization.

A reliable operating performance, or reliability, of a transmission line depends largely on its insulation. Thus, the fundamental electrical requirement of insulator design is that insulator flashover should not be accompanied by the formation of a conducting path on the surface. Also an ample margin of safety should be provided against puncture. These requirements must be obtained, in so far as possible against any form of lightning-voltage wave, surge frequency or climatic conditions and should be maintained even after the destruction of the more fragile parts of the insulator. Mechanically the insulator must not only have sufficient strength to support the greatest loads of ice and wind that may be reasonably expected, with an ample margin, but be so designed as to withstand
severe mechanical abuse, lightning, and power arcs without dropping the conductor.¹

Porcelain is the most widely used material in the manufacture of power-line insulators. It is a ceramic product obtained by the high-temperature vitrification of clay, finely ground fieldspars and silica. It must be free from laminations, pores, and cooling stress, and must be impervious to gasses and liquids to give a high-grade dielectric for high voltage insulators. A smooth surface is obtained by coating the porcelain insulator with a thin film of coloured glass known as glaze. Porcelain has a dielectric strength of 12 - 28 kV/mm, a mechanical strength of 275 MN/m² in compression and 20 MN/m² in tension. Glass which has undergone a toughening process is the second most used material. It has a dielectric strength of the order of 120 kV/mm and mechanically stronger than porcelain only in compression.²

1.1 History of the conventional power-line insulator

Manufacture of power line insulators began with the advent of transmission of electrical energy by over-head conductors. On this continent this happened during the last decade of the last century. At that time the insulators were made of porcelain and were pin-type (see Fig. 1.1), both single piece and multi-part cemented types. The insulators were more like porcelain cups
13\frac{1}{8} \text{ inches diameter}
12\frac{1}{8} \text{ inches height}

Fig. 1.1 46 kV Pin Insulator
cemented together, with but slight understanding of the electric stresses, mechanical and thermal loadings. As a result, with increasing voltages came an increasing amount of insulator trouble until transmission voltage passed the 30 kV mark, during the first decade of this century, when outages due to insulator failures occurred at a rate that for a time threatened the success of high voltage transmission of electric energy.\textsuperscript{3, 4}

Lack of previous operating experience resulted in many designs as suggested remedies, with none based on a rational study of the insulator as a direct problem; besides the properties of porcelain were not known as we understand them today. Consequently insulators were manufactured with flashover distances larger than warranted by the thickness of the porcelain resulting in puncture.

The second decade of this century marked a great deal of improvement in porcelain, cement and the electrical properties of the insulator. However, the increasing size of the pin insulator with increasing system voltages reached an economical limit at 66 kV. The suspension insulator, Fig. 1.2, overcame this economical problem. With increased voltages came the problem of flashover due to contamination or pollution of the insulator surface. By the 1930's both pin and suspension insulators had reached a marked degree of reliability in service. This was due
10 inches diameter
5 3/4 inches height

Fig. 1.2 11 kV Suspension Insulator
to designing with adequate factors of safety and better understanding of the insulator problem. The following considerations were necessary for higher reliability:

a) Allowance for cubical expansion under temperature changes. Otherwise if the three materials porcelain, cement and steel were tightly compressed in an unyielding manner enormous stresses under temperature changes would result due to their widely different coefficients of cubical expansion.

b) Avoidance of electrical puncture due to lightning and power arcs. Insulators were to be designed such that parts would be apportioned to insure uniform distribution of stress and the thickness would be gradually increased from the edge of the shed inwards to the stem and porcelain was to be of high thermal capacity. An electrical factor of safety in service was introduced as a design criterion. It is the ratio of puncture voltage to the product of power line frequency (60 Hz) flashover voltage and the impulse ratio. The impulse ratio is the ratio of insulator flashover at high frequency to flashover at normal power line frequency. The impulse ratio was required to be at least three.

c) Damage by mechanical overloading and impact shocks. Impact shocks arose from bullet shots (insulators made a good target for irresponsible marksmen). To avoid damage, insulators were made of thicker material and ample margin
of safe operation during the most severe loading conditions.

d) Avoidance of porosity in the insulator material. The thicker the porcelain the more necessary it was found to improve the soundness of the material, thus further improvement in porcelain and glass continued.

e) High leakage current leading to flashover. Defined as the current flowing through an electrical insulating system to ground, the leakage current was found to increase during adverse climatic conditions with heavy contamination or pollution on the insulator surface resulting in surges and flashover. Longer leakage path length (see Fig. 1.3) with as much of it as possible kept dry, resulted in insulators of better performance. The need for adequate leakage path length resulted in the introduction of corrugations, ribs or skirts in the undersurface of suspension insulators. The dry flashover of an assembled insulator was required to be three to five times the nominal operating voltage and the leakage distance to be at least twice the shortest air-gap distance, (see Fig. 1.3.)

f) Corona discharges at potentials much below the flashover voltage in pin-type insulators. Semi-conducting glaze was applied at the head of the pin insulator to ensure proper contact between the conductor, tie wire and the porcelain.4

The post insulator, Fig. 1.4, was introduced in the
L - Leakage Distance

a + b + c + - Direct Arc Distance

Fig. 1.3 Leakage Distance & Direct Arc Distance of an Insulator
6 inches diameter
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Fig. 1.4 11kV Post Insulator
early forties to overcome the radio interference problem associated with the pin-type insulator. Post insulators are both line and apparatus insulators.

1.2 Format of the Dissertation

Increased voltages have resulted in more outages due to insulator flashover due to pollution on the insulator surface and other agents. Remedies for flashover due to pollution have not been successful in eliminating this problem; thus there is a clear need for better remedies. This work aims at reducing the pollution problem.

A literature survey was carried out to establish the state of the art in power line insulators and their flashover due to pollution. Chapter II gives the causes and consequences of pollution, remedies and their limitations in applications for flashover due to pollution. Chapter IV gives the design criteria or value system for the design of a power line insulator for a polluted environment. Subsequently the design procedure is given, and then the electric field analysis of the designed insulator using numerical methods developed in Chapter III. Discussion of results, conclusions and suggestions for further work are given in Chapter V.
2.1 Causes of Pollution

The pollution in industrial areas may be extremely varied, depending on the origin of the dust and its concentration in the atmosphere, in addition to meteorological conditions. Pollution deposit ('industrial dirt') has the following composition: soot, tar, oil (these form an adhesive base) and mineral dusts mainly, of the oxides of silica, iron, calcium and magnesium, which form a conducting or electrolytic paste on the surface of the insulator when wetted. The wetting agents include dew, drizzle and fog. For example, on insulators operating in the vicinity of cement works, little deposit usually gathers on the upper surface, as the fine cement dust is cleaned off by wind and rain, while a large quantity collects between the corrugations on the underside of the insulator. Moistening of the pollution on the underside results in a porous mass, which is baked hard by the heat generated by the heavy surface-leakage currents, becoming so hard that only chipping can remove the mass. Generally, industrial dirt is windborn; its composition depends on the chemical processes inherent in the nature of the industrial activity. Other industrial pollution sources include coke-ovens, brick works, power stations and chemical works.
Locomotives, smouldering pit-heaps and collieries constitute sources for smoke, dust and coal dust contamination.

In coastal areas, the fouling of insulators is due to the settling of salt and salty compounds on the insulator surface. The salt contamination is born by spray carried by a strong wind blowing off the sea.

In deserts or conditions of extreme drought, windborn dust is electrostatically attracted to the insulator underside, especially in the region near the pin, eventually bridging the gaps and causing sparks in the overstressed air.\textsuperscript{5,6}

2.2 Insulator Flashover due to Pollution

When the pollution deposit on the surface of an insulator is dry, its performance remains practically unaffected. However, if the soluble constituents of the contamination on the insulator surface become moist, an electrolytic film is formed greatly distorting the electric field along the insulator, which becomes unstable and can lead to flashover. Whether a complete flashover or only corona, or streamer, discharge will follow will depend on the amounts of deposit and moisture on the insulator surface, on the insulator shape and on the meteorological conditions surrounding the insulator and on the voltage across the insulator. Streamers occur at points of maximum stress; if the surrounding air is dry, ionic winds result in eddy currents of air. Under moist conditions, the effect is the
circulation of a maximum amount of dirt-laden moist air in the insulator undersurface, especially around corrugations. Meteorological conditions which enhance streamers followed by flashover include a combination of a long dry period with heavy pollution, preceding mist or a period of fog with freezing temperatures subsequently followed by a thaw. A combination of fog and frost, or melting snow blown onto soiled insulator in high wind, may give rise to very severe surging.\textsuperscript{7-10}

The stress resulting from the distortion of the electric field on the surface of the contaminated insulator may cause visible discharge at the metal cap at a voltage equivalent to fifty percent of the wet flashover value. The local stress may then exceed the electric strength of air; sparkover will occur causing discharges along small portions of the insulator. These discharges are maintained by current flowing through discharge-free, but polluted, portions of the insulator surface.

Owing to the heat generated at the discharge roots, the pollution dries out in their neighbourhood and ceases to conduct. The dry, but contaminated, portions of the insulator so formed are called "dry-bands". To maintain conduction, the discharge root must travel along the surface to a region which is still moist. In other words, the leakage current, which has a variable density and is therefore highest at the narrowest parts of the insulator, must
increase with incremental charge in the discharge path. The discharge can therefore elongate and complete flash-over will occur if the distance between electrodes is bridged.\textsuperscript{6, 11}

However, these discharges do not necessarily lead to flashover. Polluted insulators often exhibit sparks which are extinguished after spanning only a fraction of the insulator surface. Using experimental models, it has been found that the voltage necessary to maintain a local discharge on a polluted insulator increases with increasing discharge length and, if this voltage exceeds the voltage across the insulator electrodes discharge, extinguishes without flashover.\textsuperscript{6}

Dry-bands increase the surface resistance, thus decreasing the leakage current. In consequence, re-absorption of the moisture by the dry deposit and reforming of the electrolytic film on the insulator surface take place. When the rate of moisture absorption exceeds the rate of evaporation, the excessive leakage current will cause ionization of the air in the immediate vicinity of the surface and the resistance of the path of the surge will be reduced, thus increasing the current, until flashover occurs. On the other hand, if the rate of evaporation, the rate of formation of dry-bands, exceeds that of moisture absorption, intermittent discharges occur. These, if in a considerably large number, produce transient voltages the
FLOW CHART FOR INSULATOR FLASHOVER DUE TO POLLUTION
magnitudes of which may be high enough to cause the insulator to flashover. A schematic presentation is given on page 15.

Using experimental models with constant surface resistance, \( r_c \) ohms per unit cm length, the maximum stress \( E_c \), volts peak per cm, before flashover was found to be related to the pollution resistivity \( \rho \) ohm-cm by

\[
E_c \propto \rho^{0.43} y^{0.43}
\]

where \( y \) is the number of surges in parallel on the insulator surface. The constant of proportionality depends on the insulator shape but has a minimum value of 10.5 \( r_c^{0.43} \) at power frequency. The graph of Fig. 2.1 shows the variation of \( E_c \) with \( y \). (The graph of Fig. D2.1 shows the variation of \( E_c \) with \( y \). Appendix D). The corresponding

\[
I_c = \frac{223}{E_c^{1.31}} \text{ Amps}
\]

Physically, at each stage during the processes that precede flashover the surfaces cleaner and drier than the other parts of the insulator form an obstacle to the leakage current causing an interruption in discharge. If the arcs are divided over the entire surface of the insulator with varying surface resistivity, then the probability that the arcs will line up along the direction of the field is remarkably reduced thus impeding the inception of flashover.

In addition to a possibility of flashover, contamination of insulators leads to non-linear voltage distribution.
across an insulator string and the non-linearity increases with increasing the density of pollutants on the surface of insulators. Severe surging results in high power losses and intense radio interference. The switching surge flashover voltage of an insulator assembly is lowered by the presence of contaminants on the surface of an insulator. On the other hand switching surges on polluted insulators can reduce the wet flashover voltage to as low as fifty per cent of the dry flashover value. Presence of dry-bands on polluted insulators causes a further reduction in switching surge flashover voltage. However, to cause these reductions the duration of the surge has to be long — of the order of 2 ms.\textsuperscript{13, 14}

Artificial laboratory tests have been developed to help in assessing insulator performance in natural conditions. Because of the tremendous statistical variation in the climatic influence to which insulators are exposed, these methods differ as widely as the pollution itself. The Salt Fog Test and the Dust Deposit Test have been accepted by CIGRE as standard anti-pollution tests and are described in reference.\textsuperscript{15}

2.3 Remedies for Flashover due to Pollution

In live line washing of insulators compressed air and water at desired temperature and of known conductivity are applied using equipment similar to that used for fire fighting. The nozzle is designed to give either a jet
wash or a spray wash, the latter resembles rainfall and is more effective in areas where the pollutant has low adhesive properties or is water soluble otherwise the former is used.

If thick layers of pollution have been allowed to build up, some danger of flashover during the initial stage of the washing exists. Therefore, for safety it is necessary to inspect the state of insulators in order to avoid flashover during washing. Surge counts, records of 25 mA r.m.s. leakage current surges, are used to assess the insulator surface electrical instabilities. Insulator washing is carried out after a certain number of such counts, determined from operational experience. The use of automatic control has reached a stage where automatic pollution detectors indicating the amount of pollution rather than leakage current surges have been installed. However, the need for a periodical hand cleaning of the insulators and checking for water penetration into equipment still remain the direct responsibility of the foreman. Other factors affecting the reliability of the hot line washing remedy include the requirement for maximum conductivity of water related to quantity (2600 to 8000 ohms/cm³), the requirement for optimum water pressure and suitable jet or spray nozzle design (130-150 lbf/sq.in.). Operation in adverse conditions and cost and maintenance are other factors.
2.3.2. Insulator Greasing

The physical principle in the application of coatings on the surface of the insulator lies in the fact that pollution flashover is preceded by the formation of an electrolytic film. Attempts have, therefore, been made to reduce the catching of soluble pollution and to prevent the formation of a continuous film by the use of solids such as P.T.F.E. (Polytetrafluorethylene) which have low coefficients of friction and are water-repellant. The essential common feature of successful greasy coatings is to provide surfaces that are self renewing; the pollution being either smothered by the exuded oil or is bodily engulfed. No ideal coating has yet been found. The coating surface of most materials used is covered by deposits after some time or the materials are degraded by discharges.

Silicone oil or polymer coatings on high voltage insulators showed satisfactory results, however, the life of these coatings was inadequate, and attention was turned to silicone greases applied in thick layers which last only three years. The broad classes of satisfactory material are petroleum jellies, silicone greases, and strippable compounds.

Petroleum jellies include materials made from hydrocarbon oils, slack and microcrystalline slacks. They soften with increase of temperature and melt at the sites of discharges, so engulfing the pollution. On cooling
they resume their former properties. Heating may cause petroleum jellies to slide; this limits their application to temperate climates and precludes their use on some insulators.9, 16

Silicone greases are composed of silica filler and a silicone oil which is the active component. They do not melt, but decompose at temperatures of about 200°C. They may be used in all climates and on hot insulators on account of their substantially constant viscosity (-50°C to 200°C). Apart from their high cost, a further disadvantage is that discharge activity exposes the silica filler, which then acts as a pollutant.

Strippable compounds are blends of waxes, oils and copolymers of ethylene-vinyl-acetate or ethylene-vinyl-acrylate type. During their active life they exude oil both outwards, smothering pollution on their exposed surfaces, and inwards protecting the interface with the insulator surface. They revert to their original state after melting at discharge sites.

Mineral-loaded hydrocarbons and true greases containing metal soaps are other coatings worth mentioning. Possibility of ignition and inability to revert to original properties after melting, respectively, are the causes of their unsatisfactory protection. In all the above cases application of the coating is by hand, deep greasing or spraying. Degreasing is also by hand, heat or vapour from organic
solvents and can be more problematic than greasing.
The major disadvantages of insulator greasing include the
need to maintain the grease in good condition and the
considerable plant outage time required.9, 16

2.3.3. Anti-fog Insulator Designs

In the early 1930's empirical results were obtained
which showed that the suspension cap-and-pin insulators
with large vertical and exposed surface under conditions
of fog gave the best performance. Accordingly a bell­
shaped insulator replaced the then existing disc insulators.
Subsequent poor experience with the bell-type insulator
led to development of test methods which would establish
the optimal shape for insulators for use under conditions
of fog. As a result of many attempts to obtain some geometri­
tical criterion which would enable the relative merits
of various insulator geometries to be assessed the leakage
or creapage path length was chosen as a design factor.

The main aim has been to decrease the leakage current
during fog. This was achieved by increasing the leakage
path length thus increasing the surface resistance of a
polluted insulator. The surface resistance has been found
to vary directly with length and inversely as to width of
the insulator, and can be represented by the expression:

$$R = K \int_0^s \frac{ds}{b(s)}$$

Where K is the resistance in ohms of a leakage path 1 cm
long 1 cm wide;
s is the total leakage path length and
b(s) is the variable circumference of the insulator geometry. The integral alone is called the form factor, a parameter that is difficult to calculate but can be determined graphically. A very efficient way of increasing the surface resistance with a small diameter was the introduction of skirts or deep ribs in the insulator undersurface. Fig.02.2 shows some anti-fog insulator shapes.

The main disadvantage of the anti-fog insulator is that corrugations on the underside of the insulator give a larger surface area for airborne deposits hence a greater surface area becomes contaminated and remains so even after a normal rainfall. This results in highly conducting compounds being formed and greatly depreciating the surface insulation.

2.3.4. Application of Semi-conducting Glaze

The use of resistive glazes on high voltage insulators to prevent radio interference has been a proven technique for many years. Glaze was applied around the high voltage electrode to prevent any large potential differences building due to lack of contact between the insulating material at the electrode and the electrode resulting in local arcing and consequent radio interference.

For the purposes of preventing contamination flashover, resistive-glazes are applied as a thin film on the surface of the insulator. A heating current flows through this
film, the heating effect of which raises the insulator surface temperature slightly above ambient. If this is sufficiently high, no moisture condensation will occur on the insulator surface, thus preventing the formation of an electrolytic paste that would result in a high leakage current and hence instabilities on the insulator surface. The resistive-glaze also stabilizes the voltage distribution along the length of the insulator and prevents the formation of the highly-stressed dry-bands by providing a path to the leakage current of lower impedance than one across the dry-bands.

From in-service tests, it has been found that a current of the order of 1 mA should flow through a continuous resistive layer at normal operating voltage so as to effect a surface heat dissipation of at least 0.008 watts per square centimeter, in order to effectively evaporate any kind of condensation on the insulator surface.\textsuperscript{17}

The advantages of this remedy include prevention of the need for greasing and washing is required at less frequent intervals. The insulator leakage distance is better utilized. It is common practice among the Utilities to use insulators with extra leakage distance so as to be able to extend sufficiently the period between washings, in order to coincide with natural washing of insulators during the wet season. Application of a resistive glaze on insulator surfaces also results in a much better voltage distribution across
a string of insulators.

Earlier ferrite glaze applied to the surface of insulators was found to have a very short life, mainly due to electrolytic corrosion under the influence of applied voltage and moisture. All glazes developed so far have a negative temperature coefficient: Therefore, as a result of the heating effect of the heating current, a danger of thermal runaway exists. Glazes based on tin oxide and antimony oxide system are much more resistant to electrolytic corrosion and have a much lower negative temperature coefficient of resistivity than the ferrite glazes, so that there is much less variation of the stabilizing (heating) current with ambient temperature. Nevertheless, there still exists a danger that if over-voltage occurs or is applied, the insulator temperature will rise, resulting in thermal runaway.17-19
3.1 Introduction

Transmission voltages have risen remarkably rapidly in recent years and there is every indication that even further substantial increases are to be expected. The very high voltage levels now becoming common have posed many difficult problems for the equipment designer, especially in connection with insulation. At the same time extensive work has to be devoted to such factors as the effect of pollution on insulators and bushings for better reliability. Therefore a clear need exists for the equipment designers to develop and/or use methods that give accurate results in the analysis of the electric field surrounding high voltage conductors, bushings, insulators and other hardware and for evaluating the effect on performance of such factors as contamination, mechanical damage, etc.

The electrostatic field distribution of the power line equipment is determined by solving Laplace's equation everywhere outside the conducting portions or electrodes.

\[ \nabla^2 V = 0 \]  

(3.1)

where \( V \) is the electric scalar potential. The problem is generally not bounded in that \( V \) approaches zero value at very great distances from the region of interest. Only
purely analytical methods are capable of dealing with this condition exactly -- at least as of this date. However, analytical solutions of Laplace's equation can only be obtained for relatively simple electrode systems and boundary shapes. Therefore the multiplicity of dielectric interfaces and boundary conditions for the complicated contours encountered in power line equipment means that analytical solutions for potential are not possible. Several approximation methods have been developed, the more important of these being analogue and numerical methods.20

Among the most popular and versatile analogue technique is the electrolytic tank but the difficulty with this technique is that it cannot cope well with the fact that the problem region is unbounded. The technique demands considerable equipment and careful measurements for good results to be obtained. Extension to multidielectric fields is both difficult and prone to error.

Numerical methods of solutions which express the Laplace equation in finite difference form of pointwise approximation have been developed and applied quite extensively. However, the superior finite element methods of piece-wise approximation have only recently been applied to the solution of Laplace's equation for the electric scalar potential V. In the following two sections both methods are developed by case studies, thus emphasizing
their application in real engineering problems rather than giving them a theoretical treatment. The successful use as well as the limitations in the application of both methods to the insulator design is also given.

3.2 The Finite Difference Methods

The solutions obtained by finite difference methods consist of the value, at discrete points regularly spaced over the whole field region, of the function, V, describing the field in the whole problem region. These values are obtained by replacing the one partial differential equation describing the field by many simple finite-difference equations which take the form of linear equations connecting the potential at each point with the potentials at other points close to it. In this way, the solution of the field problem is reduced to the solution of a set of simultaneous equations. Because of the large number of these equations normally occurring, (a consequence of the finite-difference approximation which requires a closely spaced grid for high accuracy) it is not practical in most cases to solve the equations using methods involving determinants, or elimination on account of the excessive computer time and storage requirements.

Relaxation and Iteration are the basic methods used to solve the finite-differences simultaneous equations. Both methods are discussed in a subsequent section together with their modified form used in this work.
Any finite difference method of solving Laplace's equations involves setting up a finite-difference approximation of equation (3.1) and then solving the resulting simultaneous equations subject to the boundary conditions.

3.2.1. Finite-Difference Representation of Laplace's Equation for a Three-dimensional Axisymmetrical Field

In replacing the field equation by a set of finite-difference equations which relate values of V at discrete points, a regular spatial distribution is used so that the same form of these equations is satisfied at all the points in the solution region. Such regular distributions are given by the geometric arrangement of points lying at the nodes of any regular network, mesh or grid system. In this work a rectangular mesh was used with a coordinate system designated \((r, z)\) for the radial direction and third dimension respectively.

For the case of three dimensions with axisymmetry (no variation of V with rotation about the axis of the third dimension) equation (3.1) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad (3.2)
\]

The short-hand notation of equation (3.3) is used (see Fig. 3.1)

\[
V_{i,j} = V(r,z) \bigg| z = z_i, \ r = r_j \quad (3.3)
\]
The finite-difference approximation to equation (3.3) is developed by expanding the potential at all the four nodes $V_{i,j+1}, V_{i,j-1}, V_{i+1,j}, V_{i-1,j}$ about point 0, $V_{i,j}$, of Fig. 3.1, in a Taylor's series to derive expressions for

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} \bigg|_0, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \bigg|_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} \bigg|_0$$

which are substituted into equation (3.3). For the node at $(r_{j+1}, z_i)$ Taylor expansion about node 0 gives

$$V_{i,j+1} = V_{i,j} + \frac{Q}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \bigg|_0 + \frac{1}{2!} Q^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} \bigg|_0 \frac{1}{2!} Q^3 \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial r^3} \bigg|_0 + \ldots \quad (3.4)$$

For $V_{i,j-1}$ by a similar expansion about 0 we get

$$V_{i,j-1} = V_{i,j} - \frac{W}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \bigg|_0 + \frac{1}{2!} W^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} \bigg|_0 - \frac{1}{3!} W^3 \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial r^3} \bigg|_0 + \ldots \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying (3.4) by $W$ and (3.5) by $Q$ and adding results in

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} \bigg|_0 \frac{1}{Q(W+Q)} + \frac{2}{W(W+Q)} - \frac{2}{WQ}$$

after rearranging and neglecting powers of order four and higher in $W$ and/or $Q$.

Similar operations for nodes at $(r_j, z_{i+1})$ and $(r_j, z_{i-1})$ result in

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} \bigg|_0 \frac{2 V_{i+1,j}}{P(P+S)} + \frac{2 V_{i-1,j}}{S(P+S)} - \frac{2 V_{i,j}}{PS}$$

Subtracting equation (3.5) multiplied by $Q^2$ from equation (3.4) multiplied by $W^2$ and neglecting third and...
higher power terms gives

\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} = \frac{W V_{i,j+1} - Q V_{i,j-1}}{Q(W+Q)} - \frac{W-Q}{W(W+Q)} V_{i,j} \]  

(3.8)

Substituting for equations (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) and rearranging gives

\[ V_{i,j} = \left( V_{i,j+1} + \frac{1 + \frac{W}{2R}}{Q(Q+W)} V_{i,j-1} \right) + \left( \frac{1 - \frac{Q}{2R}}{W(W+Q)} V_{i+1,j} + \frac{V_{i-1,j}}{P(P+S)} + \frac{V_{i-1,j}}{S(P+S)} \right) \]

(3.9)

where \( R = r_j \)

The simplifying assumptions made in developing (3.9) involve neglecting higher powers in \( W, Q, S, P, \) the mesh size parameters, resulting in a truncation error. Practically, the mesh size should be chosen to minimize the truncation error. Application of equation (3.9) to all nodes which lie to the interior of the boundary nodes results in a set of simultaneous equations whose matrix representation would be

\[ [M] \ [V] = [B] \]  

(3.10)

where \( M \) is a sparse matrix of the coefficients of unknown potentials \( V_{i,j} \), \( V \) is a column matrix of unknown potentials \( V_{i,j} \) and \( B \) is a column matrix of the sums of the known potentials at the boundary and the source term, where applicable.
Line of rotational symmetry

Fig. 3.1 Assymmetrical Star Grid System
3.2.2. Methods for solving the Finite Difference Equations

Iteration and relaxation methods are similar except that relaxation developed as a hand computation technique and is therefore more suitable for simple field problems. In iterative methods, improved values of \( V \) are determined directly from the difference equation (3.9); each node being considered in turn in a fixed repeated cycle. The designation of each node by \((i,j)\) fully facilitates this iterative computation and the use of a digital computer.

The most highly developed iterative technique for solving the finite-difference simultaneous equations is the Extrapolated Liebman method.\(^{21,22}\) The nodes in the solution region are scanned in succession continuously replacing \( V_{i,j} \) by an adjusted value starting from an initial assumed set of approximations. The adjusted value is obtained by overrelaxing (altering by too much) each node potential so that the corresponding difference between the exact and the estimated value (known as the residual) of the potential at each node is depressed beyond zero. As the exact solution is approached the amount of overrelaxation in neighbouring nodes will be just right such that recalculation of the potential at a given node will bring the residual at that node back to zero. This technique is known as successive Point Overrelaxation (SOR in short form). SOR improves the convergence rate.

To have a better understanding of the application of
SOR consider as a case study a rectangular trough with a square cross-section area of side 48 inches and with three of the four sides at high voltage and the fourth side grounded. A mesh size of \( P = S = W = Q = 1 \) was chosen and the gap between the high voltage sides and the grounded side was chosen to contain four nodes excluding the two boundary nodes at high voltage and ground potential. The resulting form of equation (3.9) for this grid system is

\[
V_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4} \left( V_{i+1,j} + V_{i-1,j} + V_{i,j+1} + V_{i,j-1} - 4 \times V_{i,j} \right) \quad (3.11)
\]

Applying the SOR technique on node \((r, z)\) at the \((n+1)\)th iteration, equation (3.11) becomes

\[
V_{ij}^{(n+1)} = V_{ij}^{(n)} + \frac{a}{4} \left( V_{i+1,j}^{(n)} + V_{i-1,j}^{(n)} + V_{i,j+1}^{(n)} + V_{i,j-1}^{(n)} - 4 \times V_{ij}^{(n)} \right) \quad (3.12)
\]

where \(a\) is the convergence factor determining the degree of overrelaxation, and has values between 1 and 2. The value of unity corresponds to the basic iterative technique in which only current approximations to \(V\) are stored. When \(a = 2\) the iteration process becomes non-convergent.

To take advantage of the SOR technique an optimum value of the convergence factor should be used but its determination causes considerable difficulty.

For a rectangular region of \((m \times n)\) nodes it has been shown\(^{23}\) that

\[
a = 2 \left( 1 - \pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-1)^2} + \frac{1}{(m-1)^2}} \right)
\]
and
\[ a = 2 \left( 1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{(m-1)} \right) \quad (3.13) \]
for a square region with \( m \) nodes on a side. In both cases, however, equations (3.13) only hold for Dirichlet problems (values of \( V \) initially specified at the boundary); empirical determination of \( a \) is thus necessary in almost all other cases.

A computer flow chart for application of the SOR technique for the rectangular trough is given in Fig. 3.2. Symmetry exists between two halves of the region of interest and thus the problem was only solved for half the cross-sectional area. For node \((i,j)\) at the line of symmetry equation (3.12) was modified such that the term \( V_{i,j+1} \) was replaced by \( V_{i,j-1} \) on account of symmetry. Equation (3.12) thus becomes
\[ V^{(n+1)}_{i,j} = V^{(n)}_{i,j} + \frac{a}{4} \left( V^{(n+1)}_{i+1,j} + V^{(n)}_{i-1,j} + 2 V^{(n)}_{i,j-1} - \right. \]
\[ \left. 4V^{(n)}_{i,j} \right) \quad (3.14) \]
The computer program which implements this calculation is given in Appendix A and the plotted results are shown in Fig. 3.3. The computer time required for \((49 \times 25)\) nodes to reduce the maximum absolute value of the residual to 0.01 per cent including time for sorting and plotting was just over half a minute, the optimum convergence factor being 1.707.
Fig. 3.2 SOR Computer Flow Chart
Fig. 3.3 Equipotential lines for a Rectangular Trough
(computer plot)
3.2.3. Application of the SOR Technique to the Field of an infinitely extending region

Formulation of the infinitely extending problem in finite difference simultaneous equations produces an infinitely large set of simultaneous equations. Methods have been developed for transforming the infinitely extending problem region into a finite one without appreciably disturbing the field in the region of interest. These methods introduce an artificial boundary either by physically altering the problem or by iteratively relaxing the artificial boundary simulated by charges on electrodes and the polarization charge on dielectric interfaces. Before describing either method two changes in equation (3.9) that are essential for both methods are given first.

To avoid division by zero when \( r = 0 \) at the axis of rotational symmetry, the second term in equation (3.3) is evaluated as a limit as \( r \to 0 \). Using L'Hôpital's rule,

\[
\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dV}{dr} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{d^2V}{dr^2}
\]

and remembering that \( V_{i,j-1} = V_{i,j+1} \),

\[ W = Q \text{ for } (i,j) \text{ on the axis of rotation symmetry equation } (3.9) \text{ becomes} \]

\[
V_{i,j} = \left( \frac{V_{i+1,j} + V_{i-1,j} + 2V_{i,j+1}}{P(S+P) + \frac{2}{Q^2}} \right) \frac{1}{1/SP + 2/Q^2} \quad (3.15)
\]

For nodes on dielectric interfaces it is necessary to take into account the different dielectric constants.
For this purpose Laplace's equation (3.1) is developed from a closed curve integral of the gradient of a scalar function $V$ with respect to the vector normal to the closed curve. The detailed development is not given here but the resulting form of equation (3.9) for node $(r_j, z_i)$ on the dielectric interface is given:

$$V_{i,j} = \frac{1}{FKT} \left( V_{i+1,j} \ast FK1 + V_{i-1,j} \ast FK5 + V_{i,j+1} \ast FK3 + V_{i,j-1} \ast FK7 - V_{i,j} \ast FKT \right)$$

(3.16)

where

$$FK1 = \left( 1 + \frac{Q}{4R} \right) E_1 + \left( 1 - \frac{W}{4R} \right) E_8$$

$$FK3 = \left( 1 + \frac{P}{2R} \right) E_2 + \left( 1 + \frac{S}{2R} \right) E_3$$

$$FK5 = \left( 1 + \frac{Q}{4R} \right) E_4 + \left( 1 - \frac{W}{4R} \right) E_5$$

$$FK7 = \left( 1 - \frac{S}{2R} \right) E_6 + \left( 1 - \frac{P}{2R} \right) E_7$$

$$FKT = FK1 + FK3 + FK5 + FK7$$

$E_1, E_2, \ldots E_8$ are the relative permittivities of the media in the eight half octants surrounding node $(r_j, z_i)$, the octants being numbered clockwise.

Returning to the two methods of introducing an artificial boundary, the first involves assigning $V = 0$ for nodes far from the region of interest or assigning a zero flux condition for such nodes. It is necessary to increase the grid size in the outer region to save on computer time and memory requirements. This method was applied on an insulator model. The zero flux condition
was used for nodes radially distant from the axis and $V = 0$ was assigned for these in the z direction. The grid size was doubled in the outer region. Different values of the convergence factor were used to try and obtain the optimum. However, the SOR technique gave unstable results even for unity convergence factor and the time requirements were uneconomically too high. Most of the time was used on responding to the many logical equations necessary for use of either equation (3.9), equation (3.15) or equation (3.16) and the associated different dielectric constants, avoiding application of SOR at electrode configurations.

The other method of boundary relaxation is relatively new in electrostatics. It involves setting up an artificial boundary enclosing the region of interest. The potential at this boundary is given by considering the effect of charges in the whole inner region, that is on electrodes, and polarization charge on dielectric interface. SOR is applied to the whole region to obtain improved values of $V$ on all the inner nodes which in turn are used to evaluate the boundary values of $V$ by charge simulation. This method has only been used for simple electrode and dielectric interface configurations. In three dimensions these charges become ring charges each producing a potential represented by an elliptic integral. This method is more promising than the first; however, the finite element
method of section 3.3 was found to be superior in terms of time requirements and versatility. Furthermore, media-interfaces do not require any special consideration during computation.

3.3. The Finite Element Method

In the finite element method the solution to a complicated engineering field problem is approximated by subdividing the region of interest and representing the solution within each subdivision or element by a relatively simple function. Such a function is called a displacement function or simply an interpolation function; a polynomial is the common form of an interpolation function. The interpolation function within each element is substituted directly into the governing field equation (Laplace's equation, say) to obtain the nodal value equations. This is known as a direct approach of determining element properties. The elemental properties are then assembled to form simultaneous equations which are solved to obtain the nodal values of \( V \).

The finite element method of obtaining a solution to any continuum problem thus follows an orderly step-by-step procedure:

1. Subdivision of the Continuum
2. Selection of the Interpolation Function
3. Determination of the Element Properties
4. Assembling the Element Properties to obtain the System Equations
5. Solving the System Equations
6. Additional Computations, if desired.

3.3.1. Statement of the Problem

In this work the finite element method is developed by solving Laplace's equation in a continuum composed of a truncated cone, or frustrum, with a partially conducting outer thickness on the sides and electrodes on the two ends; using the six-step procedure listed above. Laplace's equation in three dimensions with rotational symmetry can also be stated as

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} = 0 \tag{3.17}
\]

In each element, the variation of \( r \) depends on the size of the element. Therefore in a small element the value of \( r \) can be taken to be constant and equal to the value at the centroid of the element, \( \bar{r} \). In this way equation (3.17) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} = 0 \tag{3.18}
\]

in each element.²⁶

The problem is first solved in the partially-conducting region and using the values of \( V \) on the nodes of the inner surface the system for the dielectric region is solved.

3.3.2. Subdividing the Continuum

A variety of element shapes may be used to discretize the continuum into elements depending on the type of
problem. The number and type of elements to be used in a given problem is a matter of engineering judgement. One has to rely on the experience of others for guidelines as no specific guidelines can be given for choosing the optimum element for a given problem because the type of element that yields good accuracy with short computing time is problem dependant.

For two-dimensional and three-dimensional axisymmetric problems requiring continuity only of the field variable \( V \) at element interfaces, the three node triangular element has been used by many analysts because of its simplicity and versatility. The frustrum was divided into such elements as shown in Fig. 3.4. In the finite element method media-interfaces are accounted for by simply dividing each region separately but allowing for continuity at the interface as shown in Fig. 3.4. All the nodes are then numbered systematically and depending on the complexity of the geometry of the continuum the nodes can be generated automatically on the computer.

3.3.3. Determination of the Interpolation Function

In determining the interpolation function to approximately represent the variation of the field variable \( V \) in each element, three conditions must be met for convergence to be rigorously assured (with increasing number of elements) in the finite element method.

a) The interpolation function must be continuous within
Fig. 3.4 Frustrum Subdivided into Elements (computer plot)
the elements, $V$ must be compatible or conforming between adjacent elements, and the value of $V$ along the side of an element must depend only on its values at the nodes occurring on that side.

b) The derivative of the field variable, $V$ in this case, must be constant for that element.

c) The interpolation function must allow for the constant electric stress of the element.

Furthermore, selection of the order of the interpolation function must be such that the pattern is independent of the orientation of the local coordinate system, that is to say it is geometrically invariant. Polynomials have been found to be suitable interpolation functions and equation (3.19) gives the general form of a polynomial that would satisfy the above requirements for two independent variables.\textsuperscript{28}

$$V(x,y) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3y + a_4x^2 + a_5xy + a_6y^2 + \ldots + a_{n+1}y^n$$

where $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i$ is the number of terms corresponding to the number of nodes of the element.

Consider element $e$ in Fig. 3.4. The plane passing through nodal values of $V$ associated with $e$ can be described by the equation

$$V(e)(x,y) = A(e) + B(e)x + C(e)y$$

(3.20)
The constants $A^e$, $B^e$, and $C^e$ can be expressed in terms of the coordinates of the element's nodes and the nodal values of $V$ by forming equation (3.20) at each node 1, 2, 3 numbered clockwise and then solving the three simultaneous equations for $A^e$, $B^e$ and $C^e$ (see Appendix B). On substituting for the three constants in (3.20) we get

$$v^e(x,y) = (a_1 + b_1 x + c_1 y)V_1 + (a_2 + b_2 x + c_2 y)V_2 + (a_3 + b_3 x + c_3 y)V_3) / 2\Delta$$  (3.21)

where,

$$2\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x_1 & y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & y_3 \end{vmatrix} = \text{twice the area of triangle } e$$

defined by vertices 1, 2, 3,

$$a_1 = x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2, \quad b_1 = y_2 - y_3, \quad c_1 = x_3 - x_2$$

and the other coefficients are obtained by a cyclic permutation of the subscripts 1, 2, and 3.

In matrix form, equation (3.21) can be represented as

$$\begin{bmatrix} v^e(x,y) \end{bmatrix} = (N^e) \begin{bmatrix} v^e \end{bmatrix}$$  (3.22)

where $$(N^e) = (N_1, N_2, N_3)$$

and

$$N_i = \frac{a_i + b_i x + c_i y}{2\Delta}$$

with $i = 1, 2, 3$, is the interpolation function and

$$\begin{bmatrix} v^e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

are the nodal values of $V$.  
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If the continuum contains NE elements the complete representation of the field variable \( V \) is given by

\[
V(x,y) = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} v(e)(x,y) = \sum_{e=1}^{NE} (N(e)) \begin{bmatrix} v(e) \end{bmatrix}
\]

Equations (3.22) and (3.23) are of general validity irrespective of the form of the interpolation function and element shape.

3.3.4. Finding the Element Properties

To determine the field variation in each element according to the governing Laplace's equation, four approaches have been developed. The direct approach given at the beginning of this section (3.3) is very simple and is limited to simple unidimensional fields. The most popular approach is the variational approach. An extremal principle is used with the finite element approximations to derive the element properties in terms of nodal values. Unless a functional can be obtained from the extremal principle for a given type of problem, the variational approach can not be applied. Another approach is the Energy balance approach. It requires no variational statement. The necessary elemental relations are obtained by considering local energy balances. Finally, the Method of Weighted Residuals (MWR) approach involves choosing an approximating function to the field variable and minimizing
the resulting residual or error on substitution into the
governing field equation according to a certain criteria.
This approach requires no functional and was found to be
particularly suitable for this work. Its development
follows here below.

Let the dependant field variable \( V \) be approximated by

\[
V \approx v^{(e)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i v_i
\]

(3.24)

\( N_i \) are the assumed functions and \( v_i \) are the unknown
parameters. The \( m \) functions \( N_i \) are chosen to satisfy the
global boundary conditions. On substitution of (3.24)
into (3.1) a residual \( R \) results

\[
\nabla^2 v^{(e)} = R
\]

(3.25)

The MWR seeks to determine the \( m \) unknown(s) \( v_i \)'s in such
a way that the residual \( R \) over the entire continuum
vanishes in some average sense. A weighted average of
the error is formed by weighting \( R \) with weighting functions
\( W_i \) (\( i=1 \) to \( m \)) and specifying that if this average vanishes
over the entire solution domain, \( D \), then the value of \( R \)
will tend to zero.

\[
\int_D \nabla^2 v^{(e)} W_i dD = \int_D R W_i dD
\]

(3.26)

\[
= 0 \quad i = 1, 2, ..., m
\]

Once the weighting functions are specified then
equations (3.26) form a set of ordinary differential
equations to be solved for the m values of $V, V_i$. It can be shown that as m tends to very large values, $V^{(e)}$ tends to the exact solution $V$. A broad choice of weighting functions or error distribution principles exist. Galerkin's method is the error distribution principle most often used when MWR is used with the finite element method. In Galerkin's method the weighting functions are chosen to be the same as the approximating functions (interpolation functions in this case) used to represent $V$ in equation (3.24). Thus $W_i = N_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. For each element $D^{(e)}$ which make up domain $D$ equation (3.26) becomes

$$\int_{D^{(e)}} v^2 v^{(e)} N_i dD^{(e)} = 0 \quad (3.27)$$

Integration by parts is used to introduce the influence of the natural boundary conditions in (3.27) for all elements at such boundaries since the $N_i$s were not chosen so as to satisfy any boundary conditions (see section 3.3.3). Writing (3.27) in two dimensional coordinates gives

$$\int N_i \frac{d^2 v^{(e)}}{dx^2} dxdy + \int N_i \frac{d^2 v^{(e)}}{dy^2} dxdy \quad (3.28)$$

Integration by parts of the first term in (3.28) gives

$$\int_{N_i} \frac{d^2 v^{(e)}}{dx^2} dxdy = \int_{N_i} \frac{dv^{(e)}}{dx} dy$$

$$- \int \frac{dv^{(e)}}{dx} \frac{dN_i}{dx} dxdy = \int_{N_i} \frac{dv^{(e)}}{dx} n_x dl -$$

$$\int \frac{dv^{(e)}}{dx} \frac{dN_i}{dx} dxdy \quad (3.29)$$
where \( n_x \) is the \( x \)-component of the unit normal to the boundary and \( dl \) is the differential arc length along the boundary. Treating the second term of (3.28) similarly, on substitution (3.28) becomes

\[
\int_{D(e)} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial y} \right) \ dxdy - \int_{D(e)} N_i dx\ dy = 0
\]

(3.30)

The normal derivative of \( V \) is zero at the sides of the partially-conducting region of the frustum of Fig. 3.4 and at the line of rotational symmetry. Thus the line integral in (3.30) is identically zero. The values of \( y(e) \) \((x,y)\) and \( N_i \) as given in (3.22) are substituted into (3.30) giving

\[
\begin{align*}
&b_1 b_2 b_3 [V(e)] + c_1 c_2 c_3 [V(e)] \int_{\Delta} dxdy = 0 \\
&i = 1, 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]

(3.31)

The integral in (3.31) is the elemental area \( \Delta \) (see Appendix B). In matrix form equations (3.31) are given by

\[
[S(e)] [V(e)] = [R(e)]
\]

(3.32)

\( S(e) \) is a square matrix the typical element of which is

\[
S_{ij} = \frac{b_ib_j + c_ic_j}{4 \Delta}
\]

\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)

\( j = 1, 2, 3 \)

and \( R(e) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \)
Equation (3.32) gives the elemental properties. Using the approximation of (3.18) it is only necessary to multiply $S_{ij}$ by $2\pi T$ to give the three-dimensional axisymmetric form of equation (3.32).

### 3.3.5 Assembling the Element Properties

Equations of the form (3.32) obtained for each element are assembled to form matrix equations expressing the behaviour of the entire continuum. The basis for the assembly procedure stems from the conformity requirement of $V$, that is at a node where elements are interconnected, $V$ has the same value for each element sharing that node.

When all nodes have the same coordinate system the assembly procedure follows a systematic execution of equation (3.33) for all elements, suitable for a digital computer. Such a computer program is given in Appendix C.

$$
\sum_{e=1}^{NE} \sum_{i=1}^{NN} \begin{bmatrix} S(e) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(e) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R(e) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.33)
$$

The inner summation is taken for all the nodes $NN$ and the latter for all the elements resulting in $[S] [V] = [R]$. The resulting assembled matrix is sparsely populated and has the non-zero terms clustered about the main diagonal (banded) reflecting the connectivity of the finite element mesh. Numbering the nodes causes the system matrices to be banded, depending on the nodal numbering scheme the band width can be minimized.
The system equations are then modified to account for the boundary conditions of the problem, that is, specified values of \( V \), otherwise they form a singular matrix. This is done by modifying the matrices \( [S] \) and \( [R] \) in equation (3.33) as follows. If at node \( i \), \( V_i \) is specified then \( i^{th} \) row and \( i^{th} \) column of \( [S] \) are set equal to zero and \( S_{ii} \) is set equal to unity. The term \( R_i \) in \( [R] \) is replaced by the known value of \( V_i \). Each of the remaining terms of \( [R] \) is modified by subtracting from it the product of \( V_i \) and the appropriate column term from the original \( [S] \) matrix. Consider a simple illustrative case where

\[
[S] = \begin{bmatrix}
    S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} \\
    S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} \\
    S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} \\
    S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\quad \text{and} \quad
[R] = \begin{bmatrix}
    R_1 \\
    R_2 \\
    R_3 \\
    R_4
\end{bmatrix}
\]

with \( V_1 \) and \( V_3 \) specified as boundary values of \( V \) at nodes 1 and 3. Accounting for the boundary condition results in

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & S_{22} & 0 & S_{24} \\
    0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & S_{42} & 0 & S_{44}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
    V_1 \\
    V_2 \\
    V_3 \\
    V_4
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
    V_1 \\
    R_2 - S_{21}V_1 - S_{23}V_3 \\
    V_3 \\
    R_4 - S_{41}V_1 - S_{43}V_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

as the modified matrix equations of the system ready for solving.

3.3.6 Solving of the System Equations

Direct or Iterative schemes (e.g. Gaussian elimination or Gauss-Seidel methods respectively) are the basic methods used to solve the system equation for the field variable \( V \).
However, methods that take advantage of the symmetry, sparseness and bandedness properties that usually characterize $[S]$ are far more convenient in this case. A subroutine available in the computer library (SIMQ) was used and the results were used to plot equipotential lines given in Fig. 3.5. Subroutine CONTUA given in Appendix C was written for this purpose.

3.3.7 Additional Computations

The electric field stress was calculated for each element by Subroutine FIELD (Appendix C) using the calculated values of $V$ at each node. Equation (3.23) gives the variation of $V$ within the element in terms of the nodal values of $V$ i.e.

$$V^{(e)}(x,y) = N_1 V_1 + N_2 V_2 + N_3 V_3$$

taking the gradient with respect to $x$ and then $y$ gives the corresponding field stress components $E_x$ and $E_y$

$$E_x = \text{grad } V^{(e)}(x,y)$$
$$E_y = \text{grad } V^{(e)}(x,y)$$

(3.34)

the resultant of which is

$$E^{(e)} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2}$$

Fig. 3.6 gives the electric field stress in each element. This stress can be thought of as acting at the centroid of the element.

The finite element method as developed here was applied to a power line insulator and the results are
Fig. 3.5 Equipotential Lines for the Frustum
Fig. 3.6 Elemental Stress in the Frustum
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given in the next chapter.
CHAPTER IV  
DESIGN OF AN ANTI-POLLUTION INSULATOR

About four years ago, research work started here at the University of Windsor to develop a partially conducting material to be used in power line insulators for contaminated environment. Such material was required to have a positive temperature coefficient (to avoid thermal instabilities associated with current semi-conducting glazes), very high resistance to tracking to avoid surface deterioration due to discharges, and high dielectric strength. Silicone carbide filled with Adeprene was developed and a great deal of research work has been devoted to determining the appropriate material proportions to give the required conductivity, current-voltage characteristics and other properties.  

A non-conventional power line insulator design concept is to be used in which the insulator body is made up of three regions: an inner core, a middle region and partially-conducting outer thickness. Fibre glass forms the inner core to provide the required mechanical strength to withstand the various loading conditions. Epoxy forms the middle region and provides the required dielectric strength, and silicone carbide filled adeprene forms the partially-conducting outer thickness. Using a controllable outer thickness of the partially conducting material enables the designer to try and achieve uniform resistance-grading on the insulator surface (see section 4.2).
Our design concept could have been applied to existing geometries, however, it was decided that a geometry obtained by considering the effect of insulator shape on performance of insulators in contaminated areas would be a much better solution (together with uniform voltage distribution on the insulator surface) to the pollution problem.

4.1 Design Factors

For the design of an anti-pollution insulator, the following factors should be considered:

a) Adequate leakage path length
b) Geometry considerations
c) Corona requirements
d) Ample mechanical strength
e) High ratio of impulse to normal flashover voltage
f) Economic feasibility and Technological Considerations

All these factors with exception of b) have been used in conventional anti-fog insulator designs, however, in this work some of these factors have been modified in the light of the available information from both in-service tests and operational experience. Factors c) to f) are basic requirements for the successful and economic operation of any power line insulator irrespective of the type of environment. A review of all these factors follows.

a) Adequate leakage path length

Any power line insulator should be designed with
adequate leakage path length per unit kilovolt of operating voltage so that flashover will always occur in the air path surrounding the insulator. Based on in-service tests and on operational experience it has been specified that, for slightly polluted areas, insulators should have a leakage path length of at least 2.5 cm per kV of operating voltage. Furthermore a good anti-fog insulator design should have a figure of merit, that is ratio of leakage path length to insulator height, of at least three.\(^{31}\)

The necessity for adequate leakage path length is more emphasized by the fact that the risk of flashover depends on the leakage current which in turn depends on the surface resistance of the contaminated insulator and therefore the leakage path length. The leakage current phenomenon has already been covered in Chapter 2; it is sufficient here to only say that the limiting value of the leakage current (the maximum value before flashover) flowing on the surface of a polluted insulator has been found to be 1 mA. This value increases with diameter of the insulator.

b) Geometry considerations

Provision of the specified leakage path does not in itself ensure good performance of the insulator in a polluted environment. In addition to being adequate, the leakage path length should be formed by a uniform and symmetrical geometry in order that the variations (of the surface resistance of different units, or different
parts of the same unit) shall be reduced as much as possible; otherwise the leakage path length per unit of system voltage becomes a criterion of limited application. The leakage path length (or the insulator surface) should be apportioned so as to strike a balance between the amount of insulator surface exposed to cleaning by wind and rain and the amount of sheltered surface. An anti-pollution insulator requires a suitable shed design to take advantage of the natural cleansing action of wind and rain. However, great care is required because both wind and rain are possible causes of trouble. Rain should strike the insulator surface with sufficient force to dislodge contamination particles so as not to rely only on the eddy action of wind and the splashing of rain for cleaning the hidden surfaces.

Insulators with a plain undersurface show remarkable reduction in the amount of dirt collected. This is due to the practically eddy-free air flow as shown in Fig. 4.1. A plain rod has the greatest possible length of naturally washed surface but to obtain adequate wet flashover characteristics sheds must be introduced on the rod. A single shed of small diameter will result in improved flashover voltage on the plain rod but at the loss of a certain amount of naturally washed surface. In Fig. 4.2, the wet flashover voltage is mainly determined by dimension x. The maximum value of x considering a 45° rainfall is obtained by increasing the diameter of the shed for a
Plain under surface; little eddy formation

Ribbed under surface; considerable eddy formation

Fig. 4.1 Flow of Air Past Insulator Under Surface
Fig. 4.2 Extent of Naturally-cleansed Surfaces as affected by Number and Shape of Sheds
given height of the rod. The wet flashover characteristics so obtained can be achieved more economically (using less insulator material) by the use of a two - or even much more economically a three - shed design. To increase the number of sheds would increase the "shaded" vertical surface that would increase the surface area for air-born deposit. Needless to say that the more drooping these sheds are the greater will be the shaded regions.

Insulator geometry should, therefore, be designed to achieve the greatest possible length of cylindrical surface unbroken by sheds. At the same time the geometry should be designed to allow for at least half the leakage path length to remain dry under extreme conditions of rainfall and wind. Thus a compromise between these two requirements give the best design of insulator geometry.\(^{32}\) Research work done in Europe shows that, although shed inclination to the insulator stem is necessary, the deviation from the shed being perpendicular to the stem should be a "teen-angle".\(^{33}\) A small inclination of the underside of the shade is necessary to stop dripping pollution-laden water from completely bridging the two electrodes. Furthermore sheds on a given insulator unit should not be of equal protrusion or diameter so as to avoid bridging between sheds that would otherwise occur during rainfall thus drastically reducing the amount of insulating surface. Conventionally for anti-fog designs the ratio of shed protrusion to
separation between sheds (of equal diameter) is required to be about 0.85 for insulators in a polluted environment.\textsuperscript{34} The disadvantage here is that of the risk of rain bridging the sheds.

In this subsection non-conventional techniques for designing the insulator geometry have been introduced, the few conventional ones being greatly modified or completely rejected in the light of current information about the performance of anti-fog insulators in a polluted environment.

c) Corona requirements

Any power line insulator is required to be free from visible discharge up to a certain voltage, the corona voltage, which is usually fixed at twenty to forty per cent above the phase voltage. The object of this is to ensure as much as possible that the insulator is free from discharges which would give rise to excessive radio- and television-signal interference in the immediate vicinity. These discharges would also result in energy losses.

A uniform voltage distribution on the insulator would result in all portions of the air at the insulator surface being evenly stressed thus eliminating highly stressed local areas that would result in the breakdown of the surrounding air. The insulator surface should be smooth and proper contact between the insulator material and the electrodes and hardware at high voltage must be maintained for the corona onset voltage to be high.
d) Ample mechanical strength

Any power line insulator must be mechanically sound to support the line with adequate factor of safety under the most adverse conditions and to meet other requirements given in Chapter I. The mechanical loading on the insulator is mainly the weight of the conductor; however, adverse conditions of snow and heavy storms increase this loading. Lightning discharges and other high frequency arcs subject the insulator to excessive electromechanical stresses.

Insulators are rated either on the nominal working load multiplied by a factor of safety of three and half, or the minimum failing load. Electromechanical breaking strength (obtained by applying both a mechanical load and a voltage until the insulator fails either mechanically or electrically) is nowadays used to assess the performance of an insulator.  

e) High ratio of impulse to normal frequency flashover

Insulators are designed to have an impulse ratio of at least 3.75. Higher values are necessary in the case of an anti-pollution insulator (see section 1.1). Impulse ratio is a design factor to ensure that insulators will flashover under a lightning arc and other high frequency surges rather than puncture.

f) Economic feasibility and technological considerations

From the preceding requirements it is evident that any insulator must be designed in the form such that it
will meet widely divergent conditions. From the manufac-
turing standpoint it is uneconomical to manufacture
units of different mechanical strengths for different
weights of conductors and climatic loadings and conditions.
The most successful design is such that the same unit will
operate satisfactorily under the most adverse conditions
of loading and pollution with adequate factors of safety
and at the same time will be economical enough for use on
the less important lines.

Technological considerations limit the designer when
attempting to achieve a compromise between the different
requirements.

4.2 The Present Design

Before undertaking the design and construction (by
casting) of the insulator, geometries of conventional post
insulators were reviewed. Table 4.1 gives some of the
characteristics of these insulators for preferred or
standard system voltages. In particular, the 33 kV unit
shown in Fig. 4.3 was considered. Taking half the length
of this insulator the outer profile was designed according
to the geometry requirements and in consideration of the
other design criteria of the value system discussed in
section 4.1. Having thus designed the profile and using
2 kV of operating voltage per inch of leakage path length
the rating of the insulator was tentatively fixed for
46 kV system operation. The outer profile is shown in
Fig. 4.4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Preferred System Voltage</th>
<th>Wet F.O.V. kV</th>
<th>Impulse Withstand kV</th>
<th>Insulator Height (Post) mm</th>
<th>Max. Diam. of Insulating Part** mm</th>
<th>Min. Leakage Path Length mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120V</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>480V</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.16kV</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.8kV</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>5600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>6700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>1600*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 (550)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 (765)</td>
<td>2050*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Have been worked out using empirical formular
** Can be increased in cases of severe pollution
In each case mechanical strength can be 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 kN depending on load.

TABLE 4.1  (Produced from IEC, 273 of 1968)
leakage path length - 40 inches

dry arc distance - 14\(\frac{1}{2}\) inches

Fig. 4.3 33 kV Post Insulator
The concept of a controllable partially-conducting outer thickness can be used to attain uniform voltage distribution on the insulator surface and the required thermal distribution. The conductivity of the partially-conducting material governs the amount of heating (as opposed to leakage) current flowing in this material. The profile and thickness of this material governs the current distributions. The current flow in turn governs the voltage distribution on the insulator and the required thermal dissipation to avoid moisture condensation on the insulator surface. Designing for a uniform thermal dissipation on the insulator surface requires taking into consideration the extremely variable ambient temperature, radiational and convectional losses at the different parts of the insulator surface. Moreover, for two adjacent sheds, the heat lost at the surface of the lower shed will increase the ambient temperature around the underside of the upper shed. Therefore it is difficult to have uniform ambient thermal conditions around the entire insulator unit, making it extremely difficult to design for uniform thermal dissipation on the insulator surface. Therefore the uniform voltage distribution criteria was used to determine the thickness of the partially-conducting material.

The profile of Fig. 4.4 was subdivided into sub-regions depending on the geometric nature i.e. discs, segments and arcs. In three dimensions each of these sub-regions is a solid of revolution. For a given homogeneous
E - position of electrodes

H = 5.5 inches

leakage path length 14.1 inches

Fig. 4.4 Geometry of the Insulator Design
material the resistance to current flow in each subregion will vary directly as to length and inversely as to cross-section area of the path. The resistance will be directly proportional to length resulting in a uniform voltage distribution on the insulator surface, if the cross-section area is held constant for all the subregions. In two dimensions, the cross-section area would be the area obtained by considering a line perpendicular to the line forming the boundary of flow, in this case we consider the cross-section area of a solid of revolution. A value of the cross-section area that gave practical values of the thickness was chosen. For each of 25 subregions a value of the thickness $t$, was worked out and these points were joined with straight lines; thus achieving subregional uniform variation of potential but not necessarily uniform in each subregion. To achieve a completely uniform variation of potential the insulator would have to be subdivided into many more subregions to attain many more points for the inner curve. However, such effort would be futile as considerations for ease of manufacture would result in changes in the form of the inner profile. The resulting profile of the partially-conducting region is as shown in Fig. 4.6.

Originally the electrodes were to be prototype just to facilitate testing in the laboratory however ensuring complete contact with the different materials. The electrode shape was however, modified to avoid increasing the
1 Partially-conducting material
   - Silicone Carbine filled
   Adeprene
2 Dielectric material - Epoxy
3 Fibre Glass - for mechanical strength
Shaded Area - Electrodes

Fig. 4.5 The Insulator Design
leakage path length per kV than was necessary and other technological requirements.

The mold for casting the insulator is made of epoxy and is made up from three parts. The volume of the partially-conducting part is approximately 100 cu. in. and that of the dielectric material is approximately 60 cu. in.

4.3 Analysis of the electric field of the insulator design

The finite element method developed in Chapter III for a frustrum with a partially-conducting outer thickness was applied to the insulator design shown in Fig. 4.5. Due to the complexity of the insulator geometry, the elements could not be automatically generated. Fig. 4.6 shows the element pattern. Media interfaces are represented by element sides.

In calculating the field the two regions are considered separately. First, the partially-conducting region is solved by considering as a completely bounded problem, the natural boundary conditions are approximately those of a conductor (the normal derivative of potential is zero along the sides). The values of potential at nodes on the partially-conducting and dielectric media interface, so obtained, are used as specified boundary values in solving the dielectric region. Plots of equipotential lines were obtained for both regions using subroutine CONTUA. Fig. 4.7 shows the equipotential lines for the whole insulator in
Fig. 4.6 Insulator Divided into Elements (computer plot)
Fig. 4.7 Equipotential Lines for the Insulator
steps of five per cent of the applied voltage. Elementary electric field stress was calculated using subroutine FIELD and the highest stress was 34.0% kV r.m.s. per cm. This stress occurred in the dielectric region near the high voltage electrode shown shaded in Fig. 4.6. Although the epoxy material (dielectric strength 150 kV/cm) can withstand such a stress, if pores exist in the material, due to imperfections in casting, they might be highly stressed and may even break down. To release this stress the high voltage electrode was reshaped as shown in Fig. 4.8. The resulting maximum stress was 24.0% kV r.m.s. per cm occurring in the element shown shaded in Fig. 4.8. The corresponding equipotential lines are shown in Fig. 4.9.
Fig. 4.8 Insulator Divided into Elements with HV Electrode Reshaped (computer plot)
Fig. 4.9 Equipotential Lines for Insulator with Modified HV Electrodes (computer plot)
5.1 The Numerical Methods

In both the finite difference and finite element numerical methods, the error or residual between the approximate solution and the exact solution is usually a relative one. It is the difference in the value of the field function obtained at two consecutive SOR iterations for the finite difference method and the difference in the field function obtained with two consecutively decreasing element sizes or increasing order of the interpolation function in the finite element method. In the case of a frustrum with a partially-conducting outer thickness, the element size was decreased to approximately half a square centimeter and the relative error obtained for the potential function was less than one eighth of a per cent. Although this accuracy pertains mainly to the frustrum, the element size was used as a guide line when subdividing the insulator design. It should be noted that, according to the literature survey, this is the first time the finite element method has been applied to calculate the field of a power line insulator.

The finite element method was found to be much superior to the finite difference method in the following respects:
a) The finite element method requires much less time for computation than the SOR technique for a given problem. When both methods were used to compute the potential distribution in a rectangular trough, it took less than half the time with the finite element method to achieve the same accuracy as the SOR technique for an approximately equal number of nodes.

b) For infinitely extending fields, the finite element method just requires the use of higher order interpolating functions which use more nodes per element and therefore larger element sizes. The corresponding finite difference techniques have already been given in Section 3.2.

c) Accounting for specified boundary values of the field function at electrodes, say, is easily done by a simple program (Appendix C) in the finite element method. In the finite difference method logical commands are necessary to avoid SOR on specified boundary conditions. This has to be done during each iteration resulting in considerable computer time requirements. (Logical commands take a longer time to execute than algebraic operations).

d) When a regular rectangular grid is used in the finite difference method, for any type of geometry mesh generation is automatically done by the computer by specifying the mesh size if different from unity in the case where more than one mesh size is used. However, in the finite element method, for complicated geometries it is difficult to generate the element pattern although nodal coordinates
may be obtained using different lines that join the nodes.

e) To improve convergence with the SOR technique requires use of an optimum convergence factor which is very difficult to determine for most practical problems. Empirical determination of the convergence factor is time consuming. Conditions used in the selection of the interpolation function in the finite element method (section 3.3.2) rigorously ensure convergence of the approximate solution to the exact solution with increasing number of elements of smaller size. 28

5.2 The Insulator Design

The power line-insulator designed in this work is a result of taking into consideration the various factors that lead to flashover due to pollution. Although these factors have been known for some time there is no record, from the literature survey, of an anti-pollution insulator designed in full consideration of these factors. Most designs have arisen from manufacturing technology rather than a combination of research findings and technological limitations.

The new power line-insulator design achieves the following requirements as a solution to the pollution problem affecting satisfactory operation of power line-insulators.

a) The heating effect of the current flowing in the partially-conducting material will keep the insulator surface at a temperature higher than ambient, acting as
a deterrent to moisture condensation on the insulator surface. Further, the formation of the highly stressed dry-bands that would lead to surging and possibly flashover on the insulator surface is avoided by the partially-conducting material which offers an alternative passage of lower resistance to the leakage current. The combined effect is to decrease the chances for flashover due to pollution and the associated current surges on the insulator surface that would possibly deteriorate it.

b) Uniform voltage distribution on the surface of the new insulator avoids local stressing that would result in corona and streamer discharges. Thus in this design radio- and television-signal interference and energy losses associated with corona discharges are minimized.

c) There is considerable material saving in this design compared to deep-ribbed, corrugated anti-fog geometries.

d) The partially-conducting material has a positive temperature coefficient. There is therefore no danger of thermal runaway as exists with semi-conducting glazes.

e) The geometry of the insulator design overcomes the problem of bridging due to splashing and shed protrusion during heavy rainfall. There are no hidden areas that would accumulate windborn dirt, and the open profile facilitates ease of occasional washing of the insulator.

f) The design concept and the materials used result in relatively more compact insulators and therefore more compact transmission systems.
5.3 Suggestions for Further Work

It is now necessary to carry out artificial anti-pollution tests in the laboratory on the new insulator design. Extensive in-service tests should then be carried out. This can be done with cooperation from the Utility Company. Some units of the new insulator can be installed on spurs taken from the existing transmission lines in areas of extreme pollution; adequate switching would be installed to avoid maloperation on the main line. Such tests will result in the possibility of designing insulators for higher transmission voltages using our design concepts.

A study on installation coordination in the light of the new design should be done. Possibilities of applying our design concepts in other power line transmission hardware e.g. cross arms, apparatus insulators should be considered.

Alongside the extensive testing of the new insulator, continuum subdivision in the finite element method should be developed into a completely automatic operation for the complicated geometries of insulators. The method of boundary relaxation in the finite difference method should be developed further since most insulators have infinitely extending field distribution.
THE FINITE DIFFERENCE METHOD
SOLUTION OF THE EQUATIONS ITERATIVELY BY S.C.F.****

FOR COMPUTER EXECUTION \( V(r, z) \) IS REPLACED BY \( V(i, j) \)

INITIALIZATION AND ASSIGNING BOUNDARY VALUES

```
DIMENSION V(50,50), X(50,50), X1(27), Y(27)

CALL PLOTID ('A,**5, KATANOIFE', 'U126605170')
```

```
N=25
M=29
M1=N-1
M2=M-1
1 DO 1 I=1,M
2 V(I,1)=100.
3 V(2,1)=20.
4 V(3,1)=40.
5 V(4,1)=60.
6 DO 2 J=1,N
7 V(M,J)=100.
8 DO 3 J=1,N
9 V(1,J)=C.
10 DO 30 I=1,M
11 V(I,1)=0.
12 DO 25 J=1,N
13 X(I,J)=C.
14 DO 52 I=2,M1
15 X(I,1)=0.
16 DO 51 J=1,N
17 X(M,J)=0.
18 DO 50 J=1,N
19 X(1,J)=C.
20 DO 30 I=1,M
21 X(I,J)=C.
22 DO 30 I=2,M1
23 X(1,J)=C.
24 DO 30 I=1,M
25 X(M,J)=C.
```

```
CALCULATION OF ALF0PT, THE OPTIMUM ACCELERATING FACTOR
```

```
PI=3.1415927
ALF0PT=2.*PI*SQRT((1./(N-1)**2)+(1./(M-1)**2))
```

```
32 CONTINUE
33 IF(KOUNT.GE.3) ALFA=ALF0PT
34 RESMAX=C.
35 DO 9 J=2,N
36 DO 9 I=2,M1
37 IF(AHS(X(I,J)).GT.RESMAX)GO TO 53
38 RESMAX=AHS(X(I,J))
39 GO TO 53
40 CONTINUE
41 CONTINUE
42 GO TO 53
43 CONTINUE
44 IF(AHS(X(I,J)).GT.RESMAX)GO TO 53
45 CONTINUE
46 GO TO 53
```

```
CONDITION FOR AXIS OF SYMMETRY AT J=N
```

```
IF(J.EQ.N) GO TO 7
```

```
GENERAL EQUATION
```

```
W(I,J)=\( V(I,J)+(ALFA/4)*((V(I+1,J)+V(I-1,J)+V(I,J+1)+V(I,J-1))/4) \)
```

```
7 CONTINUE
```

```
EQUATION FOR NODES ON THE LINE OF SYMMETRY
```

```
W(I,J)=\( V(I,J)+(ALFA/4)*((V(I+1,J)+V(I-1,J)+2*V(I,J-1)+2*V(I,J+1))/4) \)
```

```
GO TO 4
```

```
CALCULATION OF RESIDUALS AND HIGHEST VALUE STORED AS RESMAX
```

```
22 X(I,J)=\( 4*V(I+1,J)+V(I-1,J)+V(I,J+1)+V(I,J-1)/4 \)
23 GO TO 5
24 X(I,J)=\( 4*V(I+1,J)+V(I-1,J)+2*V(I,J-1)+2*V(I,J+1)/4 \)
25 CONTINUE
26 CONTINUE
27 CONTINUE
28 IF(AHS(X(I,J)).GT.RESMAX)GO TO 53
29 CONTINUE
30 RESMAX=AHS(X(I,J))
31 IFSMAX=I
```
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IF(KOUNT.EQ.200) GO TO 10
KOUNT=KOUNT+1
GO TO 32

CONTINUE

EXIT PRINT V(I,J) X(I,J) ALEFT RESMAX
IPRESMX,IPRESMN,KCOUNT

WRITE(6,11) ALEFT,RESMAX,IPRESMX,IPRESMN,KCOUNT
DO 19 I=1,M
WRITE(6,20) (V(I,J),J=1,12)
CONTINUE

WRITE(6,E9)
DO 21 I=2,M1
WRITE(6,20) (X(I,J),J=2,12)
CONTINUE

WRITE(6,58)
DO 33 I=1,M
WRITE(6,20) (V(I,J),J=13,25)
CONTINUE

WRITE(6,59)
DO 31 I=2,M1
WRITE(6,20) (X(I,J),J=13,25)
CONTINUE

FORMAT('O',3(F6,2,3X))
FORMAT('O',I3(F6,2,3X))
FORMAT('O')
FORMAT('O')

SORT V(I,J) AND FLT EQUIPMENT LINES

NPTS=N+2
VC=90.
JMIN=1
JMAX=N
A=-1.0
300 CONTINUE

DO 200 J=JMIN,JMAX
XI(J)=FLOAT(J)/FLOAT(N)
I=1+1
IF(V(I,J).LE.VC) GO TO 100
Y(J)=2.*(FLOAT(I)/F3(CAT(M))-(V(I,J)-VC)/(V(I,J)-
1.V(I-1,J)))/F3(CAT(M))
200 CONTINUE

WRITE(6,59) (X(I,J),Y(J),J=1,N)
200 FORMAT('O',I3(F6,2,3X))
CALL CALCOP(X1,Y,27,A,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.25,0.0,0.0,0.25,0.0,0.0,0.0)
A=6.0
VC=VC-1C.
IF(VC.GT.0) GO TO 300
CALL PLEDN(4,0)
STOP
END
Fig. B3.7 Three node triangular Element

In Fig. B3.7 let the plane of element $e$ be defined by the equation

$$V(x, y) = A + Bx + Cy$$

where $A$, $B$, $C$ are constants. At each of the three nodes 1, 2, 3 $V(x, y)$ assumes the nodal values $V_1$, $V_2$, $V_3$ respectively, given by

$$V_1 = A + Bx_1 + Cy_1$$
$$V_2 = A + Bx_2 + Cy_2$$
$$V_3 = A + Bx_3 + Cy_3$$
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & A & V_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & B & V_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & C & V_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

in matrix notation

Solving for the constants \( A, B, C \) by the method of determinants gives

\[
A = \frac{V_1(x_2y_3 - x_3y_2) + V_2(x_3y_1 - x_1y_3) + V_3(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1)}{2}
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3
\end{bmatrix} = \text{twice area of triangle e in Fig. B3.7}
\]

Similarly \( B = \frac{V_1(y_2 - y_3) + V_2(y_3 - y_1) + V_3(y_1 - y_2)}{2} \)

and \( C = \frac{V_1(x_3 - x_2) + V_2(x_1 - x_3) + V_3(x_2 - x_1)}{2} \)

Using the substitutions

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= x_2y_3 - x_3y_2 \\
b_1 &= y_2 - y_3 \\
a_2 &= x_3y_1 - x_1y_3 \\
b_2 &= y_3 - y_1 \\
a_3 &= x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 \\
b_3 &= y_1 - y_2
\end{align*}
\]
\[ c_1 = x_3 - x_2 \quad c_2 = x_1 - x_3 \quad c_3 = x_2 - x_1 \]

the expressions for \( A, B, C \) become

\[
A = \frac{V_1a_1 + V_2a_2 + V_3a_3}{2}
\]

\[
B = \frac{V_1b_1 + V_2b_2 + V_3b_3}{2}
\]

\[
C = \frac{V_1c_1 + V_2c_2 + V_3c_3}{2}
\]

On substitution for \( A, B, C \) in the equation for \( V(x,y) \)

we get

\[
V(x,y) = \frac{(a_1 + b_1x + c_1y)V_1 + (a_2 + b_2x + c_2y)V_2 + (a_3 + b_3x + c_3y)V_3}{2}
\]
APPENDIX C

SPECIFY TYPE OF PROBLEM
(2-D or 3-D AXISYM.)

INITIALIZE TO ZERO \( x, y, V \) AND ELEMENTS OF SYSTEM EQNS

SUBDIVISION OF THE CONTINUUM
ELEMENTAL NODE ARRANGEMENT

NODAL COORDINATES \( x, y \)
NE-NO. OF ELEMENTS, NN-NO. OF NODES

DRAW
SUBDIVIDED CONTINUUM

\[ K = \frac{1}{j, NE} \]

FIND ELEMENTAL PROPERTIES
FORM ELEMENTAL SYSTEM MATRIX SE

ASSEMBLE THE SYSTEM EQNS
\[ J = \frac{1}{j, NN} \]

BOUNDARY COND.
THEN SOLVE THE SYSTEM
PLOT EQUIP. LINES
FIND ELEMENTAL STRESS
**THE FINITE ELEMENT METHOD**

**SPECIFY TYPE OF PROBLEM : 2-DIMENSION (NCASE=1)**

OR 3-DIMENSION AXI-SYMMETRIC (NCASE=2)

**READ(5,1C5) NCASE**

IF(NCASE.EQ.2) GO TO 33

WRITE(6,2C2)

GO TO 66 CONTINUE

**INITIALIZE TO ZERO S(I,J),SE(I,J),V(I),X(I),Y(I),**

**AE(I)**

**READ(5,1C5) NE,NN**

**DO 2 I=1,NN**

S(I,J)=.0 0

**X(I)=.0*0**

**Y(I)=.0**

**DO 3 J=1,3**

**XE(I,J)=.0**

**YE(I,J)=.0**

**SE(I,J)=.0**

**READ(5,11C5) NODE(K,1),NODE(K,2),NODE(K,3)**

**CALL CCORDS(X,Y)**

**WRITE(6,2CC) NE,NN**

**WRITE(6,21C2) X(I),Y(I)**

**WRITE(6,24CC) NODE(K,1),NODE(K,2),NODE(K,3)**

**CALL ELMNTS(NODE,AE)**

**FIND ELEMENTAL PROPERTIES**

**E1=YE(2)-YE(1)**

**E2=YE(2)-YE(1)**

**E3=YE(3)-YE(3)**

**C1=YE(2)-YE(1)**

**C2=YE(2)-YE(1)**

**C3=YE(3)-YE(3)**

**DEL=ABS((.5*(YE(1)**(2)+YE(2)**(2)+YE(3)**(2)))**

**F1=3.14159**

**AHEAR=(XE(1)+XE(2)+XE(3))/3.0**
52 AA = 1.0
53 IF (NCASE.EQ.0) AA = 2.*PI*RBAR**2
54 CONST = AA/(4.*DEL)
55 SE(1,1) = (B1*U1+C1*C1)*CONST
56 SE(1,2) = (B1*U2+C1*C2)*CONST
57 SE(1,3) = (B1*U3+C1*C3)*CONST
58 SE(2,1) = SE(1,2)
59 SE(2,2) = (B2*U2+C2*C2)*CONST
60 SE(2,3) = (B2*U3+C2*C3)*CONST
61 SE(3,1) = SE(1,3)
62 SE(3,2) = SE(2,3)
63 SE(3,3) = (B3*U3+C3*C3)*CONST

C** ASSEMBLE SYSTEM EQUATIONS WITHOUT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
C**
64 NO(1) = N1
65 NO(2) = N2
66 NO(3) = N3
67 DO 3 IE = 1, 3
68 I = NO(IE)
69 DO 3 JE = 1, 3
70 J = NO(JE)
71 SE(I,J) = SE(I,J) + SE(I,E,JE)
72 3 CONTINUE
C** RECYCLE FOR NEXT ELEMENT
73 35 CONTINUE
C** ACCOUNT FOR SPECIFIED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
C**
74 DO 4 J = 1, 18, 17
75 V(I) = 100.0
76 DO 4 J = 1, NN
77 IF (I.NE.J) GO TO 36
78 GO TO 37
79 36 CONTINUE
80 V(J) = V(J) - V(J) * SE(I,J)
81 S(I,J) = 0.0
82 GO TO 40
83 37 CONTINUE
84 S(I,J) = 1.0
85 40 CONTINUE
86 DO 45 I = 2, 36, 13
87 V(I) = 100.0
88 DO 45 J = 1, NN
89 IF (I.NE.J) GO TO 41
90 GO TO 42
91 41 CONTINUE
92 V(J) = V(J) - V(J) * S(I,J)
93 S(I,J) = 0.0
94 GO TO 45
95 42 CONTINUE
96 S(I,J) = 1.0
97 45 CONTINUE
C**
98 DO 5 J = 17, 52, 35
99 V(I) = 100.0
100 DO 5 J = 1, NN
101 IF (I.NE.J) GO TO 46
102 GO TO 47
103 46 CONTINUE
104 V(J) = V(J) - V(J) * S(I,J)
105 S(I,J) = 0.0
106 GO TO 50
107 47 CONTINUE
108 S(I,J) = 1.0
109 50 CONTINUE
C** SOLVE THE SYSTEM EQUATIONS BY SUBROUTINE SIMQ
C**
110 KS = 'S
111 CALL SIMQ(S, V, NN, NA, KS)
112 DO 65 I = 1, NN
113 WRITE(6, 26) V(I)
114 65 CONTINUE
C** PLOT THE EQUIPOTENTIAL LINES BY SUBROUTINE CCNTUA
C**
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116 CALL CONTUA(NE, V, NODE, X, Y)
**MAKE ADDITIONAL COMPUTATIONS: FIND THE ELEMENTAL ELECTRIC STRESS BY SUBROUTINE FIELD**
117 CALL FIELD(X, Y, V, NE, NCASE, NODE)
**FORMATS: READ 100 TO 190, WRITE 260 TO 280**
118 100 FORMAT(214)
119 105 FORMAT(12)
120 110 FORMAT(314)
121 200 FORMAT(HX, 2((I4, EX)))
122 202 FORMAT(C1, I6X, 'THIS IS A TWO-DIMENSIONAL PROBLEM')
123 210 FORMAT(C1, 12F9.4)
124 220 FORMAT(C1, HX, 2(F8.5, 8X))
125 240 FORMAT(C1, BX, 3((14, 8X)))
126 260 FORMAT(C1, 10X, F8.4)
127 303 FORMAT(C1, 16X, 'THIS IS A THREE-DIMENSIONAL AXISYMMETRIC PROBLEM')
128 STOP
129 END

130 SUBROUTINE ELEMENTS(NE, X, Y, NODE)
131 DIMENSION X(125), Y(125), NODE(200, 3), XP(6), YP(6)
132 NPTS = 6
133 A = -1.
134 DO 1 K = 1, NE
135 CC 2, I = 1, 3
136 XP(I) = X(NODE(K, I))
137 2 YP(I) = Y(NODE(K, I))
138 YP(4) = YP(1)
139 XP(4) = XP(1)
140 CALL CALCC2(XP, YP, NPTS, A, 4, 0, 0, 0, -C, 25, 0, 0, 25, 1, -1, 2)
141 A = .5
142 1 CONTINUE
143 RETURN
144 END
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SUBROUTINE FIELD(X,Y,V,NE,NCAE,NCCE)

DIMENSION X(125), Y(125), V(60), STRESS(70), NCDE(70,3)

PI = 3.14159

DO 10 K = 1, NE

X1 = X(NCDE(K,1))
X2 = X(NCDE(K,2))
X3 = X(NCDE(K,3))
Y1 = Y(NCDE(K,1))
Y2 = Y(NCDE(K,2))
Y3 = Y(NCDE(K,3))

C** B1 = Y3 - Y2
B2 = Y1 - Y3
B3 = Y2 - Y1

C** C1 = X2 - X3
C2 = X3 - X1
C3 = X1 - X2

C** V1 = V(NCDE(K,1))
V2 = V(NCDE(K,2))
V3 = V(NCDE(K,3))

C** RBAR = (X1+X2+X3)/3.

AA = 1.0

AREA = ABS(C,5*(X1*(Y2-Y3)+X2*(Y3-Y1)+X3*(Y1-Y2)))

CONST = AA/(PI*AREA)

GRADX = (V1*C1+V2*C2+V3*C3)*CONST

GRACY = (C1*V1+C2*V2+C3*V3)*CONST

STRESS(K) = SORT(GRADX**2+GRACY**2)

WRITE(6,202) K, STRESS(K)

CONTINUE

FORMAT(*\ THE STRESS IN ELEMENT*\, I4, *\, F8.4)

RETURN

END
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SUBROUTINE CCNTUA(NE, V, NOCE, X, Y)
DIMENSION NODE(70,3), V(60), Y(125), X(125), XP(100), YP(125)
200 FORMAT('0',HX,2F16.5)
J=1
10 CONTINUE
NPTS=2
L=*
DO 7 K=1,NE
7 X1=X(NOCE(K,1))
X2=X(NOCE(K,2))
X3=X(NOCE(K,3))
Y1=Y(NOCE(K,1))
Y2=Y(NOCE(K,2))
Y3=Y(NOCE(K,3))
V1=V(NOCE(K,1))
V2=V(NOCE(K,2))
V3=V(NOCE(K,3))
C ***
IF(V1.EQ.V2)GO TO 3
IF(V1.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V2)GO TO 2
GO TO 3
2 CONTINUE
L=L+1
YP(L)=(X2*(V1-V2)+(V1-V2)*(X1-X2))/(V1-V2)
XP(L)=(Y2*(V1-V2)+(V1-V2)*(Y1-Y2))/(V1-V2)
3 CONTINUE
IF(V2.EQ.V3)GO TO 5
IF(V2.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V3)GO TO 4
IF(V2.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V3)GO TO 4
IF(V2.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V3)GO TO 4
GO TO 5
4 CONTINUE
L=L+1
YP(L)=(X3*(V2-V3)+(V1-V3)*(X2-X3))/(V2-V3)
XP(L)=(Y3*(V2-V3)+(V1-V3)*(Y2-Y3))/(V2-V3)
5 CONTINUE
IF(V1.EQ.V3)GO TO 7
IF(V1.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V3)GO TO 6
IF(V1.LE.VC.AND.VC.GE.V3)GO TO 6
GO TO 7
6 CONTINUE
L=L+1
YP(L)=(X3*(V1-V3)+(V1-V3)*(X1-X3))/(V1-V3)
XP(L)=(Y3*(V1-V3)+(V1-V3)*(Y1-Y3))/(V1-V3)
7 CONTINUE
NPTS=NPTS+L
IF(NPTS.LT.4)GO TO 8
WRITE(6,200)VC
CONTINUE
8 CONTINUE
J=J+1
VC=VC-5.0
A='.
IF(VC.GE.ER)GO TO 12
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE SORT(XP,YP,L)
DIMENSION XP(10C),YP(10C)
200 FORMAT(*C•,5X,2F16.5)
L=0-L-1
DO 1 I=1,L
K=I
IF(K.EC.L) GO TO 2
DO 3 J=K,L1
IF(YP(I).LE.YP(J+1)) GO TO 3
YP(I)=YP(J+1)
YP(J+1)=TEMP
XP(I)=XP(J+1)
XP(J+1)=XTEMP
3 CONTINUE
2 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,200) (YP(J),XP(J),J=1,L)
RETURN
END
Fig. D 2.1 The Effect of $y$, number of discharges, insulator diameter on $E_c$. 

$E_c$ increasing.
Fig. D 2.2 Conventional Anti-Fog Insulators
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